

# 利用微生物將纖維素廢棄物轉化為生質酒精 = Conversion of cellulosic wastes to ethanol by microorganism

林則施、吳建一

E-mail: 9708310@mail.dyu.edu.tw

## 摘要

未來將會面臨石油價格的高漲以及由全球性農業(如：五穀、糖和油籽種子)迅速擴展所生產之生質燃料(biofuel)彼此間的潛在問題。然而，由於石油和農業商品之間的衝突，日後可能會導致食物價格大幅的上升。若國家將資源全力發展為生質燃料，那麼將會發生食物短缺並且只能依靠進口的食物來生活，這對於發展中的國家和地區是一項重大的危機。因此，為避免食物價格過度飆漲和營養不良(undernourished)人口的增加，本研究擬以纖維廢棄物作為生產生質燃料之原料。因此，本研究主要目的為利用微生物及物化方法轉化纖維廢棄物變為酒精，結果分為以下四個部份：第一部分為纖維分解及酒精分解菌株的篩選，本研究由不同來源的活性污泥及動物糞便中篩選兩株具有纖維分解能力較強的菌株(PAN-B01和FAC-B01)，其中PAN-B01菌株是由熊糞便中篩選出具有最佳的纖維分解活性經16S rRNA鑑定為Acinetobacter sp.。此外，在酒精生產菌株的篩選中，我們由酒廠之活性污泥及酒粕中分離出兩株酵母菌株，經實驗證實Zymomonas mobilis具有比酵母菌高的酒精生產能力。因此，往後我們將以Z. mobilis進行酒精生產試驗。第二部份為利用稀硫酸轉化纖維素變為醣類，纖維的來源有竹子和稻稈粉，其中竹子粉來源為台灣綠竹Bambusa oldhamii Munro (台灣竹林面積廣達 15 萬公頃)；稻稈粉來源為一般稻米稈(台灣稻田面積約27萬公頃)。於不同硫酸濃度(0.1-0.5 M)及溫度(30-100 °C)條件下以稀硫酸水解纖維，在水解過程中測定cellobiose, arabinose, glucose, xylose和cellotetraose的濃度，使用Arrhenius數學方法解析於不同溫度下酸水解竹子及稻稈粉變為醣類的動力學參數，結果顯示竹子粉水解成cellotetraose具有最大的活化能(82.1 kJ/mol)；而稻稈粉則是水解成cellobiose具有最大的活化能(31.6 kJ/mol)。第三部份生物分解纖維部份結果顯示對Acinetobacter sp. (PAN-B01)菌株來說，水解纖維之最佳條件為以Urea作為培養基中氮源操作在150 rpm及pH 7.0的反應條件下；對FAC-B01菌株來說，水解纖維之最佳條件則為以Urea作為培養基中氮源操作在150 rpm及pH 9.0的反應條件下。第四部份為利用固定化菌體顆粒生產酒精，本研究使用經PVA (polyvinyl alcohol)固定化之Zymomonas mobilis與懸浮的Z. mobilis比較兩者間酒精生產的情形，結果顯示經PVA固定化之菌體其可以生產酒精的溫度可提高至50 °C且其酒精耐受度可提高至10% (v/v)以上。此結果顯示固定化菌體可以改善菌體本身對環境的耐受度。

關鍵詞：竹子、稻稈、纖維素、酸水解、固定化、酒精生產

## 目錄

封面內頁 簽名頁 授權書iii 中文摘要iv 英文摘要vi 誌謝viii 目錄ix 圖目錄xv 表目錄xxiv 1. 前言 1.2. 文獻回顧 3 2.1 生物質能源之優缺勢 3 2.2 開發乙醇能源之重要性 3 2.3 國外微生物乙醇能源之研發現況 4 2.4 國內微生物乙醇能源之研發現況 6 2.5 利用纖維轉換酒精的重要性 7 2.6 國內之竹纖維及稻稈纖維背景說明 10 2.7 木質纖維素介紹 11 2.8 纖維分解菌株 15 2.8.1 天然可分解纖維之菌株 19 2.8.2 突變的纖維分解菌株 20 2.8.3 纖維素水解酵素介紹 21 2.8.4 纖維分解過程中的抑制影響 24 2.9 乙醇生產 26 2.9.1 天然生產乙醇之微生物 26 2.9.2 利用基因工程技術開發乙醇能源 28 2.9.3 利用固定化微生物技術生產酒精之相關研究 31 2.9.4 乙醇生產過程中的抑制影響 34 3. 材料與方法 39 3.1 實驗材料 39 3.1.1 實驗藥品 39 3.1.2 儀器設備 40 3.2 菌種來源與菌種篩選、鑑定、生長條件及動力學特性研究 41 3.2.1 竹子、稻稈化學組成分析 41 3.2.2 竹子纖維分解 43 3.2.3 可生產酒精之微生物篩選 46 3.2.4 環境因子對菌株生長及生產之影響 47 3.2.5 優勢菌株之16S rDNA鑑定 49 3.3 固定化微生物顆粒之製備 50 3.3.1 批次釀酵大量培養：收集菌體細胞準備固定化 50 3.3.2 菌體量之量測 50 3.3.3 固定化微生物之製備及其基本性質測定 51 3.3.4 環境因子對固定化酒精生產菌株顆粒生產酒精之影響 54 3.4 分析方法 56 3.4.1 還原糖定性與定量 56 3.4.2 Glucose、cellobiose、cellotetrose、arabinose和xylose之分析 57 3.4.3 剎果紅測試 58 3.4.4 纖維素分解酵素活性測試 58 3.4.5 乙醇的檢測 59 4. 結果與討論 61 4.1 纖維分解部份 61 4.1.1 竹子、稻稈纖維之萃取 61 4.1.2 竹纖維之分析 65 4.1.3 利用物化方法水解竹纖維成單糖 67 4.1.4 利用酸處理水解纖維粉末成單糖之動力學解析 74 4.1.4.1 不同酸濃度水解纖維粉末 74 4.1.4.2 於不同溫度條件下水解纖維粉末 79 4.1.4.3 利用SEM觀察纖維粉末表面結構 87 4.1.4.4 利用FT-IR及XRD (X-ray diffraction) 計算纖維粉末之結晶度 89 4.1.4.5 不同克數纖維粉末經酸水解之結果 95 4.1.5 纖維分解菌株篩選與鑑定 99 4.2 纖維菌株分解纖維活性測試 119 4.2.1 Acinetobacter sp. (PAN-B01) 119 4.2.1.1 不同氮源種類對Acinetobacter sp. (PAN-B01) 纖維分解菌株分解纖維之影響 119 4.2.1.2 不同pH對Acinetobacter sp. (PAN-B01) 菌株分解纖維之影響 123 4.2.1.3 培養基中不同溶氧濃度對纖維分解菌株Acinetobacter sp. (PAN-B01) 分解竹子粉之影響 125 4.2.2 FAC-B01 4.2.2.1 不同氮源種類對纖維分解菌株FAC-B01分解纖維之影響 127 4.2.2.2 不同pH對纖維分解菌株FAC-B01分解纖維之影響 131 4.2.2.3 培養基中不同溶氧濃度對纖維分解菌株FAC-B01分解竹子粉之影響 133 4.2.3 纖維分解菌株分解竹子粉與竹子可溶性纖維之活性比較 135 4.3 酒精生產部份 138

