

# 以顧客價值觀點建立國際航空公司評選之模式

江佩馨、張魁

E-mail: 9806301@mail.dyu.edu.tw

## 摘要

航空業在面對總體經濟環境不利的影響下，燃油成本所佔總營運成本的比例增加，許多公司利用內部改善來控制成本，但是這些作法並無法提供長期的助益，應從顧客導向與需求，來提升企業的競爭能力。

由於因產業別的不同，顧客價值的構面也會有所差異，尤其當乘客搭國際航線時，在客艙裡體驗的時間長，與服務人員的互動也較高，因此，將體驗層面納入考量，以彌補過去對於顧客價值研究之不足。然而為了建構完整之決策模式，本研究回顧相關文獻進行訪談，再運用「方法 - 目的鏈」建立層級間關係；其次，透過詮釋結構模型(Interpretive Structural Modeling ; ISM)，來確立準則間之相依關係。第三，運用分析網絡程序法 (Analytic Network Process; ANP)評估屬性權重值，以完整衡量顧客心中整體價值。

最後，本研究以國內三家知名的國際航空公司做為評選方案，結合前述利用ISM與ANP所發展的航空公司之層級架構，做為國際航空公司評選模式；根據究結果顯示，更深入了解乘客偏好的服務屬性。

關鍵詞：顧客價值、詮釋結構模型、分析網絡程序法

## 目錄

中文摘要 . . . . .	iii
英文摘要 . . . . .	iv
誌謝辭 . . . . .	v
Contents . . . . .	vi
List of Tables . . . . .	vii
List of Figure . . . . .	viii
Chapter 1     Introduction . . . . .	1
1.1   Research background and motivation . . . . .	1
1.2   Research objectives . . . . .	3
1.3   Research process . . . . .	4
Chapter 2     Literature review . . . . .	6
2.1   Customer value . . . . .	6
2.2   Service Quality . . . . .	13
2.3   Means-end Chain . . . . .	16
2.4   Interpretive Structural Modeling . . . . .	18
2.5   Analytic Network Process . . . . .	20
Chapter 3     Establish a evaluation model . . . . .	23
3.1   Setting up the goal and the hierarchy . . . . .	23
3.2   Testing and verifying dependency relations . . . . .	25
3.3   Figuring out the relative weight values . . . . .	30
3.4   Testing the consistency and integrating opinions . . . . .	35
3.5   Calculate total weights of service attribute . . . . .	36
3.6   Calculating the scheme weight values . . . . .	38
3.7   Investigation process and research samples . . . . .	40
3.8   Questionnaire design . . . . .	42
Chapter 4     Analysis and results . . . . .	45
4.1   Investigation on evaluation cases . . . . .	45
4.2   Developing airlines assessment framework . . . . .	46
4.3   Testing and verifying the dependence relations . . . . .	48
4.4   Calculating the relative weight of the desired value	60
4.5   The dependency relation in the safety value	62

4.6	Utilizing super-matrix to obtain relative weight at ECs level . . . . .	64
4.7	Calculate total weight of service attributes . . . . .	69
4.8	Index computation and scheme assessment . . . . .	71
4.9	The influence of interactions on consumer decision	76
Chapter 5	Discussion . . . . .	77
5.1	Discussion . . . . .	77
5.2	Contributions . . . . .	80
Reference	. . . . .	82
Appendix	A . . . . .	91
Appendix	B . . . . .	95
Appendix	C . . . . .	116

## List of Tables

Table 1	The dimensions and definitions of customer value . . . . .	10
Table 2	The definition of service quality dimensions . . . . .	15
Table 3	ISM applications . . . . .	20
Table 4	The first level hierarchical matrix is deconstructed de- nstructed . . . . .	28
Table 5	The second level hierarchical matrix is deconstructed .	29
Table 6	The three level hierarchical matrix is deconstructed . . .	29
Table 7	Random index, RI . . . . .	35
Table 8	List for the professional backgrounds of the Interview- ees in this Study	41
Table 9	List for the backgrounds of the evaluation scheme . . .	46
Table 10	The hierarchical matrix of safety value is deconstruc- ted . . . . .	51
Table 11	The service attributes of WFR, LAR and LER is deco- nstructed . . . . .	51
Table 12	The service attributes of LS, HA and WI is deconstruc- ted . . . . .	51
Table 13	The Relative weights of desired values . . . . .	60
Table 14	Relative weights of service attributes for airlines . . . .	61
Table 15	Pair-wise comparison matrices at ECs between FS and CR . . . . .	62
Table 16	Pair-wise comparison matrices at Price Preference am- ong SP, MB and PLT	64
Table 17	Super-matrix of interdependence before convergence at ECs level	65
Table 18	Super-matrix of interdependence after convergence at ECs level .	65
Table 19	Super-matrix of interdependence before convergence at SAs level . . .	67
Table 20	Super-matrix of interdependence after convergence at SAs level	68
Table 21	The relative weight of service attributes for airlines . .	70
Table 22	The weight, index and evaluation results of attributes for alternatives	73
Table 23	The comparison between AHP and ANP weight . . . . .	74

