A Study on the Relationship between Economic Environment and CEO Pay of MNCs: The Mediating Impact of Firm Performance

尉家豪、田正利

E-mail: 9806290@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

The study on the Chief executive officer (CEO) compensation has received tremendous attention academically and practically. Since the subprime mortgage crisis is a that was triggered by a dramatic rise in mortgage delinquencies and foreclosures in the United States, many banks and associated corporations have been bankrupted or facing business downsizing. Facing the financial crisis and business downturns, however, the CEO compensations do not seem to be affected by such downturn. Therefore, I am motivated to discuss and discover whether economic environment could affect CEO compensation.

Based on a sample of 125 firms in the USA electrics industrial sectors of the S&P 500 list, I investigated the data from the Compustant and ExecuComp databases. I used annual reports of each company in order to examine the compensation model and test the hypotheses.

The study finds that there are significant associations between economic environment and firm performance. The results indicate that there are highly significant relationships between economic growth rate and CEO compensation. Moreover, this study finds that the relationships between unemployment rate and CEO compensation are not necessarily significant, and that the relationships between firm performance and CEO compensation are not necessarily positively significant. Finally, the results for testing the mediating effect indicate that firm performance is not always able to mediate the relationship between economic environment and CEO compensation. These evidence-based findings provide the boards of directors and the practitioners with advanced knowledge in terms of strategizing CEO compensation as a tool to achieve better firm performance.

Keywords: CEO compensation, firm performance, economic growth rate, unemployment rate

Table of Contents

:::

Tス	沙女		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1111		
英文	摘要																					iv		
誌謝	爵																					vi		
內容	目錄																					vii		
表目	錄																					ix		
圖目	錄																					Х		
第一	章	緒部	À .																			1		
	第一節	Ī	研	究	動	機																1		
	第二節	Ī	研	究	目	的																	3	
	第三節	Ī	研	究	貢	獻																4	4	
	第四節	Ī	論	文	架	構																4	4	
第二	章	文鬳	扰探	討																			7	
	第一節	Ī	代	理	理	論	與	高	階	經	理	人	薪	酬	結	構								7
	第二節	Ī	總	體	經	濟	變	數	與	公	司	績	效	之	關	聯	性							9
	第三節	Ī				濟					_								聯	性			1	0
	第四節					效																	1	1
	第五節					效														薪				
	-1					介										. –		_					1	3
第三	章	研究	元:	: 法				_															1	5
	一 第一節					構																	1	5
	第二節			٠.		象.		箵	米斗	來	源												1	6
	第三節				-	作				•													1	6
第四		結果					'-	_	-	·	·	•	Ī	•	•	•	·	•	Ī	•	•		1	8
/I' I	一 第一節					· 析	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		-	8
	-1- W		·	120		17 1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	_

山文協西

	第二節	公司績效對總體經濟變數與高階經理人短
		短期薪酬之中介效果20
	第三節	公司績效對總體經濟變數與高階經理人長
		期薪酬之中介效果22
	第四節	公司績效對總體經濟變數與高階經理人總
		體薪酬之中介效果23
第王	章 結論	與建議 2 7
	第一節	結論與管理意涵 2 7
	第二節	實務建議 2 9
	第三節	研究建議
參考	文獻	
表目		
表 4	-1 敘述性統	計及相關係數分析
表 4	-2 總體經濟	變數對公司績效與高階經理人短期薪酬之迴歸分析摘要
表 4	-3 總體經濟	變數對公司績效與高階經理人長期薪酬之迴歸分析摘要
表 4	-4 總體經濟	變數對公司績效與高階經理人總體薪酬之迴歸分析摘要
表 4	-5 研究假說	和驗證結果
圖目	録	
圖 1	-3 流程圖 .	6
圖 3	-1 研究架構	圖