4.3.1 酒精生產菌株篩選138 4.3.2 固定化顆粒選擇140 4.3.3 固定化顆粒基本特性140 4.3.4 選擇固定化顆粒材質143 4.3.5 固定化及懸浮酒精生產菌株*Zymomonas mobilis*生產條件試驗159 4.3.5.1 以低glucose濃度(20 g/L)當碳源基質時，pH值對*Z. mobilis*生產酒精之影響159 4.3.5.2 以高glucose濃度(100 g/L)當碳源基質時，pH值對*Z. mobilis*生產酒精之影響163 4.3.5.3 攪拌速率對*Z. mobilis*生產酒精之影響167 4.3.5.4 葡萄糖濃度對*Z. mobilis*生產酒精之影響170 4.3.5.5 溫度對*Z. mobilis*生產酒精之影響177 4.3.5.6 外加乙醇濃度對*Z. mobilis*生產酒精之影響180 4.4 *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) & *Z. mobilis*及FAC-B01 & *Z. mobilis*混合培養同時進行糖化與發酵185 5. 結論187 參考文獻189 附錄209 圖目錄 Figure 1-1 Schematic of this study procedure2 Figure 2-1 Schematic structural formula for lignin. The structure illustrates major interunit linkages and other features described in the text15 Figure 2-2 Schematic representation of the hydrolysis of amorphous and microcrystalline cellulose by noncomplexed22 Figure 3-1 Scheme of screening strategies of cellulose-degrading microorganisms45 Figure 3-2 Scheme of extraction of solubility cellulose from bamboo and rice straw powder48 Figure 3-3 Scheme of hydrolysis of cellulose by physical/chemical methods49 Figure 3-4 Schematic diagram of immobilization method of *Z. mobilis* using PVA materials51 Figure 4-1 Dependence of solubility (Sa) of cellulose on the mass of bamboo powder in NaOH/thiourea aqueous solution63 Figure 4-2 Dependence of solubility (Sa) of cellulose on the mass of rice straw powder in NaOH/ thiourea aqueous solution (freezed dried)64 Figure 4-3 FT-IR spectra of bamboo powder66 Figure 4-4 Effects of boiling waterbath and autoclaving on hydrolysis of bamboo powder to glucose71 Figure 4-5 Effects of boiling waterbath and autoclaving on hydrolysis of rice straw powder to glucose72 Figure 4-6 The varied mass of different fiber powder hydrolysis to glucose which hydrolysates obtained with 1% NaOH and than treat with 0.2 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with autoclaving at 121 °C for 20 min73 Figure 4-7 In C - In C<sub>0</sub> against time (min) that treated for bamboo powder with different concentration H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 100 °C 76 Figure 4-8 In C - In C<sub>0</sub> against time (min) that treated for rice straw powder with different concentration H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 100 °C 77 Figure 4-9 In C - In C<sub>0</sub> against time (min) that treated for bamboo powder with 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at different temperature82 Figure 4-10 Arrhenius plots of sugar production from bamboo powder at different temperature (used 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in this study)84 Figure 4-11 In C - In C<sub>0</sub> against time (min) that treated for rice straw powder with 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at different temperature85 Figure 4-12 Arrhenius plots of sugar production from rice straw at different temperature (used 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in this study)86 Figure 4-13 SEM images of the surface morphology of Bamboo powder treated with different treatments87 Figure 4-14 SEM images of the surface morphology of rice straw powder treated with different treatments87 Figure 4-15 FTIR Spectra of bamboo powder91 Figure 4-16 Resolution of X-ray diffraction curve of bamboo powder92 Figure 4-17 FTIR Spectra of rice straw powder93 Figure 4-18 Resolution of X-ray diffraction curve of rice straw powder94 Figure 4-19 The varied mass of bamboo powder hydrolysis to different sugar which hydrolysates obtained with 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with boiling waterbath for 10 h97 Figure 4-20 The varied mass of rice straw powder hydrolysis to different sugar which hydrolysates obtained with 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> with boiling water bath for 10 h98 Figure 4-21(a) (A)-(C) Congo red test: cellulose degradation activities of experimental cellulolytic microbes isolates on CMC-agar plate101 Figure 4-21(b) (D)-(F) Congo red test: cellulose degradation activities of experimental cellulolytic microbes isolates on CMC-agar plate102 Figure 4-21(c) (G)-(I) Congo red test: cellulose degradation activities of experimental cellulolytic microbes isolates on CMC-agar plate103 Figure 4-21(d) (J)-(L) Congo red test: cellulose degradation activities of experimental cellulolytic microbes isolates on CMC-agar plate104 Figure 4-22 Effect of nitrogen source on reducing sugar release from bamboo cellulose by isolated *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) from bear, after incubation at 37 °C, 150 rpm for 120 h121 Figure 4-23 Effect of nitrogen source on reducing sugar release from CMC cellulose by isolated *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) from bear, after incubation at 37 °C, 150 rpm for 120 h122 Figure 4-24 Effect of pH on reducing sugar release from bamboo cellulose by isolated *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) from bear, after incubation at 30 °C, 150 rpm for 72 h124 Figure 4-25 Effect of agitation rate on reducing sugar and glucose release from bamboo cellulose by isolated *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) from bear, after incubation at 37 °C, 150 rpm for 72 h126 Figure 4-26 Effect of nitrogen source on reducing sugar release from bamboo cellulose by isolated FAC-B01 from cattle, after incubation at 37 °C, 150 rpm for 120 h129 Figure 4-27 Effect of nitrogen source on reducing sugar release from CMC cellulose by isolated FAC-B01 from cattle, after incubation at 37 °C, 150 rpm for 120 h130 Figure 4-28 Effect of pH on reducing sugar release from bamboo cellulose by isolated FAC-B01 from cattle, after incubation at 30 °C, 150 rpm for 72 h132 Figure 4-29 Effect of agitation rate on reducing sugar and glucose release from bamboo cellulose by isolated FAC-B01 from cattle, after incubation at 37 °C, 150 rpm for 72 h134 Figure 4-30 Effect of different substrate on reducing sugar and glucose release from bamboo cellulose by isolated Acinetobacter sp. PAN-B01 from bear, after incubation at 37 °C, 150 rpm for 72 h136 Figure 4-31 Effect of different substrate on reducing sugar and glucose release from bamboo cellulose by isolated FAC-B01 from cattle, after incubation at 37 °C, 150 rpm for 72 h137 Figure 4-32 The time course biomass and ethanol product concentration for free yeast at batch and static cultures using glucose as carbon source139 Figure 4-33 Adsorption isotherms of nitrogen gas on various immobilized beads142 Figure 4-34(a) The time course of biomass and ethanol product concentration for free and different immobilized yeast at batch cultures using glucose as carbon source (Run 1)145 Figure 4-34(b) The time course of biomass and ethanol product concentration for free and different immobilized yeast at batch cultures using glucose as carbon source (Run 2)146 Figure 4-34(c) The time course of biomass and ethanol product concentration for free and different immobilized yeast at batch cultures using glucose as carbon source (Run 3)147 Figure 4-34(d) The time course of biomass and ethanol product concentration for

