

Intrusion Detection Scheme Based on the Integration of Honeypot and Vulnerability Techniques

孫學智、曹偉駿

E-mail: 9707370@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

As the popularization of internet, in order to protect system and communication security, users use some network communication encryption schemes to protect data from being stolen when transmitting data across computers, such as: SSH and SSL. To protect networks from malicious attacks, different security gears has been developed for different environments, such as: firewall, vulnerability scanning, honeypot and intrusion detection systems. Although vulnerability scans can detect what kind of attacks the network will be explored to, but when hackers or internet virus actually attack, firewall and intrusion detection systems sending alarm signals to administrators, false alarms or misuse detection will occur due to outdated or lack of patterns, and the early warning system could not be fully functioned. If honeypots can be collocated to obtain the characteristics of attacks of hackers or virus originators, it can certainly help administrators to increase the security of networks and systems. According to current most literature, honeypot is an independent high-interactive or low-interactive environment, so experienced intruders can detect whether it is a honeypot environment by the ICMP response time or by giving advanced commands (such as hash command of FTP) when connected to servers, and therefore choose whether they keep attacking or leave. As for administrators, data collected by honeypot sometimes are too complicated to effectively perform intrusion analysis. Thus, this research validates attack characteristics data collected by honeypots with vulnerability scanned results after preprocessing procedures based on hybrid environments. Moreover, the system can notify administrators by e-mails when intrusion characteristics, to allow administrators to realize the occurrences of attacks in an earlier phase.

Keywords : honeypot ; intrusion detection system ; network security ; local area network

Table of Contents

中文摘要	iii	英文摘要	iv	誌謝				
辭	vi	內容目錄	vii	表目錄				
	viii	圖目錄	ix	第一章 緒論				
論	1	第一節 研究背景與動機	1	第二節 研究目的				
	1	第三節 研究範圍與限制	2	第四節 論文架構				
	2	第二章 文獻探討	5	第一節 網路安全				
	5	第二節 入侵偵測系統	9	第三節 誘捕系統				
	14	第三章 入侵通報系統	25	第一節 誘捕系統運作模式				
	25	第二節 入侵偵測通報架構	26	第四章 系統建置與實驗				
	32	第一節 環境介紹	32	第二節 記錄檔分析				
33	第三節 系統實驗	39	第四節 比較與討論	43	第五章 結論及未來發展	46	參考文獻	47

REFERENCES

- 一、中文部份 資策會FIND/經濟部技術處(2008), 創新資訊應用研究計劃[線上資料], 來源: <http://www.iii.org.tw/> [2008, January 2] 賴溪松(2004), 網路攻防實驗教材, 資通安全:系列14, 資通安全專輯, 台北:財團法人國家實驗研究院科技政策研究與資訊中心。
- 二、英文部份 Arkin, O. (2001). ICMP Usage in Scanning, The complete Know-How [Online]. Available: http://www.sys-security.com/archive/papers/ICMP_Scanning_v3.0.pdf [2001, June 1]. Artaila, H., Safab, H., Sraja, M., Kuwatlya, I., & Al-Masria, Z. (2006). A hybrid honeypot framework for improving intrusion detection systems in protecting organizational networks. *Computers & Security*, 25(4), 274-288. Balas, E. (2003). Know your enemy: Sebek [Online]. Available: <http://www.honeynet.org/papers/sebek.pdf> [2003, November 17]. Balas, E., Travis, G., & Viecco, C. (2006). A Dynamic Filtering Technique for Sebek System Monitoring. *Proceedings of the Information Assurance Workshop* (pp. 275 – 282), USA: Military Academy of New York. Biermann, E., Cloete, E., & Venter, L. M. (2001). A comparison of intrusion detection system. *Computer and Security*, 20(8), 676-683. CERT, (2008). Publications about vulnerabilities [Online], Available: http://www.cert.org/stats/cert_stats.html [2008,

Apr 14]. Cliff, S. (1990). *The Cuckoo's Egg: Tracking a Spy through the Maze of Computer Espionage*. New York: Pocket Books.

Cukier, M., & Panjwani, S. (2007). A Comparison between Internal and External Malicious Traffic. *Proceedings of the 18th IEEE International Symposium on Software Reliability* (pp. 109-114), Sweden: University West of Trollhättan.

Dacier, M., Pouget, F., & Debar, H. (2004). Honeypots: practical means to validate malicious fault assumptions. *Proceedings of the 10th Pacific Rim International Symposium on Dependable Computing* (pp. 383-388), French Polynesia: Tahiti of Papeete.

David, W. (2007). Honeynets: a tool for counterintelligence in online security. *Network Security*, 2007(1), 4-8.

Denning, D. E. (1987). An Intrusion Detection Model. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 13(2), 222-232.

FBI/CSI, (2007). Computer crime and security survey [Online]. Available: http://gocsi.com/forms/csi_survey.jhtml [2007, August 27].

Fu, X., Yu, W., Cheng, D., Tan, X., Streff, K., & Graham, S. (2006). On Recognizing Virtual Honeypots and Countermeasures. *Proceedings of the 2nd IEEE International Symposium on Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing* (pp. 211-218), USA: University of Indianapolis.

Gordon, L. (2006). Top 100 Network Security Tools [Online]. Available: <http://sectools.org> [2006, December 1].

Grundshober, S. (1998). Sniffer Detector Report [Online]. Available: <http://www.eurecom.fr/~nsteam/Papers/grundschober98.ps> [1998, June 26].

Han, H., Lu, X. L., Lu, J., Bo, C., & Yong, R. L. (2002). Data Mining Aided Signature Discovery in Network-based Intrusion Detection System. *Operating Systems Review*, 36(4), 7-13.

