

A Study of the Relationship between Eco-Design and Environmental Management Accounting

劉明周、杜瑞澤

E-mail: 9806234@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

Eco-Design brings in the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) to the estimation and the development phases of product life cycle. The Life Cycle Assessment factors include the choice of materials, mechanical design, producing procedures, packaging design, transport distribution, consumers using, wasting and recovery, living environment design and environmental laws. Eco-Design should be considering those elements. Despite the developing cost will raise few, Eco-design will reduce raw material using and energy using and deduct the environmental impacts.

Environmental Management Accounting (EMA) is a tool for decision-makers or chief executive officer to supervise environmental benefits. EMA keeps tracking the input and output of all original materials and energy, and provides reports to decision making levels to understanding the producing performances and wastes. EMA also helps business decision makers to adjust and improve corporate environmental policies. Finally, EMA will aid companies to achieve the great economic efficiency and reduce the environmental pollutions and impacts.

The study based on the green design examining table and the environmental management accounting guideline of Association of International Accountants. The study used the expert interviews to explore the factors which were relevant both Eco-Design and EMA. The study used Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to analyze all data and test the consistency indicators (C.I.) and consistency of ratio (C.R.). Finally, the study achieved the priorities of the influence factors of environmental management accounting eco-efficiency. This priority list provides the criteria to solve the conflicts between the factors of Eco-Design. Besides, the priority will support the development engineers and the product designers to think by top to down strategies and strengthen the features of green products. In addition, the list helps designers when they face all Eco-Design factors to avoid orienting products to lower eco-efficiency. In the end, the product design can follow Eco-Design principles to develop rapidly and decrease design changes. Meanwhile, the businesses will have an advance of environmental responsibility, and raise their green images.

Keywords : Eco-Design、 Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)、 Environmental Management Accounting (EMA)、 Analytic Hierarchy Process、 Consistency Index (C.I.)、 Consistency Ratio (C.R.)

Table of Contents

封面內頁

簽名頁

授權書 iii

中文摘要 iv

英文摘要 vi

誌謝 viii

目錄 ix

圖目錄 xii

表目錄 xiii

第一章 緒論 1

1.1 研究背景 1

1.2 研究動機 2

1.3 研究目的 4

1.4 研究重要性 5

1.5 研究限制與範圍 6

1.6 研究流程 6

第二章 文獻探討 8

2.1 企業社會責任 (CSR) 現況概述 9

| | |
|------------------------------|-----|
| 2.1.1何謂企業社會責任 (CSR) | 9 |
| 2.1.2企業永續發展報告書與環境管理會計 | 10 |
| 2.1.3生態效益 (Eco-efficiency) | 12 |
| 2.2 耗能產品 (EuP) 指令概述 | 13 |
| 2.2.1第五能源 | 13 |
| 2.2.2製造商並非電費支出者 | 13 |
| 2.2.3符合歐洲標準標籤與生態說明書 | 14 |
| 2.2.4耗能產品 (EuP) 指令是生態化設計指令 | 15 |
| 2.3 生態化設計及評估工具 | 16 |
| 2.3.1環境的永續方面 | 16 |
| 2.3.2哪些設計策略會實際的減少環境衝 | 16 |
| 2.3.3生態化設計目標的優先次序的基準 | 21 |
| 2.3.4現有評估工具探討 | 22 |
| 2.4 環境管理會計現況探討 | 23 |
| 2.4.1何謂環境管理會計 | 23 |
| 2.4.2現行歐美與日本環境管理會計的差異 | 26 |
| 2.4.3國內現行環境管理會計 | 30 |
| 2.5 文獻總結 | 33 |
| 第三章 研究方法 | 35 |
| 3.1 研究架構 | 35 |
| 3.2 研究調查訪談 | 37 |
| 3.3 研究工具 | 37 |
| 3.3.1問卷設計 | 37 |
| 3.3.2深度訪談 | 37 |
| 3.3.3腦力激盪法 | 38 |
| 3.3.4綠色設計檢核評估 | 40 |
| 3.4 資料分析方法 | 41 |
| 3.4.1 AHP的適用範圍 | 42 |
| 3.4.2 AHP法的基本假設 | 43 |
| 3.4.3層級的種類 | 43 |
| 3.4.4 AHP的評估尺度 | 45 |
| 3.4.5 AHP層級分析法之流程及相關步驟 | 45 |
| 第四章 研究分析與結果 | 50 |
| 4.1 調查對象基本資料 | 50 |
| 4.2 環境管理會計生態績效因素與因素權重分析 | 54 |
| 4.2.1 AHP問卷評分方式 | 54 |
| 4.2.2 AHP層級建構 | 58 |
| 4.2.3重要因素與因素權重分析 | 61 |
| 4.3 生態化設計因素與環境管理會計生態績效評估 | 85 |
| 4.3.1 單一目標構面因素分析 | 85 |
| 4.3.2所有評估指標分析 | 87 |
| 4.4 生態化設計對環境管理會計生態績效優先次序分析 | 88 |
| 第五章 結論與建議 | 92 |
| 5.1 研究結論 | 92 |
| 5.2 建議 | 94 |
| 參考文獻 | 96 |
| 附錄 | 100 |