free and different immobilized yeast at batch cultures using glucose as carbon source (Run 4)148 Figure 4-34(e) The time course of biomass and ethanol product concentration for free and different immobilized yeast at batch cultures using glucose as carbon source (Run 5)149 Figure 4-34(f) The results of the production of ethanol by various immobilized-cell beads during repeat-batch fermentation150 Figure 4-35(a) Microbial population development and distribution of alginate gel beads during continuous operation151 Figure 4-35(b) Microbial population development and distribution of alginate gel beads during continuous operation152 Figure 4-35(c) Microbial population development and distribution of PAA gel beads during continuous operation153 Figure 4-35(d) Microbial population development and distribution of PAA gel beads during continuous operation154 Figure 4-35(e) Microbial population development and distribution of PVA gel beads during continuous operation155 Figure 4-35(f) Microbial population development and distribution of PVA gel beads during continuous operation156 Figure 4-36 The photograph of various immobilized-cell beads157 Figure 4-37 The time course of biomass and ethanol product by free and immobilized *Z. mobilis* cell beads at different pH in batch cultures161 Figure 4-38 The results of the production of ethanol by various pH during batch fermentation162 Figure 4-39 The time course of biomass and ethanol product by free and immobilized *Z. mobilis* at different pH in batch cultures165 Figure 4-40 The results of the production of ethanol by different pH during batch fermentation166 Figure 4-41 The time course of biomass and ethanol product by free and immobilized *Z. mobilis* cell beads at different stirrer speed in batch cultures168 Figure 4-42 The results of the production of ethanol by different stirrer speed during batch fermentation169 Figure 4-43 Effect of glucose concentration on ethanol product by free and immobilized *Z. mobilis* in batch cultures173 Figure 4-44 The results of the production of ethanol by varied mass of glucose during batch fermentation174 Figure 4-45 Plots of average specific growth rate, cell mass yield, ethanol yield on glucose, and ethanol yield on cell mass in suspended cell system175 Figure 4-46 Plots of average specific growth rate, cell mass yield, ethanol yield on glucose, and ethanol yield on cell mass based on bulk biomass from immobilized-cell beads176 Figure 4-47 The time course of biomass and ethanol product by free and immobilized *Z. mobilis* at variable temperature in batch cultures178 Figure 4-48 The results of the production of ethanol by variable temperature during batch fermentation179 Figure 4-49 The time course of biomass and ethanol product by free and immobilized *Z. mobilis* in different initial ethanol conc. in batch cultures182 Figure 4-50 Different concentration of ethanol evaporate at different time183 Figure 4-51 Effects of added ethanol on fermentative activity184 Figure 4-52 Simultaneous cellulose degradation and fermentation of ethanol by mixed culture186 表目錄 Table 2-1 The summary of production of fuel ethanol in Taiwan8 Table 2-2 Policies of bioethanol content of the petrol in the future in Taiwan9 Table 2-3 Advantages of lignocelluloses-based liquid biofuels9 Table 2-4 Major morphological features of cellulolytic bacteria16 Table 2-5 The summary of cellulose-degrading microorganisms in Taiwan18 Table 2-6 Comparative attributes for ethanol production by *Zymomonas* and yeast27 Table 2-7 The summary of production of fuel ethanol in foreign countries30 Table 2-8 Effects of inhibiting compounds on fermentation32 Table 2-9 Examples of oxygen regulation on ethanol production from xylose38 Table 3-1 Chemical composition of the *Bambusa oldhamii* Munro compared to others species42 Table 3-2 Mandels-Reese medium44 Table 3-3 Composition of the DNS (Dinitrosalicylic acid) reagent57 Table 4-1 The quantity of sugar release with different concentration H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 100 °C 78 Table 4-2 The quantity of sugar release with 0.2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at different temperature83 Table 4-3 Parameters obtained in the fitting using the Arrhenius equation for the sugars released in the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> hydrolysis of bamboo powder84 Table 4-4 Parameters obtained in the fitting using the Arrhenius equation for the sugars released in the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> hydrolysis of rice straw powder86 Table 4-5 Crystallinity index (CrI) of bamboo powder91 Table 4-6 Crystallinity index (CrI) of bamboo powder92 Table 4-7 Compare with cellulose degrading ability of different cellulose degrading bacteria105 Table 4-8 The colony morphology of isolated bacterial strain106 Table 4-9 DNA sequence alignment of the isolated cellulose-degrading bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) in database of NCBI BLAST109 Table 4-10(a) The DNA sequence comparisons of the isolated cellulose-degrading bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) with *Acinetobacter* sp. HX-2006110 Table 4-10(b) The DNA sequence comparisons of the isolated cellulose-degrading bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) with *Acinetobacter* sp. HX-2006111 Table 4-11(a) The DNA sequence comparisons of the isolated cellulose-degrading bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) with *Acinetobacter* sp. TUT1001 gene112 Table 4-11(b) The DNA sequence comparisons of the isolated cellulose-degrading bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) with *Acinetobacter* sp. TUT1001 gene113 Table 4-12(a) The DNA sequence comparisons of the isolated cellulose-degrading bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) with *Acinetobacter* sp. KS2 gene114 Table 4-12(b) The DNA sequence comparisons of the isolated cellulose-degrading bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) with *Acinetobacter* sp. KS2 gene115 Table 4-13(a) The DNA sequence comparisons of the isolated cellulose-degrading bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) with *Acinetobacter* sp. PPT1 gene116 Table 4-13(b) The DNA sequence comparisons of the isolated cellulose-degrading bacteria *Acinetobacter* sp. (PAN-B01) with *Acinetobacter* sp. PPT1 gene117 Table 4-14 The summary of the isolated cellulose-degrading microorganisms in this research118 Table 4-15 The screening sources comparisons of the isolated cellulose-degrading microorganisms with other research reports118 Table 4-16 Surface area and pore volume of various immobilized beads141 Table 4-17 The physical characteristics of various immobilized-cell beads158

