

# A Study on Relationships of Gender Role Traits, Leisure Perceived Freedom, and Leisure Satisfaction: The Case of Two voc

王梅子、吳淑女

E-mail: 9421228@mail.dyu.edu.tw

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to discuss the correlation of vocational students' gender role traits, leisure perceived freedom to their leisure satisfaction. Using a convenience sample, employed questionnaire method to survey vocational students, 600 self-administer Questionnaires were distributed and resulted in 505 valid responses turned in. Descriptive statistical, t-test, one-way ANOVA, Pearson product-moment correlation and stepwise multiple regression were utilized to examine the relationships among variables. The results are summarized as follows : 1.The profiles of samples were : (1)Most of the vocational students investigated were male, single, with good health and the average age was of 28. In regards to the occupation, most of the respondents were in manufacturing industries and live in Changhua with personal income under \$20,000. Most of the respondents living in . (2) In gender role traits, " androgyny " type at most. (3)In the perception of freedom, " leisure needs " dimension scored highest. (4)In the leisure satisfaction, " relaxational " dimension scored highest. 2.This study concludes the following : (1) Perceived freedom in leisure differed significantly with perceived health and gender role traits. (2) Leisure satisfaction differed significantly with Age, family life cycle, perceived health, occupation and gender role traits. (3) Perceived freedom in leisure was positively correlated to leisure satisfaction. (4) Perceived freedom in leisure predict leisure satisfaction, in androgyny, " leisure needs ", " depth of involvement in -- vi leisure " and " perceived leisure control " renders the important ; in both masculinity and undifferentiated, " leisure needs " and " depth of involvement in leisure " renders the important ; in Femininity, " depth of involvement in leisure ", " leisure needs " and " perceived leisure control " renders the important. Finally discussion and suggestions based on the results were made.

Keywords : gender role traits, leisure perceived freedom, leisure satisfaction

## Table of Contents

目錄 封面內頁 簽名頁 授權書 iii 中文摘要 v 英文摘要 vi 謝謝 viii 目錄 ix 圖目錄 xi 表目錄 xii 第一章 緒論 1 第一節 研究動機 1 第二節 研究問題 3 第三節 研究假設 4 第四節 研究範圍與限制 4 第二章 文獻探討 6 第一節 性別角色理論與相關研究 6 第二節 休閒自由感理論與相關研究 11 第三節 休閒滿意度理論與相關研究 19 第三章 研究方法 24 第一節 研究架構 24 第二節 抽樣方法 25 第三節 預試與正式調查 25 第四節 問卷設計與操作性定義 26 第五節 資料分析統計方法 29 第四章 研究結果 32 第一節 樣本之背景特性與休閒相關變項之描述 32 第二節 假設驗證 38 第五章 討論與建議 60 第一節 研究結果摘要 60 第二節 綜合討論 64 第三節 建議 70 參考文獻 73 一、中文部分 73 二、英文部分 76 附錄一 81 附表一 85 圖目錄 圖2-1 休閒滿意度構念模式 20 圖3-1 研究架構圖 24 表目錄 表2-1 休閒典範 12 表2-2 歸因模式 13 表3-1 研究假設與其適用之統計方法表 31 表4-1 個人背景特性之次數分配表 35 表4-2 休閒自由感量表之得分狀況及信度分析表 36 表4-3 休閒滿意度量表之得分狀況及信度分析表 37 表4-4 性別不同在休閒自由感上之差異檢定表 38 表4-5 年齡不同在休閒自由感上之差異檢定表 39 表4-6 家庭生命週期不同在休閒自由感上之差異檢定表 40 表4-7 自覺健康狀況不同在休閒自由感上之差異檢定表 41 表4-8 職業不同在休閒自由感上之差異檢定表 42 表4-9 個人所得不同在休閒自由感上之差異檢定表 43 表4-10 居住地不同在休閒自由感上之差異檢定表 44 表4-11 性別不同在休閒滿意度上之差異檢定表 45 表4-12 年齡不同在休閒滿意度上之差異檢定表 46 表4-13 家庭生命週期不同在休閒滿意度上之差異檢定表 47 表4-14 自覺健康狀況不同在休閒滿意度上之差異檢定表 48 表4-15 職業不同在休閒滿意度上之差異檢定表 49 表4-16 個人所得不同在休閒滿意度上之差異檢定表 51 表4-17 居住地不同在休閒滿意度上之差異檢定表 52 表4-18 性別角色特質不同在休閒自由感上之差異檢定表 54 表4-19 性別角色特質不同在休閒滿意度上之差異檢定表 56 表4-20 休閒自由感與休閒滿意度之相關摘要表 57 表4-21 休閒自由感構面與休閒滿意度之逐步迴歸分析表 59 表5-1 研究假設驗證結果摘要表 62