Hart, R., Morgan, D., & Tran, H. (1999). An introduction to automated intrusion detection approaches. *Information Management & Computer Security*, 1999(7), 76-82.

Helmer, G., Wong, J., Honavar, V., & Miller, L. (2002). Automated discovery of concise predictive rules for intrusion detection. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 60(3), 165-175.

Hilley, S. (2002). At last, a wireless honeypot. *Network Security* 2002(8), 1-2.

Illgun, K., Kemmerer, R., & Philips, A. (1995). State Transition Analysis: A Rule-based Intrusion Detection Approach. *IEEE Transaction on Software Engineering*, 14(2), 181-199.

Innella, P., & McMillan O. (2001). An Introduction to IDS [Online]. Available: <http://www.securityfocus.com/infocus/1520> [2001, December 6].

ITU. (1991). X.800: Security architecture for Open Systems Interconnection for CCITT applications [Online]. Available: <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.800-199103-I/e> [1991, August 30].

Jostein, J. (2008). A Novel Testbed for Detection of Malicious Software Functionality. *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Availability, Reliability and Security* (pp. 292-301), Spain: Technical University of Catalonia.

Khosravifar, B., & Bentahar, J. (2008). An Experience Improving Intrusion Detection Systems False Alarm Ratio by Using Honeypot. *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications* (pp. 997-1004), Japan: Okinawa of GinoWan.

Krawetz, N. (2004). Anti-honeypot technology. *Security & Privacy*, 2(1), 76-79.

Kreibich, C., & Crowcroft, J. (2004). Honeycomb: creating intrusion detection signatures using honeypots. *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication*, 34(1), 51-56.

Maheswari, V., & Sankaranarayanan, P. E. (2007). Honeypots: Deployment and Data Forensic Analysis. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Multimedia Applications* (pp. 129-131), Sweden: University West of Trollhättan.

Matthias, B., Thomas, D., & Bernhard, P. (2007). Enhanced Internet security by a distributed traffic control service based on traffic ownership. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 30(3), 841-857.

Mukkamala, S., Yendrapalli, K., Basnet R., Shankarapani, M. K., & Sung, A. H. (2007). Detection of virtual environments and low interaction honeypots. *Proceedings of the Information Assurance and Security Workshop* (pp. 92-98), USA: Military Academy of New York.

Pejovic, V., Kovacevic, I., Bojanic, S., Leita, C., Popovic, J., & Nieto, T. O. (2007). Migrating a HoneyDepot to Hardware. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Emerging Security Information, Systems, and Technologies* (pp. 151-156), Spain: Valencia.

Provos, N. (2004). A virtual honeypot framework. *Proceedings of the 13th USENIX Security Symposium* (pp. 1-14), USA: San Diego.

Provos, N. (2007). The Honeyd [Online]. Available: <http://www.honeyd.org> [2007, March 27].

Rathgeb, E. P., & Hoffstadt, D. (2008). The E-Mail Honeypot System Concept, Implementation and Field Test Results. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on the Digital Society* (pp. 1-6), Martinique: Sainte Luce.

Ray, P. (2007). Host Based Intrusion Detection Architecture for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks. *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology* (pp. 1942-1946). Korea: Phoenix Park.

Roesch, M. (1999). Snort: Lightweight Intrusion Detection for Networks. *Proceedings of the 13th Conference on Systems Administration* (pp. 229-238), USA: Washington of Seattle.

Sadasivam, K., Samudrala, B. & Yang A. T. (2005). Design of network security projects using honeypots. *Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges*, 20(4), 282-293.

Serpanos, D. N., & Lipton, R. J. (2001). Defense aginst man-in-the-middle attack in client-server systems. *Proceedings of the Sixth IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications* (pp. 9-14), Tunisia: Hammamet.

Snoeren, A. C., Partridge, C., Sanchez, L. A., Jones, C. E., Tchakountio, F., Schwartz, B., Kent, S. T., & Strayer, W. T. (2002). Single-packet IP traceback. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 10(6), 721-734.

Spitzner, L. (2002). Honeypot: tracking hackers, Addison Wesley.

Spitzner, L. (2003). Honeypots-Definitions and Value of Honeypots [Online]. Available: Dec 1, (2003), Available: <http://www.trackin-g-hackers.com/papers/honeypots.html> [2007, August 17].

Spitzner, L. (2005). Know Your Enemy: Honeywall CDROM Roo [Online]. Available: <http://www.honeynet.org/papers/cdrom/roo/index.html> [2005, August 17].

Spitzner, L. (2008). The Honeywall CDROM Roo [Online]. Available: <http://www.honeynet.org/tools/index.html> [2008, June 6].

Verwoerd, T., & Hunt, R. (2002). Intrusion detection techniques and approaches. *Computer Communication*, 2002(25), 1356-1365.

Watson, D. (2007). Honeynets: a tool for counterintelligence in online security. *Network Security*, 2007(1), 4-8.

William, S. (2004). *Network security essentials: Applications and standards*. Pearson.

Withall, M., de Silva, M. S., Parish, D., & Phillips, I. (2007). Honey Plotter and the Web of Terror. *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks* (pp. 1262-1266), USA: Hawaii of Honolulu.

Xie, M., Wu, Z., & Wang H. (2007). HoneyIM: Fast Detection and Suppression of Instant Messaging Malware in Enterprise-Like Networks, *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Computer Security Applications* (pp. 64-73), USA: Florida of Miami Beach.

Zhang, Y. F., Xiong, Z. Y., & Wang, X. Q. (2005). Distributed intrusion detection based on clustering. *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics* (pp.2379-2383), China: Guangzhou.