

A study of the personalized SCORM materials Retrieval system by using semantic and agent technologies- Example of math t

朱殷達、楊豐兆

E-mail: 9706885@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study refers to the essence of SCORM and considers the future development trend to design a search engine, which can search with SCORM's in grade 1-9 math teaching material. The new search engine improves the problem which without semantic search of current k12 while teaching will be imported through the system to find information related to student learning process. It also uses the agents to monitor learner's learning status in order to enhance learner's efficiency. The design of the multi-agents system helps the learners to update the search for their teaching, learners can reduce the information burden when searching materials on the Internet, and combine with the use of Semantic Web includes RDF syntax to construct a semantic search function of e-learning environment. URI, the other standard used to denote entities, concepts, characteristics and relationships between patterns of teaching materials and teaching links. Meanwhile, through the system it enables the learners to save time to search less suited for their current learning materials to improve learning efficiency and use OWL (Web Ontology Language) language to describe the links between materials as strengthening various materials semantic connotation inside the system. There are two aspects in our research result: (1) On the basis of Semantic Web-based search mechanism, the system is able to upgrade from the "String Search" to a "semantic search". Therefore learners can obtain more precise fit with their teaching to enhance learning. (2) A designed prototype system emphasize that the grade 1-9 integration of primary school curriculum materials application that learning cultivated with the reusable objects and shared characteristics.

Keywords : AGENT、SCORM、SEMANTIC SEARCH、RDF

Table of Contents

中文摘要	iii	英文摘要	iii
iv 誌謝辭		vi 內容目錄	
vii 表目錄		ix 圖目錄	
iii 第一章 緒論	1	第一節 研究背景	1
1 第二節 研究動機	2	第三節 研究目的	2
4 第四節 研究範圍	5	第五節 研究限制	5
5 第六節 研究流程	6	第七節 論文架構	6
8 第二章 文獻探討	9	第一節 九年一貫教材	9
9 第二節 數位學習	10	第三節 學習管理系統	11
11 第四節 學習內容管理系統	12	第五節 SCORM數位學習標準	13
13 第六節 知識本體	17	第七節 語意網路	21
21 第八節 軟體代理人	24	第九節 K12數位學校	25
25 第三章 系統模型架構與研究方法	28	第一節 數位學習系統架構	28
28 第二節 系統目標	29	第三節 使用者需求分析	40
40 第四章 系統設計與實作	43	第一節 開發工具與平台	43
43 第二節 語意網建置	45	第三節 系統操作介面與情境模擬	47
47 第五章 系統效能評估與分析	57	第一節 評估結果	58
58 第六章 結論與未來展望	65	第一節 研究貢獻	65
65 第二節 未來研究方向	66	參考文獻	66
68			