REFERENCES

一、中文部份何紫妘(2004),以EVAandreg、MVA決定高階經?人薪酬之實證研究,國?成功大學會計 學研究所未出版碩士?文。邱健 偉(2005),以經濟附加價值及市場附加價值決定企業高階經理人薪酬和員工薪酬之實證研究,國立成功大學會計學系碩博士班未出版之 碩士論文。?穎芬,?維琪(2003),從高階主管薪酬的研究探討代???在台灣的適用程?,管?學報,20(2),365-395。洪玉舜,王泰昌(2008), 績效衡量指標在總經理股票誘因薪酬之相對重要性分析,會計評論,46(3),1-29。翁百郁(2003)期間利差、股票報酬與景氣循環關聯性 之探討,私立淡江大學財務金融所未出版之碩士論文。馬豐源(2005),以灰色系統論探討海運成長率預測與經濟成長率關聯性,石油季 刊,15(4),71-80。陳明園,石雅惠(2004),高階經理人薪酬 - 代理理論與競賽理論之實證研究,台大管理論叢,15(1),131-166。黃文 輝(1997),台灣地區總體經濟指標公佈與股市關係之研究,國?台灣大學商學研究所未出版之碩士?文。葉玉華(2003),高階主管薪酬與績 效衡量資訊傳遞之關聯性研究,國?台北大學會計研究所未出版之碩士論文。鄧勝元(1998),總體經濟因素對電子類及金融類股價指數相 關性之研究,國立中興大學企業管理所未出版之碩士論文。二、英文部分Abowd, J. M. (1990). Does performance-based managerial compensa-tion affect corporate performance? Industrial and Labor Rela-tionships Review, 43, 52-73. Aylward, A., & Glen, J. (2000). Some international evidence on stock prices as leading of economic activity. Applied Financial Eco-nomics, 10, 1-14. Boyd, J. H., J. Hu, & Jagannathan, R. (2005). The stock market 's re-action to unemployment news: Why bad news is usually good for stocks. Journal of Finance, 60, 649-672. Brown, M. P., Sturman, M. C., & Simmering, M. J. (2003). Compen-sation policy and organizational performance: The efficiency, operational, and financial implications of pay levels and pay structure. Academy of Management Journal, 6(46), 752-762. Chen, N. F., Roll, R., & Ross, S. A. (1986). Economic forces and the stock market. Journal of Business, 59(3), 383-403. Cheung, Y. W., & Ng, L. K. (1998). International evidence on the stock market and aggregate economic activity. Journal of Em-pirical Finance, 5, 281-296. Conyon, M. J. (2006). Executive compensation and incentives. Acad-emy of Management Perspectives, 15, 25-44. Dolian, D. L., & Louton, D. A (1995). Business cycle asymmetry and the stock market. The Quarterly Review of Economics and Fi-nance, 35, 451-465. Eisenberg, T. S., Sundgren, & Wells, M. T. (1998). Larger board size and decreasing firm value in small firms. Journal of Financial Economic, 48, 35-54. Fama, E. F. (1980). Agency problem and theory of the firm. Journal of Politic Economy, 88, 288-307Gedajlovic, E., Shapiro, D. M & Buduru, B. (2003). Financial owner-ship, diversification and firm profitability in Japan. Journal of Management and Governance, 7(3), 315Geske, R., & Roll, R. (1983). The fiscal and monetary linkage be-tween stock returns and inflation. Journal of Finance, 38, 1-33. Graham, M., Nikkinen, J., & Sahlstrom, P. (2003). Relative importance of scheduled macroeconomic news for stock market in-vestors. Journal of Economis and Finance, 27(2), 153-165. Hallock, K. F. (1998). Layoffs, top executive pay, and firm perform-ance. The American Economic Review, 4, 711-723. Hermalin, B. E., & Weisbach, M. S. (1991). The effects of board com-position and direct incentives on firm performance. Financial Management, 20, 101-112. Henry, O. T., & Olekalns, N. (2000). The effect of recessions on out-put variability and growth. Southern Economic Journal, 68, 683-692. Huang, R. D., & Kracaw, W. A. (1984). Stock market returns and real activity: A note. Journal of Finance, 39, 267-273. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Mana-gerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3, 305-360Jensen, M. C., & Murphy, K. J. (1990a). CEO incentives - It 's not how much you pay, but how. Harvard Business Review, 68, 36-49. Jensen, M. C., & Murphy, K. J. (1990b). Performance pay and top-management

incentives. Journal of Political Economy, 98, 225-264. Kane, G. D. (1979). The effect of recession on ratio analysis. The Mid -Atlantic Journal of Business, 33, 19-36.Lewellen, W. G., & Huntsman, B. (1970). Managerial pay and corpo-rate performance. American Economic Review, 60(4), 710-720. Matolcsy, Z. P. (2000). Executive cash compensation and corporate performance during different economic cycles. Contemporary Accounting Research, 17(4), 671-692 Mauro, P. (2003). Stock returns and output growth in emerging and advanced economies. Journal of Development Economics, 71, 129-153. Michael, J. G., & Hambrick, D. C. (1992). Diversification posture and top management team characteristics. Academy of Management Jouuranl, 35(10), 9-37.McKnight, P., & Tomkins, C. (1999). Top executive pay in the United Kingdom: A corporate governance dilemma. International Jour-nal of the Economics of Business, 6, 223-243. Murphy, K. J. (1999). Executive compensation. In Ashenfelter, O., & Card, D. (Eds.), Handbook of Labor Economics, 3, 2485-2563. North-Holland Publishers. Murry, A. I. (1989). Top management group heterogeneity and firm performance. Strategic Management Journal, 10(2), 125-141. Mehran, H. (1995). Executive compensation structure, ownership, and firm performance, Journal of Financial Economics, 38(2), 163-184. Nasseh, A. & Strauss, J. (2000). Stock prices and domestic and inter-national macroeconomic activity: A cointegration approach. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 40, 229-245. Nikkinen, J., & Sahlstrom, P. (2001). Impact of scheduled U.S. Mac-roeconomic news on stock market uncertainty: A multinational perspective. Multinational Finance Journal, 12(5), 129-148. Patton, A. & Baker, J. C. (1987). Why won 't directors rock the boat. Harvard Business Review, 65(6), 10-18. Perry, T. & Zenner, M. (2001). Pay for performance? Government regulation and structure of compensation contracts. Journal of Financial Economics, 62(3), 453-488.Platt, G. (2002). CEOs find performance does matter. Global Finance, 16(4), 26-27.Pukthuanthong K., Talmor, M., & Wallace, J. S. (2004). Corporate governance and theories of executive pay. Corporate Ownership and Control, 1, 94-105. Riahi-Belkaoui, A. (1992). Exeutive compensation, organizational ef-fectiveness, social performance and firm performance: An em-pirical investigation. Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 19(1), 25-38.