

A Study of Influence on Recreation Conflict and Coping Behavior of Mt. Bagua Scenic Area Hikers' Experiences

王升汎、雷文谷

E-mail: 9800767@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT The research is to explore the impact of climbers' experience in Mt. Bagua on its recreation conflict and coping behaviour. The research was conducted through questionnaires. From 300 questionnaires, 260 samples are valid with applicable rate 86.7%. The findings are as follows: 1. Recreation conflict for climbers is not apparent ($M=2.45$). In regard of coping behaviours, 'reasoning' and 'time replacement' are used to adjust its recreation conflict. 2. As far as types of recreation conflicts are concerned, there is no evident difference in terms of climbers' sex, marital status, education background, means of transportation and companions, while there is a notable difference in terms of climbers' age, occupation and monthly income. 3. There is no obvious difference in coping behaviours in terms of climbers' sex, marital status, age, education background, monthly income and means of transportation, while there is a notable difference in terms of climbers' companions. 4. 'Resource replacement' and 'direct actions' have the highest relevance with climbers' coping behaviours. To sum up, the findings of this research are served as suggestions to related government departments, as well as a proposal for further research.

Keywords : Mt. Bagua ; recreation conflict ; coping behaviour

Table of Contents

內容目錄 中文摘要.....	iii	英文摘要.....	iv	誌謝		
辭.....	v	內容目錄.....	vi	表目錄.....	viii	圖目
錄.....	x	第一章 緒論.....	1	第一節 研究背景與動		
機.....	1	第二節 研究目的.....	3	第三節 研究問題.....	3	第四
節 研究範圍.....	4	第五節 研究限制.....	5	第六節 研究流		
程.....	5	第七節 名詞解釋.....	6	第二章 文獻探討.....	7	
第一節 八卦山.....	7	第二節 郊山健走.....	11	第三節 遊憩衝		
突.....	14	第四節 調適行為.....	19	第五節 遊憩衝突與調適行為之關		
係.....	21	第三章 研究方法.....	23	第一節 研究架構.....	23	第二節
研究過程.....	23	第三節 研究工具.....	24	第四節 研究對象與抽樣實		
施.....	26	第五節 資料處理與分析.....	27	第四章 研究結果與討論.....	29	第一
節 研究樣本基本資料.....	29	第二節 八卦山登山健行者遊憩衝突與調適行為現況.....	33	第三節 八卦山登山		
健行者不同背景變項在遊憩衝突 與調適行為之差異分析.....	34	第四節 八卦山登山健行者遊憩衝突與調適				
行為相關性之分析.....	53	第五章 結論與建議.....	55	第一節 結		
論.....	55	第二節 建議.....	56	參考文獻.....	58	附錄A 專
家效度檢視表.....	65	附錄B 研究問卷正式.....	71			

REFERENCES

參考文獻 一、中文部份 王羿婷(2006), 登山健行者對登山自行車活動之遊憩衝突與因應行為研究-以彰化縣花壇鄉虎山岩區為例, 靜宜大學觀光事業學系研究所未出版之碩士論文, 20-55。 田文政(1992), 登山健行適應行為, 台北:中華民國大專院校體育總會。 林欣慧(2001), 解說成效對休閒效益體驗之影響研究—以登山健行為例, 國立臺灣師範大學運動休閒與管理研究所未出版之碩士論文, 30-36。 吳豐州(1997), 大家做夥來爬山, 臺北:鐘郡。 紀宜穎(2006)高密度遊憩區遊客擁擠知覺與調適反應, 銘傳大學觀光研究所未出版之碩士論文, 69-72 陳佳君(2006), 遊憩干擾、使用經驗與調適行為關係之研究-以陽明山健行者為例, 中國文化大學觀光事業研究所未出版之碩士論文, 15-73。 陳嘉雲(2006), 登山健行活動之登山客持續涉入、休閒效益與幸福感關係之研究, 銘傳大學觀光研究所未出版之碩士論文, 8-11。 陳鴻雁(2003), 我國國民運動意識之調查研究, 行政院體育委員會。 陳永龍(2001), 環境倫理、登山安全與入山管制-兼論登山教育與建構本土的登山學, 太魯閣國家公園登山研討會論文集(pp. 17-38), 花蓮:內政部營建署太魯閣國家公園。 陳維霖(2000), 登山學, 台北:國家。 曹正, 李瑞瓊(1989), 觀光地區遊憩活動設施規劃設計準則研究報告, 台北:交通部觀光局。 張雯茹(2006), 遊憩調適行為之研究-以左岸公園為例, 世新大學觀光學研究所未出版之碩士論文, 12-67。 張嘉宇(2003), 遊客面對遊憩區擁擠所採行調適

