

A Study of Using Information System Success Model and Technology Acceptance Model to Explore Student Learning ...

劉伍泰、晁瑞明

E-mail: 9607620@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

With the rapid development of information technology, Blog has already become the most famous application on the internet and currently it has rapidly expanded in education as well. Our research provide a high quality learning environment without a restriction by time and space for teacher and students to carry on learning communication and learning collaborative through Computer Supported Collaborative Learning(CSCL) in Blog-based Portfolio System. Meanwhile, by using Technology Acceptance Model and external variables of Information System Success Model, System Quality, Information Quality and Service Quality as a research framework and exploring how learners degree of learning effectiveness in Blog-based CSCL Portfolio System. This empirical study involved 120 postgraduate students and conducted to test research model and hypothesis, then tried to conclude the following three research findings: (1) We offer the assessed system which can be found the key factors effect on learners' satisfaction and learning effectiveness. (2) Perceived Usefulness is an important factor on learners learning satisfaction. Besides, Learning Satisfaction is also a key factor of learners learning effectiveness. (3) Moreover, we divided targets into three groups of learning effectiveness types by using cluster analysis, and then utilized the discrimination analysis to identify the validity of cluster analysis.

Keywords : Blog ; Portfolio ; Collaborative Learning ; Technology Acceptance Model ; Information System Success Model

Table of Contents

中文摘要	iii	英文摘要	iii
iv 誌謝辭	vi	內容目錄	vi
. vii 表目錄	ix	圖目錄	ix
. . . xi 第一章 緒論	1	第一節 研究背景與動機	1
. . . 1 第二節 研究目的	3	第三節 研究範圍與限制	3
. 3 第四節 研究流程	4	第二章 文獻探討	4
. 5 第一節 合作學習	5	第二節 網路合作學習	5
. 11 第三節 學習歷程檔案	14	第四節 資訊系統成功模式	14
. 22 第五節 科技接受模型	25	第三章 研究方法	25
. 32 第一節 研究設計與研究架構	32	第二節 研究假說與模	32
型推導 36 第三節 研究模型	42	第四節 變數操作	42
型定義與問卷設計 43 第五節 統計與分析方法	49	第六節 前測	49
施行與結果分析 52 第七節 確立研究架構	54	第四章 研究	54
結果與分析 56 第一節 樣本基本資料分析	56	第二節	56
因素分析與信度分析 59 第三節 路徑分析與假說檢定	66	第	66
四節 學習滿意度與學習成效之分析 76 第五節 小結	78	第	78
五章 結論與建議 82 第一節 研究結果與分析	82	第二節	82
82 第二節 研究限制與建議 85 參考文獻	85	附錄 研究問卷	94
. . . 87 附錄 研究問卷	94		

REFERENCES

- 一、中文部份 朱瓊芳(2006), 多元智慧取向的合作學習在國中籃球課程學習成效與態度之研究, 國立台灣師範大學體育研究所未出版之碩士論文。 林佩璇(1992), 台灣省高級職業學校合作學習教學法實驗研究, 國立台灣師範大學教育研究所未出版之碩士論文。 黃政傑, 林佩璇(1996), 合作學習, 台北:五南出版社。 鄭金昌(2002), 合作學習與精熟學習在排球技能學習成效及學習反應之研究, 國立台灣師範大學體育研究所未出版之碩士論文。 王岱伊(2002), 小組合作學習策略之研究, 國立交通大學資訊科學研究所未出版之碩士論文。 陳煥彬(1997), 網路合作學習系統之規劃、建置與評估, 國立師範學院資訊教育研究所未出版之碩士論文。 王淑如, 王裕德(1999), 教學新策略-網路合作學習, 台灣教育第603期, 33-39。 楊惠合(2004), 以科技接受模型探討數位學習滿意度之研究。私立大葉大學資訊管理研

