

The Impact of Institution on Opportunity Development:A Case of GSEO

張芳瑜、劉子歆

E-mail: 9707401@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

This study is based on the normative, the regulative and the cognitive in the institutional systems. The three systems to explain when an enterprise facing the environmental change, this system acting role as well as whose the influence of opportunity development. This study also develops a framework of the institution and the opportunity development to show, the normative, the regulative and the cognitive among three institutional system and the opportunity development. This study uses an unique case of Genius Electronic Opticrl Co., Ltd, to analyze this issue. To analyze its institutional environment how to affect the opportunity development, that is these how from its predecessor, Hohsin Opticrl Co., Ltd, counter potential relay success in business performance. Finally, this study also specifically proposed that a contribution to the theory regarding the institutional theory and the entrepreneurial theory, as well as is connected the suggestion in practices.

Keywords : institutional theory ; the opportunity development ; entrepreneurship

Table of Contents

中文摘要	iii	英文摘要
iv 誌謝辭	v	內容目錄
. vi 表目錄	viii	圖目錄
. . . ix 第一章 緒論	1	第一節 研究背景
. 1 第二節 研究動機與目的	2	第三節 研究流程
. 4 第二章 文獻探討	5	第一節 制度理論
. 5 第二節 機會開發	8	第三節 創業精神
. 12 第四節 制度對機會開發之研究模式關係建構	13	第三章 研究方法
. 15 第一節 研究對象	15	第二節 資料來源與彙整 .
. 16 第三節 資料分析方式	17	第四節 信度與效度分
析 18 第四章 個案分析	19	第一節 台灣光學
產業現況 19 第二節 個案背景介紹	24	第三節 個案
發展分期 30 第五章 結論與建議	39	第一節
研究結論 39 第二節 研究建議	40	參考文獻
. 42 附錄A 玉晶光電組織圖	47	附錄B
玉晶光電發展年表	48	附錄C 訪談紀錄
目錄表 2-1 制度的三個支柱	51	表 17
表 3-1 個案研究資料庫目錄	6	表 3-1 個案研究資料庫目錄
表 4-1 台灣光學產業結構表	23	表 4-2 玉晶光主要產品及服務項目
394-95年間投入之研發費用	27	表 4-4 開發成功之技術或商品
光學元件廠營運分析	27	表 4-4 開發成功之技術或商品
理論架構圖	29	圖目錄 圖 1-1 研究流程圖
4-2 台灣光學元件總值推估	14	圖 4-1 全球光學元件總值推估
22 圖 4-4 玉晶光電重要事件圖	20	圖 4-3 2006年全球主要光學元件應用產品市場量
. 26 圖 4-6 制度系統對機會開發之影響(華路藍縷期)	25	圖 4-5 玉晶轉投資事業組織圖
. 34 圖 4-8 制度系統對機會開發之影響(產能衰退期)	32	圖 4-7 制度系統對機會開發之影響(核心轉變期)
. 38	37	圖 4-9 制度系統對機會開發之影響(低調保守期)

REFERENCES

- 一、中文部分 玉晶光電股份有限公司(2006), 玉晶光電股份有限公司九十五年年報[線上資料], 來源: http://doc.tse.com.tw/server-java/t57sb01?step=1&colorchg=1&co_id=3406&year=95&mtype=F& [2006, October 16]。呂良添(1998), 創業導向、管理實務、人際網路與組織績效關係之研究, 國立中央大學人力資源管理研究所未出版之碩士論文。林佩璇(2000), 個案研究及其在教育研究上的應用, 質

