The Analysis of Advertising Economic Effects in Allowing for the Probability of Successful Advertisement

吳彥良、楊維娟

E-mail: 9511438@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

Not all enterprises can smoothly build the brand through advertisement; in fact, lots of advertisements are unable to impress people with the firm effect of the brand. Therefore, there are so-called "risks" in advertisement; in other word advertisement will not succeed absolutely. The main purpose namely lies in integrates the probability of successful advertisement, discusses once more how efficient the persuasive advertisement is. In the article, the probability of successful advertisement biochemistry (function of for the advertisement quantity), discusses two homogeneous manufacturer in double to occupy in the market to make quantity competition, also discuss the influence of persuasive advertisement in the product market as well as the social welfare. This research uses the Martin (1993) two period of model: The cost of the first investment advertising which the manufacturer input obtains the result until the second period. The main conclusion of this article is: 1. Under maximization profit, the probability of successful advertisement is lower than the possibility without considering the most suitable advertisement quantity in the mature market. 2. On the other hand, in the immature market, we can discuss the situation by dividing them into two: first, when the probability of successful advertisement immovable, it leads to the waste of cost, therefore for maximization profit or welfare side, the probability of successful advertisement is lower than the probability without considering the most suitable advertisement quantity. Second, the probability of successful advertisement is the highest when the percentage close to one, and in the meantime the probability of successful advertisement is higher than without considering the most suitable advertisement quantity no matter through the maximization profit or the welfare point of view. Key Words: Persuasive advertising, welfare analysis, probability of successful advertisement

Keywords: 廣告成功機率、說服性廣告、福利分析

Table of Contents

目錄 第一章 緒論 第一節 研究背景與動機 1 第二節 研究目的 5 第三節 研究流程 6 第二章 文獻探討 第一節 說服性廣告 7 第二節 訊息性廣告 8 第三節 廣告與市場之關係 9 第三章 模型假設 第一節 模型假設 12 第二節 模型求解方法 16 第四章 模型求解 第一節 廣告對產品市場之影響 19 第二節 廣告對社會福利的影響 24 第五章 結論與建議 28 參考文獻 30 圖 目 錄圖1-3-1 研究流程 6 圖3-1-1 廣告成功機率與投入金額之關係 13 圖4-1-1 廣告量與機率最大利潤之關係 23 圖4-1-2 廣告成功機率與最大社會福利之關係 27 表 目錄表1-1-1 2005年8月份台灣地區五大媒體有效廣告量前十名 3

REFERENCES

參考文獻一、中文文獻方世榮(2003)。行銷管理學。台北市:台灣東華書局股份有限公司。廖峻毅(2002)。垂直市場下的廠商廣告策略。淡江大學產業經濟學研究所。未出版,台北。李孟麗、徐村和(2001)。廣告學:策略與管理。台北市:五南圖書出版有限公司。陸劍豪(2002)。經典廣告20:二十世紀最具革命性、改變世界的20則廣告。台北市:城邦文化事業股份有限公司。 莊淑芬(1987)。廣告大師奧格威-未公諸於世的選集。經濟與生活出版事業股份有限公司。 蔡明宏(2003)。大廠促銷活動對於小廠之影響。台灣大學法教分處經濟研究所。未出版,台北。尤英夫(2000)。廣告法之理論與實務。台北市:世紀法商雜誌社。王彩雲(2005)。5月號,五大媒體的未來命運。動腦雜誌,349。二、英文文獻 Blackston, M.(1993), Beyond Brand Personality: Building Brand Relationships in Brand Equity and Advertising: Advertising's Role in Building Strong Brands. New Jersey: Erlbaum. Brozen (1976), Economic Value of Advertising in Advertising and Society. New York University Press, 109-141. Dixit, A. (1979), A Model of Duopoly Suggesting a Theory of Entry Barriers. The Bell Journal of Economics, 20-32. Ehrlich, L. & Fisher, L. (1982), The Derived Demand for Advertising: A Theoretical and Empirical Investigation. The American Economic Review, 366-388. Grossman, G. M. & Carl Shapiro(1984), Informative Advertising with Differentiated Products. The Review of Economic Studies, 63-81. Kaldor, N. (1950), The Economic Aspects of Advertising. The Review of Economic Studies. 1-27. Konishi, H.& Sandfort, M. T.(2002), Expanding demand through price advertisement. International Journal of Industrial Organization, 965-994 LeBlanc, G. (1998), Informative Advertising Competition. Journal of Industrial Economics, 63-77. Martin, S. (1993), Industrial Economics:Economic Analysis and Public Policy. Canada, Inc: Maxwell Macmillan. Nelson, P. (1974), Advertising as Information. Journal of Political Economy, 311-32 9. Nirvikar S. & Xavier V. (1984), Price and Quantity Competition in a Differentiated Duopoly. The Rand Journal of Economics, 546-554. Orzach

R. & P. B. Overgaard & Y. Tauman. (2002), Modest Advertising Signals Strength. The Rand Journal of Economics, 340-358. Pastine I. & T. Pastine . (2002), Consumption Externalities, Coordination, and Advertising. International Economic Review, 919-943. Pigou A.C. (1920), The Economics of Welfare. London: Macmillan. Schmalensee, R. (1982), Product Differentiation Advantages of Pioneering Brands. The American Ecinimic Review, 72, 349-365. Sheth,J.N.(1974), Model of Buyer Behavior:Conceptual Quantitative And Empirical. New York: Harper and Row. Shy,OZ. (1995), Industrial Organization: Theory and Applications. London: MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. Stegmam,M.(1991), Advertising in Competitive Markets. American Economic Review, 210-223. Tirole, J. (1988), The Theory of Industrial Organization. London: MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. Wilkie,W.L.,P.W.Farris(1975), Comparison Advertising:Problems and Potential. Journal of Marketing, 7-15.