究所未出版之碩士論文。黃馨誼(2005),以科技接受模型探討應用Blog於學習者滿意度及知識分享意願之研究,私立大葉大學資訊管理研究所未出版之碩士論文。晁瑞明,林毅力,劉伍泰,邱顯翔,呂理賢(2006),應用Video Blog 於英語行動學習學習滿意度之研究,第十二屆資訊管理暨實務研討會,96,雲林縣:私立虎尾科技大學。吳明隆(2003),SPSS統計應用學習實務。台北市:知城數位。方興東,劉雙桂,姜旭平(2004),博客(blog)與傳統媒體的競爭、共生、問題和對策:以博客(blog)?代表的個人出版的傳播學意義初論,網路社會學通訊期刊,36。方興東、劉雙桂(2004),博客(blog)技術在教育領域的應用研究,網路社會學通訊期刊,36。林東清(2002),資訊管理:e化企業的核心競爭力,162-164,智勝出版社。晁瑞明,包冬意,黃馨誼,孫皖傑,陳潔瑩(2006)。Blog輔助情境學習下學習滿意度及知識分享意願之研究-以科技接受模型及自我效能探討之,第二屆台灣數位學習發展研討會,42-51,臺南市:台南大學。王秋華(2001),網路教學之學生學習行為與學習滿意度及學習績效的關係,私立大葉大學資訊管理研究所未出版之碩士論文。王碧娟(1999),消費動機、服務品質與購後行為關係之研究-以大學推廣教育為例,國立中正大學企業管理研究所未出版之碩士論文。何雍慶,蘇雲華(1995),服務行銷領域顧客滿意模式及服務品質模式之比較研究,輔仁管理評論,2(2),37-64。二、英文部份 Alavi, M.. (1994). Computer-Mediated Collaborative Learning:An Empirical Evaluation?. MIS Quarterly, 18(2), 159-174. Adamson, I., & Shine, J. (2003). Extending the New Technology Acceptance Model to Measure the End User Information Systems Satisfaction in a Mandatory Environment: A Bank ' s Treasury. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 15(4), 441-455. Bailey, J. E., & Pearson, S. W. (1983). Development of a Tool for Measuring and Analyzing Computer User Satisfaction. Management Science, 29, 530-545. Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. Psychometrika, 16, 297-334. Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P. & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User Acceptance of Computer Technology:A Comparison of Two Theoretical Model, Management Science, 35(8), 982-1003, August 1989. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319-340. Delone, W. H., & McLean, E. R. (1992). Information System Success:The Quest for the Dependent Variable, Information Systems Research, 3(1), 60-95. Delone, W. H., & McLean, E. R. (2003). The Delone and McLean Model of Information System Success:A Ten-Year Update., Journal of management information systems, 19(4), 9-30. Delone, W. H., & McLean, E. R. (2004). Measuring e-Commerce Success:Applying the Delone and McLean Information System Success Model., International Journal of Electronic Commerce, 9(1), 31-47. Devellis, R. F. (1991). Scale Development Theory and Applications. New York:SAGE. Dillenbourg, P. (1999). Introduction:What Do You Mean by Collaborative Learning? In Collaborative Learning:Cognitive and Computational Approach. Elsevier Science, 1-19, P. Dillenbourg(ed.), Kidlington, OF. Du, H. S., & Wanger, C. (2005). Learning with Weblogs:An Empirical Investigation. Proceedings of the 38th Hawaii International Conference of System Science. 1-9. HK:University of Hong Kong. Garvin, D. A. (1984). What Does Product Quality Really Mean, Sloan Management Review, Fall, 25-43, 1984. Gronroos, C. (1982). An Applied Service Marketing Theory., European Journal of Marketing, 16, 30-41. Hendrix, J. C. (1996). Cooperative Learning:Building a democratic community. Clearing House, 69(6), 333-336. Ives, B., Olson, M. H., & Baroudi, J. J. (1983). The Measurement of User Information Satisfaction, Communications of the ACM, 26:10(Oct), 785-793. Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1987). Learning Together and Alone:Cooperative, Competitive, and Individualistic Learning, 2nd ed, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ. Johnson, D. W. et al. (1994). The New Circles of Learning Cooperation in the Classroom and School, ASCD. Johnson, R. T., & Johnson, D. W. (1994). An Overview of Cooperative Learning? In Creativity and Collaborative Learning,31-44, J. S. Thousand, Villa, R. A. and Nevin, A. I. (ed.), Pual H. Brookes Publishing, Baltimore, ML. Khan, B. H. (1998). Web-based instruction:An instroduction?, Educational Media International, 35(2), 1998, 63-71. Parasuraman, Zeithaml, & Berry. (1972). SERVQUAL:A Multiple-Item Scale for Measuring Customer Perceptions of Service Quality, Journal of Marketing Research, 9, 19-21, Feb 1972. Ong, C. S., Lai, J. Y., & Wang, Y. S. (2004). Factors affecting engineers ' acceptance of asynchronous e-learning system in high-tech companies. Information & Management, 41(6), 795-804. Lehtinen, U., & Lehtinen, J. R. (1991). Two Approaches to Service Quality Dimensions., Ther Service Industries Journal, 11(3), 287-303, 1991. Mathieson, K. (1991). Predicting user intention:Comparing the technology acceptance model with the theory of planned behavior ., Information Systems Reserch, 2(3), 173-191, 1991. Olsen, R. E. W-B., & Kagan, S. (1992). In C, Kessler (Ed), Cooperative language learning:A teacher ' s resource Book. N. J.:Prentice-Hall Regents. Slavin, R. E. (1999). Learning to cooperate, cooperating to learn. N. Y.:Plenum. Slavin, R. E. (1995). Cooperative Learning:Theory, Research, and Practice,2nd ed., Allyn and Bacon, BT. Snodgrass, D. M. (2000) Collaborative Learning in Middle and Secondary Schools:Applications and Assessments (pp.1-6), Eye On Education, Larchmont, NY. Swigger, K., & Brazile, R. (1997). The Virtual Collaborative University. Computers & Education, 29, 55-61. Taylor, S., & Todd, P.A. (1995). Understanding Information Technology Usage: A Test of Competing Models, Information Systems Research, 6(2), 144-176. Nunally, J. C. (1978). Psychometric Theory , 2nd ed. New York:McGraw-Hill. Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., & Parasuraman, A. (1996). The behavioral consequences of service quality, Journal of Marketing, 60, 2, 31-46.