Assessment of Pedestrian Friendliness of a Vehicle Using Subsystem Impact Tests

# 阮仲海、鄧作樑

## E-mail: 9510670@mail.dyu.edu.tw

### ABSTRACT

Annually, thousands of unprotected pedestrians are killed or suffer serious injuries in accidents with moving vehicles. Numerous automobile organizations have researched on pedestrian safety. Many approaches have been developed. The European Enhanced Vehicle-safety Committee (EEVC), Working Group 17 (WG17) proposed three component subsystem tests, a passive method, to evaluate the friendliness of vehicle to pedestrian: the legform to bonnet test, the upper legform to bonnet leading edge test, and the headform to bonnet top test. So far, this method has been developing. The first objective of this study is to build the EEVC/WG17 pedestrian impactor models, including child headform, adult headform, upper legform, and legform. Then, EEVC/WG17 regulations are used to validate these models. The second objective is to use validated models to evaluate the frontal structure of a specific vehicle to see if it passes EEVC/WG17 safety requirements. Also, from simulations, some comments about vehicle frontal structure that cause pedestrian injury in car-pedestrian injury to investigate its structure. All simulations were performed through LSDYNA3D. The FE pedestrian impactors built in this study can be used to evaluate pedestrian safety of FE vehicle models during designing as well as available ones. Moreover, the suggestions drawn out from simulation results can help vehicle manufacturers with vehicle design that would be safer to pedestrian.

Keywords : Pedestrian safety ; subsystem test ; pedestrian impactor

#### Table of Contents

COVER CREDENTIAL AUTHORIZATION LETTES iii ABSTRACT iv ACKNOW	LEDGMENTS v CONTENTS vi LIST
OF TABLES ix LIST OF FIGURES x CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	
Motivation 1 1.2. Literature survey	
1.3. The purpose of this study	
Headform to bonnet top test	
impactor description	
	•
2.2.3. Upper legform impactor description	
impactor	
Purpose	
tests of legform impactor	
IMPACTOR 36 3.1. Pedestrian finite element impactor model construction	
37 3.1.3. Legform impactor	
legform impactor verification	
tests	
PEDESTRIAN FRIENDLINESS OF VEHICLE70 5.1. The Redesigned Engine Compartment	
70 5.1.1. The subsystem Test Simulation Results	•
Results	

#### REFERENCES

1. Toshihiro Ishikawa, Haruhisa Kore, Arihiro Furumoto, Susumu Kuroda-Evaluation of Pedestrian Protection Structures Using Impactors and Full-Scale Dummy Tests - ESV 18th Conference, paper No. 271 2. Yasuhiro Matsui, Adam Wittek and Atsuhiro Konosu - Comparison of Pedestrian Subsystem Safety Tests Using Impactors and Full-Scall Dummy Tests - SAE 2002-01-1021 3. EEVC Working Group 17 Report Improved Test Methods to Evaluate Pedestrian Protection Afforded by Passenger Cars (December 1998 with September 2002 updates). 4. Vehicle Design & Research Pty Limited http://www1.tpgi.com.au 5. Transport Canada http://www.tc.gc.ca/roadsafety/tp2436/rs200401/menu.htm 6. Ali S. Al-Ghamdi – Pedestrian-Vehicle Crashes and Analytical Techniques for Stratified Contingency Tables - Accident Analysis and Prevention 34 (2002) 205 – 214 7. Mark O. Neal, Heui-Su Kim, J.T. Wang - General Motors Corp, USA; Takanobu Fujimura, Katsumi Nagai - Development of LS-DYNA FE Models for Simulating EEVC Pedestrian Impact - Suzuki Motor Corp. Japan, Paper Number 335. 8. Atsuhiro Konosu, Hirotoshi Ishikawa, Robert Kant - Development of Computer Simulation Models for Pedestrian Subsystem Impact Tests - JSAE20004024 9. Alessandro Zanella, Francesco Butera, Enrico Gobetto, Centro Ricerche - Smart Bumper for Pedestrian Protection - FIAT, Italy 10. Lex van Rooij, Mark Meissner, Kavi Bhalla, Jeff Crandall, Yukou Takahashi, Yasuhiro Dokko, Yuji Kikuchi - The Evaluation of the Kinematics of the MADYMO Human Pedestrian Model Against Experimental Tests and the Influence of a More Biofidelic Knee Joint – ESV Conference 11. Rikard Fredriksson, Yngve Haland, Jikuang Yang - Evaluation of a New Pedestrian Head Injury Protection System with a Sensor in the Bumber and Lifting of the Bonnet's Rear Part – ESV 17th Conference 12. Yutaka Okamoto, Akihiko Akiyama, Masayoshi Okamoto, Yuji Kikuchi - A Study of the Upper Leg Component Tests Compared with Pedestrian Dummy Tests - ESV 17th Conference 13. Pedestrian Protection -FORSCHUNGSGESELLSCHAFT KRAFTFAHRWESEN mbH AACHEN www.fka.de 14. Steve Mark - Pedestrian Safety Upper Legform Bumper Impact Simulation - ESV 17th Conference 15. Yong Ha Han, Young Woo Lee - Development of a Vehicle Structure with Enhanced Pedestrian Safety – SAE 2003-01-1231 16. F. A. Berg, M. Egelhaaf, Stuttgart, J. Bakker, H. Burkle, R. Herrmann, J. Scheerer - Pedestrian Protection In Europe The Potential of Car Design and Impact Testing – United Nations Economic Commission for Europe 17. Atsuhiro Konosu, Masaaki Tanahashi - Development of a Biofidelic Flexible Pedestrian Legform Impactor (Flex-PLI 2003) - IHRA/PS 27 18. Jikuang Yang -Crash Analysis, The Pedestrian Accident Reconstruction - Department of Machine & Vehicle Systems, Chalmers University of Technolog, SE-412 96 Goteborg, Sweden 19. Graham J L Lawrence, Brian J Hardy - Pedestrian Safety Testing Using the EEVC Pedestrian Impactors – ESV 16th Conference 20. Pedestrian Impact test subsystem www.aries-ingenieria.com 21. Tetsuo Maki, Toshiyuki Asai - Development of Pedestrian Protection Technologies for ASV - JSAE20024256 22. Atsuhiro Konosu, Karima, Tsukuba, Ibaraki - Reconstruction Analysis For Car - Pedestrian Accidents Using a Computer Simulation Model - JSAE20024257 23. FHWA/NHTSA National Crash Analysis Center-The George Washington University www.ncac.gwu.edu/vml/models.html