1. 朱文淚。1983。利用甜高粱原料生產酒精燃料之研究。國立臺灣大學農業化學研究所碩士論文。台北。2. 朱義旭。2005。A two-step acid-catalyzed process for the production of biodiesel from rice bran oil , 第二屆國科會化工暨環工學門聯合成果應用研討會 - 生質能源、薄膜技術與觸媒技術。國科會化工學門。九月十六日。中興大學。3. 江晃榮。1983。由纖維質碳水化合物的生物轉化生產液態燃料之研究，國立臺灣大學農業化學研究所碩士論文。台北。4. 行政院農業委員會，URL: [http://bulletin.coa.gov.tw/show\\_index.php](http://bulletin.coa.gov.tw/show_index.php) 5. 吳文騰。2003。生物產業技術概論。國立清華大學出版社。新竹。6. 林立夫、郭明朝、楊盛行和陳建源。2006。生質能源開發與利用，生質能源開發與利用研討會論文集。3月16-17日。行政院原子能委員會核能研究所。國立臺灣大學工學院工綜館國際演講廳。7. 邱文娟。2002。利用固定化菌體生產酒精之研究。國立清華大學化學工程學系碩士論文。新竹。8. 郁儀豪。2003。蔗渣堆肥中嗜高溫菌之分離與應用。國立臺灣大學環境工程學研究所碩士論文。台北。9. 袁振宏、吳創之和馬隆龍。2004。生物質能利用原理與技術。化學工業出版社。北京。10. 張元銘。2004。快速熱裂解技術應用於生質燃料之製作。嘉南藥理科技大學環境工程與科學系碩士論文。台南。11. 張嘉修和陳威廷。2004。纖維素水解菌之培養策略與纖維素水解酵素之鑑定。國立成功大學化學工程學系碩士論文。台南。12. 曾瑤萍。2000。以反應曲面法尋找多目標模擬模式之最佳解 – 以半導體封裝廠印字區為例。國立成功大學製造工程研究所碩士論文。台南。13. 黃俊宏。1993。汽油添加酒精作為汽車燃料之可行性研究。國立臺灣大學機械工程研究所碩士論文。台北。14. 楊紹榮。1995。農業廢棄物處理與再利。URL: <http://www.tndais.gov.tw/Soil/b1.htm> 15. 經濟部能源局。URL: <http://www.moeaec.gov.tw/> 16. 齊倍慶。2001。從堆肥中篩選纖維素分解酵素生產菌及其酵素性質研究。國立清華大學生命科學系碩士論文。新竹。17. 蘇遠志和黃世佑。2002。微生物化學工程學。華香園出版社。三版。台北。18. 尤智立。2003。嗜高溫纖維分解菌纖維分解酵素的探討。國立中山大學生物科學研究所碩士論文。高雄。19. 周姿佑。1999。農業纖維素誘導嗜高溫菌生產纖維素?之探討。國立台灣大學食品科技研究所碩士論文。台北。20. 周柏伸。2006。利用酸前處理提高纖維酵素水解蔗渣效率之研究。國立台灣大學生物產業機電工程學研究所碩士論文。台北。21. 黃俊宏。1993。汽油添加酒精作為汽車燃料之可行性研究。國立臺灣大學機械工程研究所碩士論文。台北。22. 葉丁源。1997。嗜高溫放線菌纖維素分解酵素之探討。國立台灣大學農業化學研究所碩士論文。台北。23. 齊倍慶。2001。從堆肥中篩選纖維素分解酵素生產菌及其酵素性質研究。國立清華大學生命科學系碩士論文。新竹。24. 蘇宗振。2007。我國生質能源發展趨勢與農業政策-生物產業機電工程之機會與挑戰

<http://www.bime.ntu.edu.tw/%E8%B3%87%E6%96%99%E4%B8%8B%E8%BC%89/%E7%94%9F%E8%B3%AA%E8%83%BD%E6%BA%90%E7%99%BC%E5%B1%95%E8%B6%A8%E5%8B%A2%E8%98%87%E5%AE%97%E6%8C%AF%E7%A7%91%E9%95%B7.pdf>。

25. Aksenova, H. Y., Rainey, F. A., Janssen, P. H., Zavarzin, G. A. and Morgan, H. W. 1992. *Spirochaeta thermophila*, new species, an obligately anaerobic, polysaccharolytic, extremely thermophilic bacterium. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 42:175-177. 26. Alexander, M. A., Chapman, T. W. and Jefferies, T. W. 1987. Continuous ethanol production from D-xylose by *Candida shehatae*. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 30: 685-691. 27. Alexander, M. A., Chapman, T. W. and Jefferies, T. W. 1988. Continuous xylose fermentation by *Candida shehatae* in a two-stage reactor. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 17: 221-229. 28. Alexander, M. A., Chapman, T. W. and Jefferies, T. W. 1989. Continuous-culture response of *Candida shehatae* to shifts in temperature and aeration: implications for ethanol inhibition. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 55: 2152-2154. 29. Alfredsson, G. A., Kristjansson, J. K., Hjorleifsdottir, S. and Stetter, K. O. 1988. *Rhodothermus marinus*, new genus new species, a thermophilic, halophilic bacterium from submarine hot springs in Iceland. Microbiology 134: 299-306. 30. Ando, S., Arai, I., Kiyoto, K. and Hanai, S. 1986. Identification of aromatic monomers in steam-exploded poplar and their influence on ethanol fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Journal of Fermentation Technology 64: 567-570. 31. Aristidou, A. and Penttila, M. 2000. Metabolic engineering applications to renewable resource utilization. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 11: 187-198. 32. Avgerinos, G. C. and Wang, D. I. C. 1983. Selective solvent delignification for fermentation enhancement. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 25: 67-83. 33. Bagnara, C., Gaudin, C. and Belaich, J. P. 1987. Physiological properties of *Cellulomoma fermentans*, a mesophilic cellulolytic bacterium. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 26: 170-176. 34. Bagnara, C., Toci, R., Gaudin, C. and Belaich, J. P. 1985. Isolation and characterization of a cellulolytic microorganism, *Cellulomonas fermentans*, sp. Nov. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 35: 502-507. 35. Baillargeon, M. W., Jansen, N. B., Gong, C. S. and Tsao, G. T. 1983. Effect of oxygen uptake rate on ethanol production by a xylose-fermenting yeast mutant *Candida* sp. XF217. Biotechnology Letters 5: 339-344. 36. Barras, F., van Gijsegem, F. and Chatterjee, A. K. 1994. Extracellular enzymes and pathogenesis of soft-rot *Erwinia*. Annual Review of Phytopathology 32: 201-234. 37. Beguin, P. 1987. Cloning of cellulase gene. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology 6: 129-162. 38. Bergquist, P. L., Gibbs, M. D., Morris, D. D., Te'o, V. S. J., Saul, D. J. and Morgan, H. W. 1999. Molecular diversity of thermophilic cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic bacteria. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 28: 99-110. 39. Birch, R. M. and Walker, G. M. 2000. Influence of magnesium ions on heat shock and ethanol stress responses of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Enzyme Microbial and Technology 26: 678-687. 40. Bjerre, A. B., Olesen, A. B. and Fernqvist, T. 1996. Pretreatment of wheat straw using combined wet oxidation and alkaline hydrolysis resulting in convertible cellulose and hemicellulose. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 49: 568-577. 41. Brener, D. and Johnson, B. F. 1984. Relationship between substrate concentration and fermentation product ratios in *Clostridium thermocellum* cultures. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 47: 1126-1129. 42. Brown, S. W., Oliver, S. G., Harrison, D. E. F. and Righelato, R. C. 1981. Ethanol inhibition of yeast growth and fermentation: Differences in the magnitude and complexity of the effect. European Journal of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 11: 151-155. 43. Bryant, M. P. 1959. Bacterial species of the rumen. Bacteriological Reviews 23: 125-153. 44. Cadoche, L. and Lopez, G. D. 1989. Assessment of size reduction as a preliminary step in the production of ethanol from lignocellulosic wastes. Biological Wastes 30: 153-157. 45. Casey, G. P. and Ingledew, W. M. 1986. Ethanol tolerance in yeasts. Critical Reviews in Microbiology 13: 219-280. 46. Chang, P., Tsai, W. S., Tsai, C. L. and Tseng, M. J. 2004. Cloning and characterization of two thermostable xylanases from an alkaliphilic *Bacillus firmus*. Biochemical

