

The Research of Using TRA to Explore the Postpone Divergence of Accounting Department Student

譚言家、晁瑞明

E-mail: 9806213@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

According to a survey, there are 40~50% of freshmen unconcern of their interest. Almost half of freshmen not sure whether they select appropriate department. Therefore, reform of higher education move toward to advanced countries postpone divergence in recent year. There are 21 universities enroll students regardless of department in 97- year final exam. However, if students were not have perceived the use and professional effectiveness of learning accounting, it would be meaninglessness during semester pe-riod. In order to understand the impact of postpone divergence students ' behavior factor, when the target behavior is choosing accounting department. This research uses reason action relative and elaboration theory likelihood model as basic, to construct the frame of this research, using structural equation model as the analysis method, 821 postpone divergence students as targets were surveyed by questionnaires. The result of this study indicated that the postpone divergence students choosing accounting department ' be-havioral intention is affected by attitude and subjective norm, not affected by perceived usefulness. Among them, the greatest influence degree is subjective norm. Perceived usefulness is affected by argument quality and source credibility. Attitude is affected by perceived usefulness, not affected by source credibility. Subjective norm is affected by peer and supervisor influence. The result might domestic accounting department taken as reference for future development or course design.

Keywords : 理性行為理論、推敲可能性模式、結構方程模式

Table of Contents

中文摘要	iii
英文摘要	iv
誌謝辭	v
內容目錄	vi
表目錄	viii
圖目錄	ix
第一章 緒論	1
第一節 研究背景與動機	1
第二節 研究目的	5
第三節 研究流程	7
第四節 論文架構	8
第二章 文獻探討	9
第一節 高等教育-延後分流與會計相關學系發展趨勢之關聯	9
第二節 理性行為與其相關理論之探究	17
第三節 理性行為下的延後分流	30
第四節 推敲可能性模型下的延後分流	31
第三章 研究方法	36
第一節 理論的推演	37
第二節 研究假說	39
第三節 研究架構	43
第四節 研究變數及操作型定義	46
第五節 問卷設計	49
第六節 前測施行與量表信、效度分析	53
第七節 研究對象與抽樣方法	58
第八節 資料分析方法	59
第四章 研究結果分析	62
第一節 樣本基本資料分析	63

第二節	模式估計	65
第三節	結構方程模式的分析與評鑑	68
第四節	理論的解釋	84
第五章	結論與建議	90
第一節	研究結論	91
第二節	研究建議	94
第三節	研究限制	95
第四節	未來研究建議	96
參考文獻		97
附件A	正式問卷	105