機制之探討-以劍湖山主題遊樂園遊客為例，私立南華大學旅遊事業管理研究所未出版之碩士論文，30-112。楊南郡(1991)，雪山、大霸尖山國家公園登山步道系統調查報告，台北:內政部營建署。張春興(1989)，張氏心理辭典，台北:東華書局。葉重新(2000)，心理學，台北:空大。參山國家風景區(2006)，關於八卦山，參山國家風景區官方網站[線上資料]，來源: <http://www.trimt-nsa.gov.tw/chinese/08bagua/01intro.asp>[2008, May 31]。彰化縣政府旅遊資訊網(2008)，關於八卦山步道，彰化縣政府旅遊資訊網[線上資料]，來源 http://tourism.chcg.gov.tw/index.asp?a_id=5&b_id=320&c_id=0[2006]。

二、英文部份 Bury, R. L., Holland, S. M., & McEwen, D. N. (1983). Analyzingrecreational conflict-Understanding why conflict occurs is requisiteto managing that conflict. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 37(1), 401-403. Carothers, P., Vaske, J. J., & Donnelly M. P. (2001). Social values versus interpersonal conflict among hikers and mountain bikers. *Leisure Sciences*, 23, 47-61. Decker, P. J., & Borgen, F. H. (1993). Dimensions of work appraisal:Stress, strain, coping, job satisfaction, and negative affectivity. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 40(4), 470-478. Festinger, L. (1957). *A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*. Stanford, California :Stanford University Press. Flexner, S. B., & Hauck, L. C. (Eds.). (1987). *The Random House Dictionary of the English Language*. (2rd ed). New York: Random House. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of Coping in a Middle-aged community sample. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 21(1), 219-239. Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. New York: Springer. Grigg, A. E. (2006). *Conflict Encyclopedia Americana* [Online]. Available: <http://ea.grolier.com/cgi-bin/article?assetid=0104840-00> [2008, May 18] Heberlein, T. A., & Shelby, B. (1977). Carrying Capacity, values and the satisfaction model: A reply to Greist. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 9(2), 142 – 146. Heer, C., Rusterholz, H., & Baur, B. (2003). Forest perception and knowledge of hikers and mountain bikers in two different areas in northwestern Switzerland. *Environment Management*, 31(6), 709-723. Jacob, G. R., & Schreyer, R. (1980). Conflict in outdoor recreation: Atheoretical perspective. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 12(4), 368-380. Johnson, A. K., & Dawson, C. P. (2004). An exploratory study of the complexities of behavior in Adirondack wilderness. *LeisureSciences*, 26(3), 281-293. Lindsay, J., (1980). Trends in outdoor recreation activity conflicts. 1980 National Outdoor Recreation Trends Symposium Proceedings(pp. 221). Pennsylvania: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service. Manning, R. E. (1999). *Student in outdoor recreation: search and research for satisfaction*. Corvallis, Oregon State University Press. Miller, T. A., & McCool, S. F. (2003). Coping with Stress in Outdoor Recreational Settings: An Application of Transactional Stress Theory. *Leisure Sciences*, 25, 257-275. Robertson, R., & Regula, J. (1994). Recreational displacement and overall satisfaction: A study of central Iowa ' s licensed boaters, *Journal of Leisure Research*, 26(2), 174-181. Schuster, R. M., Hammitt, W. E., & Moore, D. (2006). Stress appraisal and coping response to hassles experienced in outdoor recreation settings. *Leisure Sciences*, 28(2), 97-113. Schuster, R., & Hammitt, W. E. (2000). Effective coping strategies in stressful outdoor recreation situations: Conflict on the Ocoee River. *USDA Forest Service Proceedings RMRS-P*, 15(4), 167-174. Schuster, R., Hammitt, W. E., & Moore, D. (2003). A theoretical model to measure the appraisal and coping response to hassles in outdoor recreation settings. *Leisure Sciences*, 25(2), 277-299 Shelby, B., Bregenzer, N. S., & Johnson, R. (1988). Displacement and product shift: empirical evidence from Oregon rivers. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 20(4), 274-288. Shelby, B., & Vaske, J. J. (1991). Resource and activity substitutions for recreational salmon fishing in New Zeland. *Leisure Sciences*, 13, 21 – 32. Taylor, S. E., & Schneider, S. (1989). Coping and the simulation of event. *Social Cognition*, 7(2), 174-194.