林士傑、楊豐兆

E-mail: 9607615@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

The purposes of this research is to build up a multi-agent based on-line learning and testing system using the peer tutoring model, through the systematic knowledge and the ability of the multi-agent technique, and focusing on different group 's learning con-dition to provide different learning environment. And through the individual or separate evaluation sys-tem, assisting teachers to understand the learning result of the students. This system is formed with the multiple agent and is coordinated cooperation by the five agents to finish the specific task. According to the feedback of the peer learner, the curricu-lum agent is allowed to build up or modify the related teaching material to provide learning activity for the learning agent. The learning agent can provide the peer learners teaching data to carry on a main learning activity, and the feedback agent will record the learning reaction of the peer learner. The feedback agent will give something related record of learning and testing to provide the teacher and the peer tutor as a ref-erence of peer tutor 's teaching strategy. The testing paper agent can establish the test questions and the examination paper material according to the testing feedback of the peer learners. The testing agent provides the peer learner to carry on an evaluation, and let the teacher and the peer tutor do analysis and statistics. This research follows PASSI methodology to carry on the system analysis and design, and makes to a multi-agent based on-line learning and testing system using the peer tutoring model. The contribution are: (1)Help the peer tutor be able to clarify and be familiar with the academic knowledge again. (2)Help the peer learner solve the learning difficulties and increase the self-confident and the learning motivation (3)By observing the learning interactive of peers to understand that the blind spot of student learning, teachers can adjust teaching strategies at the right time.

Keywords: Multi-Agent, on-line learning, on-line assessment, peer instruction

Table of Contents

中文摘要
iv 誌謝辭
.vii 表目錄 ix 圖目錄
x 第一章 緒論
....1 第二節 研究目的................3 第三節 研究流程與步驟........
5
......8 第一節 同儕教學............8 第二節 統一塑模語言.......
17 第三節 多重代理人
刑 44 签一签 从田上南佐拱刑 47 签一签 垃圾井型
型.........41 第二節 代理人實作模型..........47 第三節 編碼模型
型

REFERENCES

一、中文部份 王淑如、王裕德(2001),教學新策略—網路合作學習,臺灣教育,603,33~39。 朱文華(2004),國民小學自然與生活科技領域之網路化適性教學系統的發展與評估,台北市立師範學院科學教育研究所碩士論文。 吳幸宜(1996),學習理論與教學應用,台北:心理出版社。吳榮豐(2005),電腦輔助交互式同儕教學運用在國小分數之學習環境設計,國立中央大學網路學習科技研究所碩