REFERENCES

- 一、中文部分王英杰(1993), 美國高等教育的發展與改革, 北京:人民教育出版社。王良芬(2008, December 27), 2009醫療、教育、保險、會計4大抗衰退行業[線上資料], 來源: http://tw.news.yahoo.com/article/_url/d/a/081227/4/1bwiy.html [2008, December 27]吳明隆(2005), SPSS統計應用學習實務:問卷分析與應用統計(2版), 台北:知城數位科技。邱皓政(2003), 結構方誠模式LISREL的理論、技術與應用, 台北:雙葉書廊。邱家範(2000), 高雄市家戶資源回收行為整合模式研究, 國立中山大學公共事務管理研究所未出版之碩士論文。邱創乾(2003), 學程化教學與延後分流學制之規劃與推動 - 以逢甲大學資訊電機學院為例[線上資料], 來源:www.ieet.org.tw/ee2004/邱創乾.ppt [日期不詳]。周永捷(2007), 大學延後分流 清大:有助了解性向提升表現[線上資料], 來源: <http://www.epochtimes.com/b5/7/11/14/n1901634.htm> [2007, November 15]。教育部(2005), 大學法修正草案, 台北市:教育部。許恩得(2006), 會計知識發展模式與會計學系經營策略(上), 會計研究月刊, 244, 120-126。許恩得(2006), 會計知識發展模式與會計學系經營策略(中), 會計研究月刊, 246, 122-126。許恩得(2006), 會計知識發展模式與會計學系經營策略(下), 會計研究月刊, 247, 114-120。國立台灣師範大學教育研究與評鑑中心(2006), 台灣高教研究電子報[線上資料], 來源: <http://info.cher.ed.ntnu.edu.tw/epaperi/prevcate/docs/20061124.pdf> [2006, November]。張錦弘(2008, July 28), 擇好學生不分系、菁英班招手, 聯合報(台北), C3教育版。陳順宇(2000), 多變量分析(2版), 台北:華泰書局。彭森明(2005), 美國大學院校及大學教育之定位及發展背景, 大學及研究所教育之定位學術研討會論文集(pp.2-1~2-15), 台北:國立台灣大學。黃芳銘(2002), 結構方程模式理論與應用, 台北:五南圖書出版股份有限公司。黃俊英(2000), 多變量分析, 台北:中國經濟企業研究所。薛曉華(2006), 大一新生實施延緩分流制度析論, 台灣高教研究電子報[線上資料], 來源: <http://info.cher.ed.ntnu.edu.tw/epaperi/prevcate/docs/20061124.pdf> [2006, November]。謝金青(1994), 大學校院學生延緩分流制度之可行性研究, 收於黃政傑主編, 大學的課程與教學(pp. 229-264), 台北:漢文書店。謝文華(2006), 杜正勝:大一分院不分系 大學:難[線上資料], 來源: <http://acer.org/modules/news/article.php?storyid=499> [2006, October 27]。
- 二、英文部分Adler, P. S. (1993). Time-and-motion regained. Harvard Business Review, 71(1), 97-108.Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1975). Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research. Reading. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley.Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). Understanding attitudes & predicting social behavior. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A theory of planned behavior, in Action Control from Cognition to Behavior, Kuhl Julius and Bechmann Jurgen, 11-39.Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50, 179-211.Bock , R. D., & Bargmann, R. E. (1966). Analysis of covariance structures. Psychometrika, 31(4), 507-534.Bagozzi, R. P. (1981). Attitudes, intentions, and behavior: A test of some key hypotheses. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 41, 607-627.Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the evaluation structural equation models. Academic of Marketing Science, 16, 74-94.Bandura, A. (1982). Self-efficacy mechanism in human agency. American Psychologist, 37(2), 122-147.Bandura, A., & Jourden, F. J. (1991). Self-regulatory mechanisms governing the impact of social comparison on complex decision making. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 60(6), 941-951.Browne, M. W., & Cudeck, R. (1993). Alternative ways of assessing model fit. In K. A. Bollen & J. S. Long (Eds.), Testing structural equation models, Newbury Park, California: Sage.Bhattacherjee, A., & Sanford, C. (2006). Influence processes for information technology acceptance: An elaboration likelihood model. MIS Quarterly, 30(4), 805-825.Browne, M. W., & Mels, G. (1990). RAMONA user ' s guide. Columbus: Department of Psychology, Ohio State University.Bollen, K. A. (1989). Structural equations with latent variables. New York: Wiley.Chin, W. W., & Todd, P. (1995). On the use, usefulness and ease of use of structural equation modeling in mis research: A note of caution. MIS Quarterly, 19(2), 237-246.Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 32, 319-335.Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical model. Management Science, 35(8), 982-1003.Devellis, R. F. (1991). Scale development- Theory and applications. Newbury Park, California: Sage Publications, Inc.Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation model with unobservables and measurement error. Journal of Marketing Research, 18, 39-50.Hair, J. F. J., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1998). Multivariate Data Analysis (5th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cut off criteria for fit indexes in co-variance structural Equation Modeling, 6(1), 1-55.Joreskog, K. G., & Sorbom, D. (1981). LISREL V: Analysis of linear structural relationships by the method of maximum likelihood. Chicago: National Educational Resources.Joreskog, K. G., & Sorbom, D. (1993). LISREL8.14: Structural equation modeling with the SIMPLIS command language, Chicago: Scientific Software International.Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. Psychometrika, 39, 31-36.Kline, R. B. (1998).

Principles and practice of structural equation modeling, New York: The Guilford Press. Lord, K. R., Lee, M. S., & Sauer, P. L. (1995). The combined influence hypothesis: Central and peripheral antecedent of attitude toward the Ad. *Journal of Advertising*, 24(1), 73-85. Nunnally, J. (1978). Psychometric theory (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill. Petty, R. E., Cacioppo, J. T., & Goldman, R. (1981). Personal involvement as a determinant of argument-based persuasion. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 41(5), 847-855. Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). Communication and persuasion: Central and peripheral routes to attitude change. New York: Springer-Verlag. Petty, R. E., Haugvedt, C. P., & Smith, S. M. (1995). Elaboration as a determinant of attitude strength: Creating attitudes that are persistent, resistant, and predictive of behavior. In R. E. Petty, & J. A. Krosnick (Eds.), *Attitude Strength: Antecedents and consequences*, 93-130. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Rogers, E. M. (1983). Diffusion of innovations (3rd ed.). New York: Free Press. Shimp, T. A., & Kavas, A. (1984). The theory of reasoned action applied to coupon usage. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 11, 795-809. Sussman, S. W., & Siegel, W. S. (2003). Informational influence in organizations: An integrated approach to knowledge adoption. *Information Systems Research*, 14(1), 47-65. Steiger, J. H. (1989). EZPATH: A supplementary module for SYSTAT and SYSGRAPH. Evanston, Illinois: SYSTAT. Triandis, H. C. (1979). Values, attitudes, and interpersonal behavior. In Jr. E. How (Ed.), *Nebraska symposium on motivation*, 27, 195-259. Lincoln, Nebraska: University of Nebraska Press. Taylor, S., & Todd, P. (1995). Understanding information technology usage: A test of competing models. *Information Systems Research*, 6(2), 144-176. Wegener, D. T., & Petty, R. E. (1999). The elaboration likelihood model: Current status and controversies. In S. Chaiken & Y. Trope (Eds.), *Dual-process theories in social psychology*, 41-72. New York: Guilford Press.