and Biophysical Research Communications 319: 1017-1025. 47. Chung, I. S. and Lee, Y. Y. 1985. Effect of in-situ ethanol removal on fermentation of xylose by *Pachysolen tannophilus*. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 7: 217-219. 48. Cosgrove, D. J. 1998. Cell walls: Structures, biogenesis, and expansion. In: Plant Physiology 409-443. Taiz L., Zeiger E., Eds., Sinauer Associates, Inc, Sunderland. 49. Datsenko, K. A. and Wanner, B. L. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K12 using PCR products. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 97: 6640-6645. 50. Delgenes, J. P., Moletta, R. and Navarro, J. M. 1988. Continuous production of ethanol from a glucose, xlyose, and arabinose mixture by a flocculent strain of *Pichia stipitis*. Biotechnology Letters 10: 725-730. 51. Desvaux, M., Guedon, E. and Petitdemange, H. 2001. Kinetics and metabolism of cellulose degradation at high substrate concentrations in steadystate continuous cultures of *Clostridium cellulolyticum* on a chemically defined medium. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67: 3837-3845. 52. Dien, B. S., Hespell, R. B., Ingram, L. O. and Bothast, R. J. 1997. Conversion of corn milling fibrous co-products into ethanol by recombinant *Escherichia coli* strains K011 and SL40. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 13: 619-625. 53. du Preez, J. C., Bosch, M. and Prior, B. A. 1987. Temperature profiles of growth and ethanol tolerance of the xylose-fermenting yeasts *Candida shehatae* and *Pichia stipitis*. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 25: 521-525. 54. du Preez, J. C., van Driessel, B. and Prior, B. A. 1988. The relation between redox potential and D-xylose fermentation by *Candida shehatae* and *Pichia stipitis*. Biotechnology Letters 10: 901-906. 55. El-Refai, H. A., AbdelNaby, M. A., Gaballa, A., El-Araby, M. H. and Abdel Fattah, A. F. 2005. Improvement of the newly isolated *Bacillus pumilus* FH9 keratinolytic activity. Process Biochemistry 40: 2325-2332. 56. Farrell, A. E., Plevin, R. J., Turner, B. T., Jones, A. D., O ' Hare, M. and Kammen, D. M. 2006. Ethanol can contribute to energy and environmental goals. Science 311: 506-508. 57. Fujita Y., Ito, J., Ueda, M., Fukuda, H. and Kondo, A. 2004. Synergistic saccharification, and direct fermentation to ethanol, of amorphous cellulose by use of an engineered yeast strain codisplaying three types of cellulolytic enzyme. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 70: 1207-1212. 58. Fujita, Y., Ito, J., Ueda, M., Fukuda, H. and Kondo, A. 2004. Synergistic saccharification, and direct fermentation to ethanol, of amorphous cellulose by use of an engineered yeast strain codisplaying three types of cellulolytic enzyme. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 70: 1207-1212. 59. Fujita, Y., Takahashi, S., Ueda, M., Tanaka, A., Okada, H., Morikawa, Y., Kawaguchi, T., Arai, M., Fukuda, H. and Kondo, A. 2002. Direct and efficient production of ethanol from cellulosic material with a yeast strain displaying cellulolytic enzymes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68: 5136-5141. 60. Gallagher, J., Winters, A., Barron, N., McHale, L. and McHale, A. P. 1996. Production of cellulose and beta-glucosidase activity during growth of the actinomycete *Micromonospora chalcae* on cellulose-containing media. Biotechnology Letters 18: 537-540. 61. Ghasem, N., Habibollah, Y., Ku, S. and Ku, I. 2004. Ethanol fermentation in an immobilized cell reactor using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Bioresource Technology 92: 251-260. 62. Ghose, T.K. 1987. Measurement of cellulase activities. Pure and Applied Chemistry 59: 257-268. 63. Gikas, P. and Livingston, A. G. 1997. Specific ATP and specific oxygen uptake rate in immobilized cell aggregates: Experimental results and theoretical analysis using a structured model of immobilized cell growth. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 55: 660-672. 64. Godden, B. and Penninckx, M. J. 1984. Identification and evolution of the cellulolytic microflora present during composting of cattle manure: on the role of Actinomycetes sp. Annals of Microbiology 135B: 69-78. 65. Gonzalez-Pajuelo, M., Andrade, J. C. and Vasconcelos, I. 2004. Production of 1,3-propanediol by *Clostridium butyricum* VPI 3266 using a synthetic medium and raw glycerol. Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology 31: 442-446. 66. Gordon, R. E., Haynes, W. C. C. and Pang, H. N. 1973. The genus *Bacillus*. Agriculture handbook 427. Agricultural Research Service. US. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C. 67. Gray, K. A., Zhao, L. and Emptage, M. 2006. Bioethanol. Current Opinion in Chemical Biology 10: 141-146. 68. Grohmann, K., Torget, R. and Himmel, M. 1985. Optimization of dilute acid pretreatment of biomass. Biotechnology and Bioengineering Symposium 15: 59-80. 69. Hahn-Hagerdal, B., Galbe, M., Gorwa-Grauslund, M. F., Liden, G. and Zacchi, G. 2006. Bio-ethanol-the fuel of tomorrow from the residues of today. Trends in Biotechnology 24: 549-556. 70. He, L., Li, W., Huang, Y., Wang, L., Liu, Z., Lanoot, B., Vancanneyt, M. and Swings, J. 2005. *Streptomyces jietaisiensis* sp. nov., isolated from soil in northern China. International Journal of Systemtic and Evolutionary Microbiology 55: 1939-1944. 71. Herrera, S. 2006. Bonkers about biofuels. Nature Biotechnology 24: 755-760. 72. Herrero, A. A., Gomez, R. F., Snedecor, B., Tolman, C. J. and Roberts, M. F. 1985. Growth inhibition of *Clostridium thermocellum* by carboxylic acids: a mechanism based on uncoupling by weak acids. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 22: 53-62. 73. Hespell, R. B., Wyckoff, H., Dien, B. S. and Bothast, R. J. 1999. Stabilization of pet operon plasmids and ethanol production in *Escherichia coli* strains lacking lactate dehydrogenase and pyruvate formate-lyase activities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 62: 4594-4597. 74. Ho, N. W. Y., Chen, Z., Brainard, A. P. and Sedlak, M. 1999. Successful design and development of genetically engineered *saccharomyces* yeasts for effective cofermentation of glucose and xylose from cellulosic biomass to fuel ethanol. Advances in Biochemical Engineering Biotechnology 65: 163-192. 75. Holtzapfel, M. T., Jun, J. H., Ashok, G., Patibandla, S. L. and Dale, B. E. 1991. The ammonia freeze explosion (AFEX) process: A practical lignocellulose pretreatment. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 28/29: 59-74. 76. Hoppe, G. K. and Hansford, G. S. 1982. Ethanol inhibition of continuous anaerobic yeast growth. Biotechnology Letters 4: 39-44. 77. Hormeyer, H. F., Tailliez, P., Millet, J., Girard, H., Bonn, G., Bobleter, O. and Aubert, J. P. 1988. Ethanol production by *Clostridium thermocellum* grown on hydrothermally and organosolv-pretreated lignocellulosic materials. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 29: 528-535. 78. Huber, R., Woese, C. R., Langworthy, T., Kristjansson, J. K. and Stetter, K. O. 1990. *Fervidobacterium islandicum*, new species, a new extremely thermophilic eubacterium belonging to the "Thermotogales". Archives of Microbiology 154: 105-111. 79. Hungate, R. E. 1966. The rumen and its microbes. Academic Press, New York. 80. Ingram, L. O., Conway, T., Clark, D. P., Sewell, G. W. and Preston, J. F. 1987. Genetic engineering of ethanol production in *Escherichia coli*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 53: 2420-2425. 81. Jackson, M. G. 1977. The alkali treatment of straws. Animal Feed Science and Technology 2: 105-130. 82. Jeffries, T. W. and Jin, Y. S. 2000. Ethanol and thermotolerance in