## REFERENCES

一、中文部分 1. 行政院主計處。 (1999)。八十七年台灣地區社會發展趨勢調查。 2. 王震宇。 (1996)。休閒體驗中之自由感對休閒活動參與情況之影響。國立台灣大學森林研究所碩士論文，台北。 3. 余嬪。 (1999)。性屬關係 性別與社會、建構。台北:心理。 4. 李美枝。 (1981)。性別特質問卷的編制及男女大學生四種性別特質類型在成就動機、婚姻、事業及性態度上的比較。中華心理學刊，23(1)

, 23-37。5. 李美枝。(1984)。女性心理學。台北:大洋。6. 吳珩潔。(2000)。大台北地區民眾休閒滿意度與幸福感之研究。國立台灣師範大學運動休閒與管理研究所碩士論文，高雄。7. 林邦傑。(1981)。性別角色與自我防衛、生活適應、認知能力的關係。中華心理學刊, 23(2), 107-158。8. 林東泰。(1992)。休閒教育與其宣導策略之研究。台北:師大書苑。9. 林惠雅。(1991)。社會的發展。輯於蘇建文(主編):發展心理學。台北:心理。10.施清發。(1999)。老人的休閒參與程度與休閒體驗中自由感、無聊感及休閒需要間之關係。國立高雄醫學大學行為科學研究所碩士論文，高雄。11. 胡家欣。(2000)。大學生的休閒認知、投入與體驗 - 兼論人格特質的影響。國立高雄醫學大學行為科學研究所碩士論文，高雄。12. 范靖惠。(1997)。性別、休閒覺知自由與自我概念之相關研究。國立高雄師範大學輔導研究所碩士論文，高雄。13. 涂淑芳譯。(1996)。(G. Bammel & L. L. Burrus-Bammel 原著)。休閒與人類行為。台北:桂冠圖書。14. 張良漢。(2001)。休閒覺知自由、休閒無聊感與休閒利益之相關 - 以國立聯合技術學院學生為例。國立台灣體育學院體育研究所碩士論文，台中。15. 張定綺譯。(1995)。(M. Csikszentmihalyi 原著)。快樂，從心開始。台北:天下文化。16. 張春興。(1994)。現代心理學。台北:東華書局。17. 許建民。(2001)。國小學童休閒內在動機；休閒覺之自由與休閒無聊感關係之研究。體育學報, 第31輯, 頁115-124。18. 郭淑菁。(2003)。登山社員休閒投入、休閒滿意度與幸福感之研究。大葉大學休閒事業管理學系碩士論文，彰化。19. 游又嘉。(2004)。休閒教育成效與休閒滿意度之探討 - 以大葉大學和彰師大學生為例。大葉大學休閒事業管理學系碩士班碩士論文，彰化。20. 湯惠娟。(2002)。高雄市老年人健康促進生活型態、休閒覺之自由與心理幸福之相關研究。國立台灣師範大學體育學系碩士論文，台北。21. 黃副殷。(2003)。國中體育班學生之休閒態度與休閒滿意之相關研究。國立高雄師範大學教育研究所碩士論文，高雄。22. 楊元卉。(2003)。北部地區登山者之個人特質與休閒自由感間的關係。大葉大學休閒事業管理學系碩士班碩士論文，彰化。23. 劉秀娟編著。(1999)。兩性教育。台北:揚智文化。24. 蔡美儀。(1992)。我國女性教育主管性別角色、自我概念、社會支持與工作適應之關係。國立政治大學教育研究所碩士論文，台北。25. 謝臥龍、莊勝發與駱慧文。(1997)。各級學校諮商員對女性主任性別角色與特質認知之比較研究。諮商輔導文粹, 2, 13-40。26. 鍾鳳嬌。(1989)。母親就業、性別角色、事業觀、生活滿意與國中生性別角色、生活適應之關係。國立高雄師範學院教育研究所碩士論文，高雄。