## List of Figures

Figure 1	The research process of this study . . . . .	5
----------	--	---

Figure 2 The questions and strategies of international airline service development	25
Figure 3 Hierarchical interaction graph . . . . .	30
Figure 4 The calculation concept of attribute preference . . . . .	32
Figure 5 The hierarchy of safety value . . . . .	52
Figure 6 The hierarchy of transaction value . . . . .	53
Figure 7 The hierarchy of service value	54
Figure 8 The hierarchy of experience value	55
Figure9 The hierarchical customer value framework of airlines	59
Figure 10 Evaluation model of Most Valuable . . . . .	60

## 參考文獻

一、中文部分簡禎富(2005), 決策分析與管理:全面品質提升支架構與方法, 台北, 雙葉出版社。Airway世界民航雜誌, (2008), 第135期, 18-19。李家豪(2004), 以結構整合觀點探討組織設計模式 - I.S.M.為分析工具, 私立中原大學企業管理研究所未出版之碩士論文, 15-24。林宜萱譯(1990), 策略行銷管理:發揮產品優勢、打入利基市場的高效策略, 台北, 美商麥格羅希爾出版社, 145-146。林羿吟(2005), 溫泉旅館服務品質評估模式之建構, 私立中華大學科技管理研究所未出版之碩士論文, 12-14。徐村和, 張魁?(2007), 顧客價值導向之保養組合商品評選模式:應用模糊分析網絡程序法, 行銷科學學報, 3(2), 113-135。張寧(2008), 以ISM詮釋結構模式法探討直航對高學整體發展影響之策略, 管理學報, 25(6), 635-649。張魁?(2008), 顧客價值導向的產品組合模式, 國立高雄第一科技大學管理研究所未出版之博士論文。張魁?(2009), Super Decisions軟體操作手冊 - 以ANP突破AHP的研究限制, 台北:鼎茂出版社。許格源(2005), 顧客價值觀點分析旅客選擇航空公司之行為, 國立台灣海洋大學航運管理研究所未出版之碩士論文。