the bioconversion of xylose by yeasts. *Advances in Applied Microbiology* 47: 221-268. 84. Johnson, E. A., Bouchot, F. and Demain, A. L. 1985. Regulation of cellulase formation in *Clostridium thermocellum*. *The Journal of General Microbiology* 131: 2303-2308. 85. Johnson, E. A., Reese, E. T. and Demain, A. L. 1982. Inhibition of *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Journal of Applied Biochemistry* 4: 64-71. 86. Kauri, Y. and Kushner, D. J. 1985. Role of contact in bacterial degradation of cellulose. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 31: 301-306. 87. Kerr, R. A. 1998. The next oil crisis looms large and possibly close. *Science* 281: 1128-1131. 88. Khan, A. W. 1988. Factors affecting the utilization of steam- and explosiondecompressed aspen wood by cellulolytic anaerobes. *Biomass* 15: 269-280. 89. Khan, A. W., Asther, M. and Giuliano, C. 1984. Utilization of steam- and explosion-decompressed aspen wood by some anaerobes. *Journal of Fermentation Technology* 62: 335-339. 90. Khan, A. W., Meek, E., Sowden, C. L. and Colvin, J. R. 1994. Emendation of genus *Acetivibrio* and description of *Acetivibrio cellulosolvens*, new species, of nonmotile cellulolytic mesophile. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* 34: 410-422. 91. Kim, B. H. 1987. Carbohydrate catabolism in cellulolytic strains of *Cellulomonas*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Nocardia*. *The Journal of Microbiology (Korea)* 25: 28-33. 92. Kim, S., Jungand, H. C. and Pan, J. G. 2000. Bacterial cell surface display of an enzyme library for selective screening of improved cellulase variants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 66: 788-793. 93. Kirk, T. K. 1987. Lignin-degrading enzymes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A* 321: 461-474. 94. Kumakura, M. 1997. Preparation of immobilized cellulase beads and their application to hydrolysis of cellulosic materials. *Process Biochemistry* 32: 555-559. 95. Lamed, R., Kenig, R., Morag, E., Calzada, J. F., Micheo, F. D. and Bayer, E. A. 1991. Efficient cellulose solubilization by a combined cellulosome- $\beta$ -glucosidase system. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 27: 173-183. 96. Lee, H., Atkin, A. L., Barbosa, M. F. S., Dorsch, D. R. and Schneider, H. 1988. Effect of biotin limitation on the conversion of xylose to ethanol and xylitol by *Pachysolen tannophilus* and *Candida guilliermondii*. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 10: 81-84. 97. Li, X. 2004. Physical, Chemical, And Mechanical Properties Of Bamboo And Its Utilization Potential For Fiberboard Manufacturing. 98. Li, X. and Gao, P. 1997. Isolation and partial properties of cellulose-decomposing strain of *Cytophaga* sp. LX-7 from soil. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 82: 73-80. 99. Lin, C., Urbance, J. W. and Stahl, D. W. 1994. *Acetivibrio cellulolyticus* and *Bacteroides cellulosolvens* are members of the greater clostridial assemblage. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 124: 151-155. 100. Ljungdahl, L. G., Bryant, F., Carrera, L., Saiki, T. and Wiegel. 1981. Some aspects of thermophilic and extreme thermophilic microorganisms, p.397-419. In A. Holleander (ed.), Trends in the biology of fermentations for fuels and chemicals. Plenum Press, New York, N.Y. 101. Lucas, C. and van Uden, N. 1985. The temperature profiles of growth, thermal death and ethanol tolerance of the xylosefermenting yeast *Candida shehatae*. *Journal of Basic Microbiology* 25: 547-550. 102. Luong, J. H. T. 1985. Kinetics of ethanol inhibition in alcohol fermentation. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 27: 280-285. 103. Lynd, L. P., Weimer, P. L., van Zyl, W. H. and Pretorius, I. S. 2002. Microbial Cellulose Utilization: Fundamentals and Biotechnology. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 66: 506-577. 104. Lynd, L. R. 1996. Overview and evaluation of fuel ethanol production from cellulosic biomass: technology, economics, the environment, and policy. *Annual Reviews of Energy and the Environment* 21: 403-465. 105. Lynd, L. R., Grethlein, H. E. and Wolkin, R. H. 1989. Fermentation of cellulosic substrates in batch and continuous culture by *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 55: 3131-3139. 106. Lynd, L. R., Wolkin, R. H. and Grethlein, H. E. 1987. Continuous fermentation of pretreated hardwood and Avicel by *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering Symposium Series* 17: 265-274. 107. Madden, R. H., Bryder, M. J. and Poole, N. J. 1982. Purification and isolation of an anaerobic, cellulolytic, bacterium, *Clostridium papyrosolvens* sp. nov. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* 32: 87-91. 108. Maiorella, B., Blanch, H. W. and Wilke, C. R. 1983. By-product inhibition effects on ethanolic fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 25: 103-121. 109. Martin, M., Delgenes, J. P., Moletta, R. and Navarro, J. 1992. Biomass degradation products affecting xylose fermentation by *Pichia stipitis* and *Candida shehatae*. In: *Biomass for Energy, Industry and Environment: 6th E. C. Conference* 1332-1336. 110. Matsumoto, N., Momose, I., Umekita, M., Kinoshita, N., Chino, M., Iinuma, H., Sawa, T., Hamada, M. and Takeuchi, T. 1998. Diperamycin, a new antimicrobial antibiotic produced by *Streptomyces griseoaurantiacus* MK393-AF2. I. Taxonomy, fermentation, isolation, physico-chemical properties and biological activities. *Journal of Antibiotics (Tokyo)* 51: 1087-1092. 111. McBee, R. H. 1950. The anaerobic thermophilic cellulolytic bacteria. *Bacteriological Reviews* 14: 51-63. 112. McMillan, J. D. 1996. Hemicellulose conversion to ethanol. In: Wyman, C.E. (Ed.), *Handbook on Bioethanol: Production and Utilization*. Taylor & Francis, Washington, DC, pp. 287-313. 113. Millis, N. F. 1956. A study of the cider-sickness bacillus-a new variety of *Zymomonas* anaerobia. *The Journal of General Microbiology* 15: 521-528. 114. Monique, H., Faaij, A., van den Broek, R., Berndes, G., Gielen, D. and Turkenburg, W. 2003. Exploration of the ranges of the global potential of biomass for energy. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 25: 119-133 115. Montgomery, L., Flesher, B. and Stahl, D. 1982. Transfer of *Bacteroides succinogenes* (Hungate) to *Fibrobacter* gen. nov. as *Fibrobacter succinogenes* comb. nov. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* 38: 430-435. 116. Morjanoff, P. J. and Gray, P.P. 1987. Optimization of steam explosion as method for increasing susceptibility of sugarcane bagasse to enzymatic saccharification. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 29: 733-741. 117. Murai T., Ueda, M., Kavaguchi, T., Arai, M. and Tanaka, M. 1998. Assimilation of cellobiosesaccharides by a cell surface-engineered yeast expressing  $\beta$ -glucosidase and carboxymethylcellulase from *Aspergillus aculeatus*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 64: 4857-4861. 118. Najafpour, G. 1990. Immobilization of microbial cells for the production of organic acids. *Journal of Science Islamic Republic of Iran* 1: 172-176. 119. Najafpour, G., Younesi, H. and Ku Ismail, K. S. 2004. Ethanol fermentation in an immobilized cell reactor using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Bioresource Technology* 92: 251-260. 120. Ng, T. K. and Zeikus, J. G. 1982. Differential metabolism of cellobiose and glucose by *Clostridium thermocellum* and *Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 150: 1391-1399. 121. Ng, T. K., Ben-Bassat, A. and Zeikus, J. G. 1981. Ethanol production by thermophilic bacteria: fermentation of cellulosic substrates by cocultures of *Clostridium thermocellum* and *Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 41:

