## A Study on How Front-View Proportion Influences the Beauty of Passenger Cars

# 卓裕仁、楊旻洲

E-mail: 9707849@mail.dyu.edu.tw

#### **ABSTRACT**

While environment protection has become an inevitable issue nowadays, how to design a car with aesthetic durability is also becoming more and more important and worthy of further studying. Borrowing the idea from many references, which had showed attractiveness of averaging faces, this research tried to investigate if an average front-view profile of passenger car from existing models can be attractive. Thirty middle-size passenger cars with ten of each from American, European, and Asian car manufacturers were selected as samples. Average front-view profile was obtained by connecting the averaged normalized coordinates of control points of sample cars. Average positions of front-view elements, such as grille, headlamp, air intake, and fog lamp, were obtained similarly. Twenty subjects were invited to evaluate the attractiveness of front-view profiles of average car and four exiting models. The result showed that the average side-view profile was considered as the most attractive one. In order to see how attractiveness changes if the forms of front-view elements are different, three sets of front-view elements were designed. By varying the size and position of those elements, five front-views for each set were generated, including one fitting the average proportion. Sixty subjects were invited to evaluate the attractiveness of five varying front-views of all three sets. The results also showed that in all three sets the ones fitting the average positions were considered as most attractive.

Keywords: Automobile, Front-View, Average, Aesthetics, Proportion

## Table of Contents

封面內頁 簽名頁 授權書	iii 中文摘要	iv 英文摘
要v 誌謝	vi 目錄	vii 圖目
錄ix 表目錄	xii 第一章 緒論	1.1 研究背景與動
機01 1.2 研究目標	02 1.3 研究範圍與降	录制03 1.4 論文
架構04 第二章 文獻探	討 2.1 汽車設計	06 2.2 黃金比例相關研
究16 2.3 造型美感相關定義	26 第三章 研究7	方法 3.1 平均車型輪廓建
構29 3.2 平均車型與樣本車	之美感評價比較34 3.3 育	前視特徵元素之平均位置分
析36 3.4 前視特徵元素水平方向比	比例分析37 第四章 結果	分析 4.1 歐美亞平均車型比
較39 4.2 主要尺寸比例分析	41 4.3 五部車型比	比例美感評價比較45
4.4 整合平均型外觀輪廓與特徵元素輪廓	50 第五章 設計驗證 5.1 初步設計	發展52 5.2 設
計草圖描繪與發展55 5.3 三編	且車型美感評價結果分析	58 第六章 結論 6.1 結
論64 6.2 後續研究建	議65 參考文鳥	狀附錄一 30部四門轎車前視圖樣
本71 附錄二 第一階段問卷內容	74 附錄三 第二階段	设兩兩比對問卷內容75

### **REFERENCES**

一、中文文獻 1.李玉如,2005,比例關係應用於時尚性產品設計之探討-以個人配飾為個案之研究,華梵大學工業設計研究所碩士學位論文。 2.呂清夫,1991,造形原理,雄獅。 3.李奧維著,丘宏義譯,2004,黃金比例1.618的祕密,遠流。 4.林榮泰,1984,2.618:1-汽車外型尺寸比例,工業設計,46,pp.23-31。 5.武井勝雄,劉振源譯,1996,設計教育入門,世界文物,pp85-92。 6.施懿芳,2005,汽車造形輪廓之意象認知與心智分類對應關係研究,國立雲林科技大學工業設計系碩士班碩士論文。 7.徐福興,2007,汽車型種之平均形研究,國立台灣科技大學設計研究所碩士論文。 8.徐崇展,2007,汽車品牌造形之平均形研究,台灣科技大學設計研究所碩士論文。 9. 翁嘉聲,2004,汽車造形形變對於意象認知與美感反應之關係研究,國立台灣科技大學設計研究所碩士論文。 10.唐大崙,由反應變異探討黃金比美感的心理實質性,中國廣告學刊,第九期,pp.134-142。 11.陳建昌,2005,汽車正面造形特徵與意象認知之關聯性研究,華梵大學工業設計研究所碩士學位論文。 12.專利與知識產權-中國專利法,2004,外觀設計的美感,技術論文。 13.楊浩明,2004,由黃金比例來探討產品造形與應用於新產品造形校正之研究,大同大學工業設計系研究所碩士論文。 14.楊憶婷,2003,室內設計之完形心理視覺構成架構研究,中原大學室內設計研究所碩士學位論文。 15.楊琇君,2002,可愛造形之比例研究,國立台灣科技大學設計研究所碩士論文。 16.楊裕富,2007,設計美學的建構,中華民國空間設計學報,第二期。 17.詹慧珊,吳明芳,林祈帆,2006,影像處理技術於美容整體造型之臉型判別上的應用,Journal of Hospitality and Home Economics,Vol.3,No.1,pp133-151。 18.蔡詩怡,2003,汽