二、英文部分Bagozzi, R. P., & Dabholkar, P. A. (1994). Consumer recycling goals and their effect on decisions to recycle: A means-end chain analysis. *Psychology and Marketing*, 11(4), 313-340.Berry, L. L., (1986). Retail businesses are service businesses. *Journal of Retailing*, 62(1), 3-6.Butz, H. E., & Goodstein, L. D., (1996). Measuring customer value: Gaining the strategic advantage. *Organizational Dynamics*, 24(3), 63-77.Chandon, P., Wansin, B. & Laurent, G. (2000). A benefit congruency framework of sales promotion effectiveness. *Journal of Marketing*, 64(10), 65-81.Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance: The role of brand loyalty. *Journal of Marketing*, 65(2), 81-93.Chipman, S. F., & Brennan, R. L. (Eds.) (1995). Cognitively diagnostic assessment Hillsdale. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.Claeys, C., Swinnen, A. & Abeele, P. V. (1995). Consumers means-end chains for think and feel products. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 12(3), 193-208.Fennell, G. G. (1978). Perceptions of the product-in-use situation. *Journal of Marketing*, 42(2), 39-47.Gale, B. T. (1994). Managing customer value: Creating quality and service that customers can see. New York: The Free Press.Garvin, D. A. (1983). Spin-offs and the new firm formation process. *California Management Review*, 25(2), 3-20.Gutman, J. (1982). A means-end chain model based on consumer categorization processes. *Journal of Marketing*, 46(2), 60-72.Harker, P. T., & Vargas, L. G. (1990). Reply to remarks on the analytic hierarchy process. *Management Science*, 36(3), 269-273.Holbrook, M. B. (1994). The nature of consumer value, in service quality: New directions in theory and practice. Roland T. Rust and Richard L. Oliver, eds. Newbury Park (pp. 21-71). California: Sage Publications.Holbrook, M. B. (1999). Introduction to consumer value, In Morris B. Holbrook, (Eds.), *Consumer Value: A Framework for Analysis and Research* (pp. 1-28). New York: Routledge.Holbrook, M. B., & Corfman, K. P. (1985). Quality and value in the consumption experience: Phaedrus rides again. *Perceived Quality*. J. Jacoby and J. Olson, (Eds.), Lexington (pp. 31-57). Massachusetts: Lexington Books.Holbrook, M., & Hirschman, E. (1982). The experimental aspects of consumption: Consumer fantasies, feelings and fun. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 9(2), 132-140.Horng, S. C., & Lin, L. H. (1994). A dynamic consumer product classification perspective. *Journal of Management Studies*, 11(1), 25-47.Hsieh, L. F., Lin, Y. Y., Wang, L. H., & Lin, L. H. (2005). A service quality evaluation model for hot spring hotels in Taiwan. The XV ACME International Conference, 338-343.Kahraman, C., Ertay, T., & Buyukozkan, G. (2006). A fuzzy optimization model for QFD planning process using analytic network approach. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 171(2), 390-411.Keller, K. L. (2003). Brand synthesis: The multidimensionality of brand knowledge. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 29(4), 595-600.Kotler, P. (1994). Marketing management: Analysis, planning, implementation, and control (8th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.Kwong, C. K. & Bai, H. (2003). Determining the importance weights for the customer requirements in QFD using a fuzzy AHP with an extent analysis approach. *IIE Transactions*, 35(7), 619-626.Leung, L. C., Lam, K. C. & Cao, D. (2006). Implementing the balanced scorecard using the analytic hierarchy process and the analytic network process. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 57(6), 682-691.Lievens, F. & Highhouse, S. (2003). The relation of instrumental and symbolic attributes to a company's attractiveness as an employer. *Personnel Psychology*, 56(1), 75-102.Meade, L. M. & Sarkis, J. (1999). Analyzing organizational project alternatives for agile manufacturing processes: An analytical network approach. *International Journal of Production Research*, 37(2), 241-261.Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1985). A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *Journal of Marketing*, 49(4), 41-50.Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1988). SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 64(1), 13-33.Park, C. W., Jaworski, B. J., & MacInnis, D. J. (1986). Strategic brand concept image management. *Journal of Marketing*, 50(4), 135-145.Park, J. W. (2007). Passenger perceptions of service quality: Korean and Australian case studies. *Journal of Air*

Transport Management, 13(4), 238-242.Patterson, P. G., & Spreng, R. A. (1997). Modeling the relationship between perceived value, satisfaction, and repurchase intentions in a business-to-business, service context: An empirical examination, International Journal of Service Industry Management, 8(5), 414-434.Pieters, R., Baumgartner, H., & Allen, D. (1995). A means-end chain approach to consumer goal structures. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 12(3), 227-244.Rhoades, D. L., & Waguespack, B.Jr. (1999). Better safe than service: The relationship between service and safety quality in the US airline industry. Managing Service Quality, 9(6), 396-400.Saaty, T. L. (1996). Decision making with dependence and feedback: the analytic network process. Pittsburghlvania: RWS Publications.Saaty, T. L. (2005). Theory and applications of the analytic network process: Decision making with benefits opportunities, cost, and risks. Pittsburghlvania: RWS Publications.Smith, J. B., & Colgate, M. (1994). Customer value creation: A practical framework. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 15(1), 7-23.Tatsuoka, K. K. (1995).Architecture of knowledge structures and cognitive diagnosis: A statistical pattern recognition and classification approach, (Eds.), Cognitively diagnostics assessment (pp. 327-359). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.Vaughn, R. (1980). How advertising work: A planning model. Journal of Advertising Research, 20(5), 27-33.Warfield, J. N. (1973). Binary matrices in system modeling. IEEE Transactions on System, Man and Cybernetics, 3(5), 441-449.Warfield, J. N. (1974). Toward interpretation of complex structural models. IEEE Transactions on System, Man and Cybernetics, 4(5), 405-416.Whitaker, J., Terzis, B., Soong, G. E., & Yeh, W. (2005). Stated preference as a tool to evaluate airline passenger preferences and priorities. Journal of the Transportation Research Board. 1915, 55-61.Woodruff, R. B. (1997). Customer value: The next source of competitive advantage. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 25(2), 139-153.Wu, W. W., & Lee, Y. T. (2007). Selecting knowledge management strategies by using the analytic network process. Expert Systems with Applications, 32(3), 841-847.Zeithaml, V. (1987). Defining and relating price, perceived quality, and perceived value (pp. 87-101). Cambridge, Massachusetts: Marketing Science Institute.Zeithaml, V. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: A means-end model and synthesis of evidence. Journal of Marketing, 52(3), 2-22.