- 1337-1343. 122. Ng, T. K., Weimer, P. J. and Zeikus, J. G. 1977. Cellulolytic and physiological properties of *Clostridium thermocellum* Archives of Microbiology 114: 1-7. 123. Nicholson, C., Foody, B., Malloch, E., Malloch, L., Fiander, H., Wayman, M., Parekh, S. and Parekh, R. 1989. Optimization of a pentose fermentation system for exploded wood sugars. Proceedings of 7th Can. Bioenergy Research Development Semester pp. 385-390. 124. Nigam, J. N., Gogoi, B. K. and Bezbarua, R. L. 1998. Short communication: Alcoholic fermentation by agar-immobilized yeast cells. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 14: 457-459. 125. Nishikawa, N. K., Sutcliffe, R. and Saddler, J. N. 1988. The influence of lignin degradation products on xylose fermentation by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 27: 549-552. 126. Nishise, H., Ogawa, A., Tani, Y. and Yamada, H. 1985. Studies on microbial glycerol dehydrogenase. 4: glycerol dehydrogenase and glycerol dissimilartion in *Cellulomonas* sp. NT3060. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry 49: 629-636. 127. Novak, M., Strehaino, P., Moreno, M. and Goma, G. 1981. Alcoholic fermentation: on the inhibitory effect of ethanol. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 23: 201-211. 128. Osman, Y. A. and Ingram, L. O. 1985. Mechanism of ethanol inhibition of fermentation in *Zymomonas mobilis* CP4. Journal of Bacteriology 164: 173-180. 129. Pampulha, M. E. and Loureiro, V. 1989. Interaction of the effects of acetic acid and ethanol on inhibition of fermentation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biotechnology Letters 11: 269-274. 130. Panesar, P. S., Marwaha, S. S. and Kennedy, J. F. 2006. *Zymomonas mobilis*: an alternative ethanol producer. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology 81: 623-635. 131. Park, W. S. and Ryu, D. D. Y. 1983. Cellulolytic activities of *Clostridium thermocellum* and its carbohydrate metabolism. Journal of Fermentation Technology 61: 563-571. 132. Pavlostathis, S. G., Miller, T. L. and Wolin, M. J. 1988. Kinetics of insoluble cellulose fermentation by continuous cultures of *Ruminococcus albus*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 54: 2660-2663. 133. Percival Zhang, Y. H., Himmel, M. E. and Mielenz, J. R. 2006. Outlook for cellulase improvement: Screening and selection strategies. Biotechnology Advances 24: 452-481. 134. Petitdemange, E., Caillet, F., Giallo, J. and Gaudin, C. 1984. *Clostridium cellulolyticum* sp. nov., a cellulolytic mesophilic species from decayed grass. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 34: 155-159. 135. Rainey, F. A., Donnison, A. M., Janssen, P. H., Saul, D., Rodrigo, A., Bergquist, P. L., Daniel, R. M., Stackebrandt, E. and Morgan, H. W. 1994. Description of *Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus* gen. nov., sp. nov: an obligately anaerobic, extremelythermophilic, cellulolytic bacterium. FEMS Microbiology Letters 120: 263-266. 136. Rogers, G. M., Jackson, S. A., Shelver, G. D. and Baecker, A. A. W. 1992. Anaerobic degradation of lignocellulosic substrates by a 1,4- $\beta$ -xylanolytic *Clostridium* species novum. International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation 29: 3-17. 137. Rogers, P. L., Lee, K. J., Skotnicki, K. L. and Tribe, D. E. 1982. In: Advances in Biochemical Engineering. Vol. 27, Fiechter, A. ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York) p. 37-84. 138. Russell, J. B. 1992. Another explanation for the toxicity of fermenting acids at low pH: anion accumulation vs. uncoupling. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 73: 363-370. 139. Ryu, Z., Zheng, J., Wang, M. and Zhang, B. 1999. Characterization of pore size distributions on carbonaceous adsorbents by DFT. Carbon 37: 1257-1264. 140. Saddler, J. N. and Chan, M. K. H. 1984. Conversion of pretreated lignocellulosic substrates to ethanol by *Clostridium thermocellum* in monoculture and co-culture with *Clostridium thermosaccharolyticum* and *Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum*. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 30: 212-220. 141. Saigal, D. 1993. Yeast strain development for ethanol production. Indian Journal of Microbiology 33: 159-168. 142. Sanchez, C. R., Schwartz, P. C. and Ramos, B. H. 1999. Growth and endoglucanase activity of *Acetivibrio cellulolyticus* grown in three different cellulosec substrates. Revista de Microbiologia 30: 310-314. 143. Shafer, M. L. and King, K. W. 1965. Utilization of cellulose oligosaccharides by *Cellvibrio gilvus*. Journal of Bacteriology 89: 113-116. 144. Sharma, V. K. and Hagen, J. C. 1995. Isolation and characterization of *Clostridium hobsonii* comb. nov. Bioresource Technology 51: 61-74. 145. Shigechi, H., Koh, J., Fujita, Y., Matsumoto, T., Bito, Y., Ueda, M., Satoh, E., Fukuda, H. and Kondo, A. 2004. Direct production of ethanol from raw corn starch via fermentation by use of a novel surface-engineered yeast strain codisplaying glucoamylase and  $\alpha$ -amylase. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 70: 5037-5040. 146. Shimwell, J. L. 1937. Study of a new type of beer disease bacterium (*Achromobacter anaerobium* sp. nov) producing alcoholic fermentation of glucose. Journal of the Institution Brewing (London) 43: 507-509. 147. Shindo, S. and Kamimura, M. 1990. Immobilized of yeast with hollow PVA gel beads. Process Biochemistry 70: 232-234. 148. Simankova, M. V., Chernych, N. A., Osipov, G. A. and Zavarzin, G. A. 1993. *Halocella cellulolytica*, gen. nov. sp. nov., a new obligately anaerobic, halophilic, cellulolytic bacterium. Systematic and Applied Microbiology 16: 385-389. 149. Skoog, K. and Hahn-Hagerdal, B. 1990. Effect of oxygenation on xylose fermentation by *Pichia stipitis*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 56: 3389-3394. 150. Sprenger, G. A. 1996. Carbohydrate metabolism in *Zymomonas mobilis*: a catabolic highway with some scenic routes. FEMS Microbiology Letters 145: 301-307. 151. Sreenath, H. K., Chapman, T. W. and Jeffries, T. W. 1986. Ethanol production from D-xylose in batch fermentations with *Candida shehatae*: process variables. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 24: 292-299. 152. Stewart, C. S. and Flint, H. J. 1989. *Bacteroides* (Fibrobacter) succinogenes, a cellulolytic anaerobic bacterium from the gastrointestinal tract. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 30: 433-439. 153. Sun, R., Mark Lawther, J. and Banks, W. B. 1995. Influence of alkaline pre-treatments on the cell wall components of wheat straw. Industrial Crops and Products 4: 127-145. 154. Svetlichnyi, V. A., Svetlichnaya, T. P., Chernykh, N. A. and Zavarzin, G. A. 1990. *Anaerocellum thermophilum*, new genus new species an extreme thermophilic cellulolytic eubacterium isolated from hot springs in the Valley of Geysers. Microbiology 59: 871-879. 155. Takamitsu, I., Izumida, H., Akagi, Y. and Sakamoto, M. 1993. Continuous ethanol fermentation in molasses medium using *Zymomonas mobilis* immobilized in photo-cross linkable resin gels. Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering 75: 32-35. 156. Torget, R., Walter, P., Himmel, M. and Grohmann, K. 1991. Dilute-acid pretreatment of corn residues and short-rotation woody crops. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 28/29: 75-86. 157. Tran, A. V. and Chambers, R. P. 1986. Ethanol fermentation of red oak acid prehydrolysate by the yeast *Pichia stipitis* CBS 5776. Enzyme Microbiology and Technology 8: 439-444. 158. Van den Broek, R. 2000. Sustainability of biomass electricity systems-an assessment of costs, macro-economic and environmental impacts in Nicaragua, Ireland and the Netherlands. Utrecht University, p 215. 159. Van Gylswyk, N. O.