車造形輪廓之型態特徵辨識與認知研究,國立雲林科技大學工業設計系碩士班碩士論文。 19.錢佛鑫,1996,專用汽車造型設計的美學 法則及其應用,武漢汽車工業大學。 20.Bulent Atalay 著,牛小婧、鄒瑩 譯,2007,數學與蒙娜麗莎The Art and Science of Leonardo da Vinci,時報文化。 21.Jacques Maquest 著,袁汝儀 譯,2003,美感經驗The Aesthetic Experience,雄獅美術。 22.Kimberly Elam,2003, 設計幾何學,中國水利水電出版社,知識產權出版社。 二、英文文獻 23.Birch Fett, 2006, An In-depth Investigation of the Divine Ratio, Montana Council of Teachers of Mathematics, ISSN 1551-3440, Vol.3, No.2, pp.157-175. 24. Chiara Eva Catalano, 2004, Feature-Based Methods for Free Form Surface Manipulation Aesthetic Engineering, Genova University, Italy, 25. Chiare E., Catalano, Franca Giannini, Matina Monti, and Giuliana Ucelli, 2007, A Framework for the Automatic Annotation of Car Aesthetics, Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing, Vol.21, pp.73-90. 26. Chen S & Parent R, 1989, Shape Averaging and Its Application to Industrial Design, IEEE Computer Graphics & Applications, Vol. 191, pp. 47-54. 27. Jui-Chen KAO, Mitsuo KAMAIKE, Toru NAGAO, 2003, Study for Style Image Evaluation of Scooters in Taiwan and China, Chiba University, Japan. 28. Jean-Yves Baudouin, Guy Tiberghien, 2003, Symmetry, Averageness, and Feature Size in the Facial Attractiveness of Women, ELSEVIER, pp.313-332. 29. Jurgen Schmidhuber, 1998, Facial Beauty and Fractal Geometry, IDSIA, pp.28-98. 30. Magnus Enquist, Stefano Ghirlanda, Daniel Lundqvist, and Carl Adam Wachtmeister, 2003, An Ethological Theory of Attractiveness, Advances in Visual Cognition, Vol.1, Facial Attractiveness, 31, Rachel MaDonnell and Ann McNamara, Application of the Golden Ratio to the 3D Facial Model, University of Dublin. 32.R. J. Edler, 2001, Background Considerations to Facial Aesthetics, British Orthodontic Society, Vol.28, No.2, pp.159-168. 33. Seong hwan PARK, Mitsuo KAMAIKE, Toru NAGAO, 2003, A Study of the Expression in the Front View Design of a Passenger Car, Chiba University, Japan. 34. Sheryl Brahnam, 2005, A Computational Model of the Trait Impressions of the Face for Agent Perception and Face Synthesis, AISB Journal, SSAISB. 35. Seyed Javad ZAFARMAND, 2003, An Experiential Approach to Products Aesthetic Sustainability: Analysis of Aesthetic Unsustainability in Japanese Mobile Phones, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Chiba University. 36.Willem G. Knoop, Ernest J.J. van Breemen, Imre Horva'th, Joris S.M. Vergeest, 1998, Towards Computer Supported Design for Aesthetics, Delft University of Technology, 37. Yosh Jefferson, 2004, Faacial Beauty - Establishing a Universal Standard, IJO, Vol.15, No.1. 38. Yosh Jefferson, DMD, FAGD, IBO, 1996, Skeletal Types: Key to Unraveling the Mystery of Facial Beauty and Its Biologic Significance, JGO, Vol.7, No.2.