二、英文部分

1. Bandura, A. (1977). Social learning theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
2. Basow, S. A. (1992). Gender stereotypes and roles (3rd ed.). Pacific Groves, CA: Brooks/Cole.
3. Beard, J. G., & Ragheb, M. G. (1980). Measuring leisure satisfaction. Journal of Leisure Research, 12, 22-23.
4. Bem, S. L. (1974). The measurement of psychological androgyny. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 42, 155-162.
5. Bem, S. L. (1981). Gender schema theory: A cognitive account of sex typing. Psychological Review, 88, 354-364.
6. Bem, S. L., Martyna, W., & Watson, C. (1976). Sex typing and androgyny: Further explorations of the expressive domain. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 34, 1016-1023.
7. Brehga, F. J. (1980). Leisure and freedom reexamined. In T. Goodale, & P. A. Witt (Eds.), Recreation and leisure: Issues in an era of change (pp. 30-37). State College, PA: Venture Publishing.
8. Bronstein, P. (1984). Differences in mother's and father's behavior toward children: A cross-cultural comparison. Developmental Psychology, 20, 995-1003.
9. Cowan, C. P., & Cowan, P. A. (1987). A preventive intervention for couples becoming parents: Research on support for parents and infants in the postnatal period. New York: Ablex.
10. Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1975). Beyond boredom and anxiety: The experience of play in work and game. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
11. Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Graef, R. (1979). Feeling free. Psychology Today, December, 90-98.
12. Ellis, G. D., & Witt, P. A. (1984). The Leisure Diagnostic Battery: The theoretical and empirical structure. Denton, TX: North Texas State University.
13. Ellis, G. D., & Witt, P. A. (1987). The Leisure Diagnostic Battery users. North Palm Athletic Footwear Association Sponsored Report, North Palm, Florida.
14. Ellis, G. D., & Witt, P. A. (1989). The Leisure Diagnostic Battery: Users manual. State College, PA: Venture Publishing.
15. Heider, F. (1958). The psychology of interpersonal relations. New York: John Wiley & sons.
16. Henderson, K. A., & Stalnaker, D., & Taylor, G. (1988). The relationship between barriers to recreation and gender-role personality trait for women. Journal of Leisure Research, 20(1), 69-80.
17. Iso-Ahola, S. E. (1980). The social psychology of leisure and recreation. Dubuque, IA: William C. Brown.
18. Kelly, J. R. (1972). Work and leisure: A simplified paradigm. Journal of Leisure Research, 4(4), 50-62.
19. Kohlberg, L. (1966). A cognitive-developmental analysis of children's sex-role concepts and attitudes. In E. E. MacCoby (Ed.), The developmental of sex differences (pp. 82-172). Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
20. Lerner, R. M., & Shea, J. A. (1982). Social behavior in adolescence. In B. B. Womar (Ed.), Handbook of developmental psychology (pp. 503-525). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
21. Liebert, R. M., Wicks-Nelson, R., & Kail, R. V. (1986). Development psychology (4th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
22. Mannell, R. C. (1999). Leisure experience and satisfaction. Leisure studies : Prospects for the twenty-first century(pp. 235-251). State College, PA: Venture Publishing.
23. Mannell, R. C., & Kleiber, D. A. (1997). A social psychology of leisure. State College, PA: Venture Publishing.
24. Martin, C. L., & Halmerson, C. F. (1981). A schematic processing model of sex-typing and stereotyping in children. Child Development, 52, 1119-1134.
25. Mundy, J. (1998). Leisure education - Theory and practice (2nd ed.). Champaign, IL: Sagamore Publishing.
26. Neulinger, J. (1974). The psychology of leisure. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
27. Neulinger, J. (1981). The psychology of leisure (2nd ed.). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
28. Piaget, J. (1962). Play, dreams and imitation in childhood. New York: Norton.
29. Ragheb, M. G., & Tate, R. L. (1993). A behavioral model of leisure participation, based on leisure attitude, motivation and satisfaction. Leisure Sciences, 12, 61-70.
30. Riddick, C. C. (1986). Leisure satisfaction precursors. Journal of Leisure Research, 18(4), 259-265.
31. Riddick, C. C., & Daniels, S. N. (1984). The relative contribution of older women. Journal of Leisure Research, 16(2), 136-148.
32. Shaffer, D. R. (1988). Social and personality development (2nd ed.). Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
33. Sneegas, J. J. (1986). Components of leisure satisfaction in middle and later life adults: Perceived social competence, leisure participation and leisure satisfaction. Journal of Leisure Research, 18, 248-258.
34. Spence, J. T., Helmreich, R., & Stapp, J. (1975). Ratings of self and peers on sex role attributes and their relation to self-esteem and conceptions of masculinity and femininity. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 32(1), 29-39.
35. Veal, A. J. (1987). Leisure and future. London: Allen and Unwin.
36. Verduin, J. R., & McEwen, D. N. (1984). Adults and their leisure. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
37. Weiner, B. (1979). A theory of motivation for some

classroom experiences. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 75, 530-543. 38. Weiner, B., Frieze, I., Kukla, A., Reed, L., Rest, S., & Rosenbaum, R. M. (1971). Perceiving the causes of success and failure. Morristown, NJ: General Learning Press. 39. Weissinger, E. (1995). Effect of boredom on self reported health. *Society and Leisure*, 18, 21-32. 40. Well, W. D., & Guber, G. (1966). Life cycle concept in marketing research. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 3, 355-365.