的研究方法 , 239-262。財團法人光電科技工業協進會(2006) , 全球光學元件產業評估[線上資料] , 來源
<http://www.pida.org.tw/welcome.asp> [2006, May 26]。莊正民 , 朱文儀 , 黃延聰(2001) , 制度環境、任務環境、組織型態與協調機制 - 越南台商的實證研究 , 管理評論 , 20(3) , 123-151。許英傑 , 黃慧玲 , 劉鳳冠(2007) , 創業精神、創業機會與零售業態創新:以燦坤3C連鎖店為例 , 創業管理研究 , 2(1) , 165-196。劉常勇 , 謝如梅(2006) , 創業管理研究之回顧與展望:理論與模式探討 , 創業管理研究 , 1(1) , 1-43。鄭呈皇(2005) , 苦熬十五年 - 玉晶光電變身新股王 , 商業周刊 , 895。二、英文部分 Acs, Z., & Audretsch, D. (1990). Innovation and small firms, cambridge. Massachusetts: MIT Press. Ardichvili, A., Cardozo, R., & Ray, S. (2003). A theory of entrepreneurial opportunity identification and development. Journal of business venturing, 18, 105-123. Bruyat, C., & Julien, P. (2001). Defining the field of research in entrepreneurship. Journal of business venturing, 16(2), 165-180. Busentiz, L. W., Spencer, J. W., & Gomez, C. (2000). Country institutional profiles: Unlocking entrepreneurial phenomena. Academy of management journal, 43(5), 994-1003. Churchill, G. A., & Peter, J. P. (1984), Research Design Effects on the Reliability of Rating Scales: A meta-analysis. Journal of marketing research, 21, 360-375. Dew, N., Velamuri, S. R., & Venkataraman, S. (2004). Dispersed knowledge and an entrepreneurial theory of the firm. Journal of business venturing, 19, 659-679. Ducker, P. F. (1985). Innovation and entrepreneurship. New York: Harper Row. Gnyawali, D., & Fogel, D. S. (1994). Environments for entrepreneurship development: Key dimensions and research implications. entrepreneurship theory and practice, 18, 43-62. Hunnan, M., & Freeman, J. (1989). Organizational ecology. Harvard university press. Meyer, J. W., Boli, J., & Thomas, G. M. (1987). Ontology and Rationalization in the Western Cultural Account, In Thomas, G. M., Meyer, J. W., Ramirez, F. O., & Boli, J. (Eds.), Institutional Structure: Constituting State, Society and the Individual. California: Sage, Beverly Hills, 12-37. North, D. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic Performance. Cambridge: Cambridge university press,. Peter, F. (2002). Innovation and entrepreneurship: practice and principles. Boston, Mass : Harvard business school press. Ripsas, S. (1998). Towards an interdisciplinary theory of entrepreneurship. Small business economics, 10, 103-115. Sarasvathy, S. D., Dew, N., Velamuri, S. R., & Venkataraman, S. (2003). Three views of entrepreneurial opportunity, in Acs, Z. J. & Audretsch, D. B. (eds.). Handbook of entrepreneurship research, 141-160. Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). The theory of economic development, Cambridge. Massachusetts: Harvard university press. Scott, W. R. (2001). Institutions and organizations. Thousand oaks: Sage. Spencer, J. W., & Gomez, C. (2004). The Relationship among National Institutional Structures, Economic Factors, and Domestic Entrepreneurial Activity: A Multicountry Study. Journal of Business Research, 57, 1098-1107. Shane, S. (2003). A General theory of entrepreneurship: The Individual-Opportunity Nexus, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham. Massachusetts: Northampton. Shane, S. & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The Promise of Entrepreneurship as a Field of Research. Academy of Management Review, 25(1), 217-226. Specht, P. H., (1993). Munificence and Carrying Capacity of the Environment and Organization Formation. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 17(2), 77-86. Swedberg, R. (2000). Entrepreneurship: the Social Science View. New York: Oxford University Press. Tushman, M. L., & Anderson, P. (1986). Technological Discontinuities and Organizational Environments. Administrative Science Quarterly, 31, 439-465. Ucbasaran, D., Westhead, P., & Wright, M. (2001). The Focus of Entrepreneurial Research: Contextual and Process Issues. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 26(2), 57-80. Yin, R. K. (1994). Case study research: Design and methods (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage. Zucker, L. G. (1977). The Role of Institutionalization in Cultural Persistence. American Sociological Review, 42, 726-743.