and Van der Toon, J. J. T. K. 1986 Description and designation of a neotype strain of *Eubacterium cellulosolvens* (*Cillobacterium celluloselvens*). International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 36: 275-277. 160. Van Zyl, C., Prior, B. A. and Preez, J. C. 1991. Acetic acid inhibition of D-xylose fermentation by *Pichia stipitis*. Enzyme Microbiology and Technology 13: 82-86. 161. Vance, I., Topham, C. M., Blayden, S. L. and Tampion, J. 1980. Extracellular cellulose production by *Sporocytophaga myxococcoides* NCBI 8639. The Journal of General Microbiology 59: 3492-3494. 162. Veeramallu, U. and Agrawal, P. 1988. Study of fermentation behavior and formulation of a semidefined nutrient medium based on acid-production measurements in *Z. mobilis* cultures. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 31: 770-782. 163. Vega, J. L., Clausen, E. C. and Gaddy, J. L. 1988. Biofilm reactors for ethanol production. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 10: 390-402. 164. Veliky, I. A. and Williams, R. E. 1981. The production of ethanol by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* immobilized in polycation-stabilized calcium alginate gels. Biotchnology Letters 3: 275-280. 165. Vilijoen, J. A., Fred, E. B. and Peterson, W. H. 1926. The fermentation of cellulose by thermophilic bacteria. The Journal of Agricultural Science 16: 1-5. 166. Vuillet, S., Spinnler, H. E. and Blachere, H. 1986. Analysis of amino acid requirements of *Clostridium thermocellum*. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 23: 496-498. 167. Wachinger, G., Bronnenmeier, K., Staudenbauer, W. L. and Schrempf, H. 1989. Identification of mycelium-associated cellulase from *Streptomyces reticuli*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 55: 2653-2657. 168. Watson, N. E., Prior, B. A., Lategan, P. M. and Lussi, M. 1984. Factors an acid treated bagasse inhibition ethanol production from D-xylose by *Pachysolen tannophilus*. Enzyme Microbiology and Technology 6: 451-456. 169. Wheals, A. E., Basso, L. C., Alves, D. M. G. and Amorim, H. V. 1999. Fuel ethanol after 25 years. Trends in Biotechnology 17: 482-486. 170. Williams, D. and Douglas, M. M. 1981. The production of ethanol by immobilized yeast cell. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 13: 1813-1825. 171. Wood, T. M., Wilson, C. A., McCrae, S. I. and Joblin, K. N. 1986. A highly active extracellular cellulase from the anaerobic rumen fungus *Neocallimastix frontalis*. FEMS Microbiology Letters 34: 37-40. 17