REFERENCES

一、中文部分1.行政院環境保護署統計室 (2008)。產業環境會計指引。行政院環境保護署。2.赤尾洋二 (1991)。新產品開發-品質機能展開之實際應用。中國生產力中心QFD研發小組編譯。台北市:中國生產力中心。3.?華榮 (2003)。淺談?色會計制? (Green Accounting System, GAS。會計月刊, 第199期, 61~68。4.杜瑞澤 (2002)。產品永續設計-綠色設計理論與實務。台北市: 亞太出版社

。5.林嬋娟、劉嘉雯與薛敏正(2001)。我國採行綠色會計可行性之研究。行政院經濟建設委員會研究案。6.林慧文(2001)。環境會計建構與發展歷程探討。南華大學環境管理研究所。碩士論文。7.林宜諄(2008)。企業社會責任入門手冊。台北市:天下遠見。8.莫冬立(2007)。企業永續報告的製做與管理。證券貴權期刊, 8月號, 79~89。9.楊維楨(2003)。系統分析在經營決策上的應用。台北市:五南圖書。10.經濟合作暨發展組織(2005)。OECD 國際投資暨多國企業宣言與決議:基本條文。經濟部投資業務處。11.廖永輝(2003)。組織環境會計實務探討。石油季刊, 第41卷第2期, 85-91。12.劉志成(2003)。TRIZ方法改良與綠色創新設計方法之研究。國立成功大學機械工程學系。博士論文。13.郭財吉與陳俊瑜(2007)。綠色產品的生命週期管理。化工, 第54卷第三期, 63~70。14.陳亞嵐、吳建成、湯奕華與陳文輝(2007)。企業建制環境會計與揭露環境資訊的趨勢探討。清潔生產暨永續發展研討會, 經濟部工業局。15.鄧振源與曾國雄(1989)。層級分析法(AHP)的內涵特性與應用(上)。中國統計學報, 第27卷6期, 5~22。16.鄧振源與曾國雄(1989)。層級分析法(AHP)的內涵特性與應用(下)。中國統計學報, 第27卷7期, 1~19。二、英文部分17.Bennett, M., & James P. (1998)。The Green Bottom Line: current practice and future trends in environmental management accounting. Sheffield: Greenleaf.18.Brundtland commission. (1997)。Our Common Future. New York: Oxford University.19.Burritt, R. L., & Saka, C. (2006)。Environmental Management Accounting: The Next Step in the Evolution of Management Accounting. Journal of Cleaner Production, 14 (14), 1262-1275.20.Friedman, M., & Friedman, R. D. (1962)。Capitalism and Freedom. Chicago: University of Chicago.21.Graff, R. G., Reiskin, E. D., White, A. L., & Bidwell, K. (1998)。Snapshots of environmental cost accounting. A report to US EPA environmental accounting project. Boston: Tellus Institute.22.Gray, R.H., & Bebbington, Jan. (2001)。Accounting for the Environment (2nd ed.)。CA: SAGE.23.Hideki, K. (2005)。Strategic evolution of eco-products: a product life cycle planning methodology. Research in Engineering Design, 16, 1-16.24.International Federation of Accountants. (1998) Environmental management in organizations. The role of management accounting, financial and management accounting committee [Study #6], New York: IFAC.25.Lovins, H. L. (2008)。Rethinking Production in The Worldwatch Institute (Eds.), State of the World 2008: Toward a Sustainable Global Economy, NY: WW Norton & Company.26.McDougal, A. (1993)。Responsible Design and the Body Shop, Design Management Journal.27.Minichiello V., Aroni R., Timewell E., & Alexander L. (1995)。In-depth Interviewing, Second Edition. South Melbourne: Longman.28.Rawlinson, J. G. (1981)。Creative thinking and brainstorming. New York: Wiley.29.Saaty, T. L., & Vargas, L. G. (1982)。The Logic of Priorities: Applications in Business, Energy, Health, and Transportation, Boston: Kluwer-Nijhoff.30.Schaltegger, S., & Burritt, R. L. (2000)。Contemporary environmental accounting – issues, concepts and practice. Sheffield: Greenleaf Publishing.31.Schmidheirny, S. (1992)。Changing Course: A Global Business Perspective on Development and the Environment. Palatino: MIT.32.UNIITED NATIONS. (2001)。Environmental Management Accounting Procedures and Principles. New York: UN.33.Yakhou, M., & Dorweiler, V.P. (2004)。Environmental Accounting: An Essential Component of Business Strategy. Business Strategy and the Environment 13, 65-77.34.Williams M. (1997) Social Surveys: Design to Analysis. In: T. May (Ed.) Social Research Issues, Methods and Process. Buckingham: Open University.三、網路資料35.經濟部工業局 <http://www.moeaidb.gov.tw/>36.台灣企業社會責任網站 <http://csr.moea.gov.tw/>37.歐盟統計資訊局(eurostat) <http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/>38.聯合國環境計畫網站UNEP <http://www.unep.fr/en/>39.全球報告協會 <http://www.globalreporting.org/Home>40.世界企業永續發展委員會(WBCSD) <http://www.wbcd.org>41.中華民國企業永續發展協會 <http://www.bcsd.org.tw/>42.歐盟耗能產品(EuP)指令網站 http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/eco_design/dir2005-32.htm43.日本環境省 <http://www.env.go.jp/en/press/2005/0215a.html>44.台灣環境管理會計協會 <http://www.eman-tw.org.tw/>