士論文。 林育珮(2000),全球網上決戰,台灣在哪裡?,天下雜誌 2000 年教育特刊,29,46-51。 林佩璇、黃政傑(1996),合作 學習,台北市:五南圖書。 林麗卿(2002),程式設計網路學習系統之設計與實作-教材建構,東吳大學資訊科學研究所碩士論文。 高新 煌(2004),學習護照編輯系統設計,中央大學資訊工程研究所碩士論文。 張春興(1994) ,教育心理學:三化取向的理論與實踐,台北 市:東華書局。 張瓊文(2000) ,同儕教導對國小聽覺障礙國語文學習成效之研究,國立嘉義大學國民教育研究所碩士論文。 教育 部(2006)「臺灣閩南語羅馬字拼音方案」後之相關配套措施公告,台北:教育部。 陸正威(1998) ,同儕交互指導數學解題方案對國小學 童數學解題表現、數學焦慮及後設認知影響之實驗研究,國立新竹師範學院國民教育研究所碩士論文。 陳志榮(2001),供應鏈生產指派 與規劃系統-多重代理人合作競爭式模式與模糊整合評估機制,東海大學工業工程研究所碩士論文。 陳裕峰(2005),以代理人社群為基 礎的線上教學與評量系統之設計與實作 - 以國小英語課程為例, 大葉大學資管所碩士論文。 黃雅萍、莊謙本(2003) ,網路教學平台評 量規準之發展,ICCAI2003 國際電腦輔助教學研討會大會論文集,取自 http://210.240.187.63/teaching/2003summer/onlinetest/ICCAI2003/pdf/D9 2.pdf。 楊家興(2006) ,線上教學的理論與活動設計,國立 空中大學管理與資訊學報,11,271~308,鍾政憲(2004),以代理人社群為基礎的主動式知識服務推薦系統之研究,大葉大學資管所碩 士論文。 二、英文部分 Bargh, J.A., & Schul, Y. (1984). On the cognitive benefits of teaching, Journal of Education Psychology, 72, 593-604. Cole, P., & Chan, L. (1990). Method and strategies for special educa-tion. Sydney Prentice Hall. Downe, A.G., Altmenn, H.A., & Nysetcold, I. (1986). Peer counseling: More on an emerging strategy. The school Coun-selor, 33(5), 355-364. Ehly, S., & Larsen, S. (1980). Peer tutoring for individualized instruc-tion. Boston: Allyn and Bacon. Etzioni, O., and Weld, D. S., (1995). Intelligent Agents on the Internet: Fact, Fiction, and Forecast. IEEE Expert, 44-49. Fantuzzo, J. W., King, J. A., & Heller, L. R. (1992). Effects of re-ciprocal peer tutoring on mathematics and school adjustment: A component analysis, Journal of Education Psychology, 84 (3), 331-339. FIPA ACL Message Structurepecification. http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00061/. Fosnot, C.(1989). Enquiring teachers, enquiring learners. NY: Teach-ers College, Columbia University. Harrison, G., and Caglayan, A. K., (1997). Agent Sourcebook, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. E18. Maes, P., (1994). Agents that Reduce Work and Information Overload. Communications of the ACM, 37(7),31-40. Hartup, w. w. (1983). Peer relations. In E. M. Hetherington (Ed). Handbook of child psychology(val.4): Socialization, personality and social development. New York: Willey. Huba, M. E., & Freed, J. E. (2000). Learner-centered assessment on college campuses: Shifting the focus from teaching to learning. Boston: Allyn & Bacon. Huhns, M. N. & Larry M. S.(1999). Multiagent Systems and Societies of Agents. Multiagent Systems: A Modern Approach to Distrib-uted Artificial Intelligence. Gerhard Weiss(ed.), The MIT Press, 79-120. J. R. Rose and M. N. Huhns(2001), Philosophical Agents. IEEE Internet Computing, 5(3), 104-106. Jennings N.R., K. Sycara and M. Wooldridge(1998). A Roadmap of Agent Research and Development. Autonomous agents and Multi-agent Systems, 1, 7-38. Johnson, D., & Johnson, R. (1987). Learning together and alone: Cooperative, competitive and individualistic learning (2nd ed.). Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall. King, A.(1989). Verbal interaction and problem-solving within com-puter-assisted cooperative learning groups, Journal of Educa-tional Computing Research, 5(1),1-15. Koskinen, P. & Wilson, R. (1982). Developing a successful tutoring program. New York: Teachers College Press. L. Steels(1995). When are robots intelligent autonomous agents. Journal of Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 15(1-2), 3-9. M. Wooldridge and N.R. Jennings(1995). Intelligent Agents: Theory-and Practice. The Knowledge Engineering Review, 10(2),115-152. Maes, P., (1994). Agents that Reduce Work and Information Over-load. Communications of the ACM,37(7), 31-40. Miller, S.R., Miller, P.F., Armentrout, J.A., & Flannagan, J.W.(1995). Cross-age peer tutoring: A strategy for promoting self-determination in students with severe emotional disabili-ties/behavior disorders. Preventing School Failure, 39(4), 32-37, Olmscheid, C. (1998). The effectiveness of peer tutoring in the ele-mentary grades. (ERIC Document Reproduction service No. ED 430 959 P. Burrafato and M. Cossentino(2002). Designing a multi-agent solu-tion for a bookstore with the PASSI methodology. Fourth Inter-national Bi-Conference Workshop on Agent-Oriented Informa-tion Systems, 27-28, Toronto at CAiSE'02. Slavin, R.E. (1990). Cooperative learning: The social psychology of the primary school. New York: KKY. Topping, K.(1988). The peer tutoring handbook: Promoting coopera-tive learning. London: Croom Helm. Turman, S. K., & Widerstrom, A. H.(1990). Infants and young children with special needs: A developmental and ecological ap-proach (2nd ed). Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing Co. V. Tamma, S. Phelps, I. Dickinson and M. Wooldridge(2005), Ontolo-gies for Supporting Negotiation in E-Commerce, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 18(2), 223-236. The FIPA Agent UML Web Site. http://www.auml.org/.