A longitudinal study of the embedded network model between Faraday and UMC

葉麗菁、劉子歆

E-mail: 9606894@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

Network has become an important source of competitive advantage for firms. Especially, when an embedded network between firms has formed, a reciprocal and trust mechanism between them will trigger value creation. There are five sub-industries in a semi-conductor industry including IC design, IC design service, IC manufacture, IC package, and IC test,IC design service industry can be the latest one. Firms in the industry must cooperate closely with IC manufacture firms in order to offer the best service quality and even secure capacity from IC manufacture firms for their customers. Faraday has been considered the leading firm in the IC design service industry of Taiwan. Thus, we seek to use a longitudinal, qualitative case study to explore how an embedded network evolves between Faraday and its networked IC manufacture firm, UMC, for value creation. Finally, theoretical and practical implications about network will be concluded.

Keywords: embedded network; evolution; competitive advantage; IC design service industry

Table of Contents

中文摘要 iii 英文摘要
iv 誌謝辭 v 內容目錄
.vi 表目錄 viii 圖目錄
ix 第一章 緒論
....1 第二節 研究目的.............2 第三節 研究流程..........
2 第二章 文獻探討
.......4 第二節 鑲嵌網絡..............7第三章 研究方法............
......11 第一節 研究對象...........11 第二節 個案研究方法....
況演進17 第二節 IC設計服務產業起源與現況19 第三節 智原科技
與聯電鑲嵌網絡合作關係 35 第五章 研究結論與建議 42 第一節
研究結果
實務貢獻
獻

REFERENCES

一、中文部份 方世杰(2001),以網路個體分析方法探討我國中小企業之國際化與績效-中衛體系電子資訊業廠商之實證研究,管理學報,49-74。 方世榮、黃恆獎、江季芸(2005),產業網絡鑲嵌與網絡動態性演化,關係管理研究,43-83 公開資訊觀測站(2007),智原科技民國九十五年度年報[線上資料],來源: http://newmops.tse.com.tw[2007, April 25]。 公開資訊觀測站(2007),聯華電子民國九十四年度年報[線上資料],來源: http://newmops.tse.com.tw[2006, March 17]。 司徒達賢(1995),策略管理,遠流出版社。 吳思華(2000),策略九說-策略思考的本質,臺北:臉譜出版社。 訪問智原科技高階主管紀錄(2006, May 19),智原科技市場行銷部副部經理,新竹科學園區。 訪問勁取科技高階主管紀錄(2007, April 19),勁取科技資深協理,新竹科學園區。 訪問集通科技高階主管紀錄(2007, April 19),集通科技行銷經理,新竹科學園區 賴勇成(2005),合作網絡、結構洞對企業營運效率之關係研究,國立台灣大學商業研究所未出版之博士論文,317-328。二、英文部份 Benson, K. (1975). The interorganizational network as a political economy. Administrative Science Quarterly, 229-249. Gadde, L. E., & Hakansson, H. (1992). Analysing change and stability in distribution channels a network approach. Industrial Networks, 167-179. Granovetter, M. (1985). Economic Action and Social Structure: A Problem of Embeddedness. American Journal of Sociology, 481-510. Granovetter, M. (1992). Problems of Explanation in Economic Sociology. Network and Organizations Structure, 25-56. Gulati, R. (1998). Network location and Alliance Formation. Strategic Management Journal, 397-420. Gulati, R., Nohria, N., & Zaheer, A. (2000). Strategic Networks. Strategic Management Journal, 31-41. Johanson, J. & Strategic Management Journal, 31-41. Johanson, J. &

Mattson, L. G. (1987). Interorganizational Relations in Industrial System: A Network Approach Compared with the Transaction-Cost Approach. International Studies of Management and organization, 34-48. Miles, R. E., & Snow, C. C. (1992). Cases of failure in network organizations. California Management Review, 53-72. Mitchell, J. C. (1969). The Concept and Use of Social Networks. Social Networks in Urban Situations. Oliver, C. (1990). Determinants of interorganizational relationship: Integration and future directions. Academy of Management Review, 79-89. Polanyi, K. (1957). The Economy as Instituted process. Economies in History and Theory, 243-270. Thorelli, H. B. (1986). Network between markets and Hierarchies. Strategic Management Journal, 37-51. Uzzi, B. (1997). Social Structure and Competition in Interfirm Networks: The Paradox of Embeddedness. Administrative Science Quarterly, 35-67. Uzzi, B. & James, J. G. (2002). Knowledge Spillover in Corporate Financing Networks: Embeddedness, Network Transitivity and Trade Credit Performance. Strategic Management Journal, 595-618. Yin, R. K. (1994). Case Study Research: Design and methods. (2 nd ed.). London, CA:Sage.