REFERENCES

一、中文部份 余惠茹(2005), 應用學習路徑探勘技術輔助SCORM教材順序設計之研究, 大葉大學資訊管理所未出版碩士論文。 教育部

國教司(2004), 國民中小學九年一貫課程綱要[線上資料], 來源: <http://teach.eje.edu.tw/9CC/index.php>[2004, Feb] 黃仁竑(2006), 以Semantic Web技術為基礎之教材資源管理系統, 第十屆全球華人電腦教育應用會議(GCCCE2006)論文集, 321-328. 黃居仁(2003), 語意網、詞網與知識本體:淺談未來網路上的知識運籌, 佛教圖書館訊, 6-21. 蔡振昆(2002), 傳統教學與網教學之比較研究 從教學媒體、班級經營及教學評量來探討, 國立中山大學資訊管理所未出版碩士論文。 二、西文部份 ADL(2006). Advanced Distributed Learning. Sharable Content. Object Reference Model(SCORM) 2006 Overview, Retrieved September, from the World Wide Web[online], Available: <http://www.adlnet.org/> Bernes-Lee T., & Hendler, J. (2001). The Semantic Web, Scientific American. Brusilovsky, P., & Vassileva, J. (2003). Course sequencing techniques for large-scale web-based education. Journal of Engineering Education and Lifelong Learning, 13 (1), 75-94. Chao, R. M., Liu, C. H., & Tu, C. Y. (2003). Construct a knowledge- intensive service recommendation model in an existing e-Learning platform. CTM 2003, Taiwan. Drucker, P. (2000). Need to Know: Integrating e-Learning with High Velocity Value Chains, A Delphi Group White Paper[online], Available: <http://www.delphigroup.com/pubs/whitepaper/>. Downes Stephen. (2001). Learning Objects: Resources For Distance Education Worldwide. International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 2 (1), 1492-3831. Fischer, S. (2001). Course and exercise sequencing using metadata in adaptive hypermedia learning systems. ACM Journal of Educational Resources in Computing, 1 (1), 1-21. Friesen, N. (2001). What are educational objects?. Interactive Learning Environments, 9 (3), 219-230 Gilbert, J. E., & Han, C.Y. (1999). Adapting instruction in search of A significant difference. Journal of Network and Computer Application, 22 (3), 149-160. Gruber, T. R. (1999). A translation approach to portable ontologies Knowledge Acquisition. Journal of Network and Computer Application, 5, 199-220. Wang Hei-Chia, & Hsu Chien-Wei. (2006). Teaching-Material Design Center: An ontology-based system for customizing reusable e-materials. Computers & Education, 46 (4), 458-470. Hamel, C. J., & Ryan-Jones, D. (2002). Designing instruction with learning objects. International Journal of Educational Technology, 3 (1). Heflin, J. D. (2001). Towards the Sematic Web:Knowledge Representation in a Dynamic. Distributed Environment. Han J., & Kamber M., Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques. New York, Morgan Kaufmann, 2001. Karp, P.D. , Chaudhri, V.K. & Thomere, J.(1999). XOL: An XML- based ontology exchange language. Version 0.3, July. Lin, Y.T. , Tseng S.S., & Tsai, C.-F.(2003). Design and implementation of new object-oriented rule base management system. Expert Systems with Applications, 25 (3), 369-385. Luck M., Ashri R. , & D' inverno M.(2004). Agent-based Software Development, USA: Artech House, Feb. Michael Brennan, Susan Funke, & Cushing Anderson, A New eLearning Market Segment Emerges, An IDC White Paper, Available: <http://www.lcmscouncil.org/idcwhitepaper.pdf>. Michael N., & Huhns. (2002). Agent Societies: Magnitude and Duration. IEEE Internet Computing, 6 (1), 79-81. Marianne, L.(1987). The knowledge acquisition grid: a method for training knowledge engineers, International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, 26, 245-255. Paulsson, F., & Naeve, A. (2003). Standardized content archive management – SCAM – storing and distributing learning resources. IEEE Learning Technology Newsletter, 5 (1), 40-42. Polsani, P. R. (2003). Use and abuse of reusable learning objects, Journal of Digital Information, 3 (4). Russell & Peter Norvig, Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J.,1995. Su Jun-Ming, Tseng Shian-Shyong, Chen Chia-Yu, Weng, Weng Jui-Feng, & Tsai Wen-Nung. (2006). Constructing SCORM compliant course based on High-Level Petri Nets. Computer Standards & Interfaces, 28, 336-355. Sliva, Lucena, & Fuks. (2001). ContentNet: a framework for the interoperability of educational content using standard IMS. Computers & Education, 37 (3), 273-295. Sheremetov, L., & Arenas A.G. (2002). EVA: an interactive web-based collaborative learning environment. Computers and Education, 39 (2). 161-182. Spalter, A. M., & Dam, A.(2003). Problems with using components in educational software. Computers and Graphics, 27 (3), 329-337. Lee Tim Bemers, Hendler James & Ora Lassila, (2001) The Semantic Web, Scientific American, May 2001, 29-37. Triantafillou Evangelos, Pomportsis Andreas, & Demetriadis Stavros, (2003). The design and the formative evaluation of an adaptive educational system based on cognitive styles. Computers and Education, 41 (1), 87-103. Valderrama, Rube'n Peredo, Ocan-a, Leandro Balladares, Sheremetov, & Leonid B.(2005). Development of intelligent reusable learning objects for web-based education systems. Expert Systems with Applications, 28 (2), 273-283. Wooldridge M., Lomuscio A. R., & Jennings N. R.(2003). A Classification Scheme for Negotiation in Electronic Commerce, International Journal of Group Decision and Negotiation, 1(12), 31-56. Wu, C. H. (2004). Building knowledge structures for online instructional/learning systems via knowledge elements interrelations, Expert Systems with Applications, 26 (3), 311-319. W3C Semantic Web(2006), the World Wide Web[online], Available: <http://www.w3.org/2001/12/semweb-fin/w3csw>.