The Influence of Characters and Encouragement Motivation Effecting Employees on Knowledge Sharing: Case Study on Private

陳登偉、陳美玲

E-mail: 9418173@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

The competitive superiority of Corporation is from the sharing and flexible use of inner knowledge. In terms of traditional viewpoint, knowledge is power. Employees think that the knowledge they own is an edge to compete and the origin of the authority. They used to think that knowledge does not belong to anybody else. Moreover, the economic knowledge time is coming. How a corporation advocates knowledge sharing and makes employees willing to provide out their knowledge is becoming a significant focal point. Recently, corporations and institutes focus on knowledge managing, and knowledge sharing becomes an important issue. The research targets are conferring the behavior of knowledge sharing of corporations, schools, government institutions, and training organization in document. On the contrary, the research of private cram schools and institutes is fewer. By examining the fast-changing, diversified and competitive education institues within two lands, we can see the mutuality between us is more frequently in advance. Knowledge sharing is more important than anything. In order to satisfy with customer and the steady of the sincerity of customers, they need professional training teachers to exchange knowledge and the mutuality between students and parents, especially in private cram schools. The majority are still depending on the source from knowledge sharing of members. Our research searches the influence of characters and knowledge sharing by providing knowledge; searching the relationship between features, encouragement motivation to be changed themselves, and the influence of sharing knowledge. Findings: 1. The influence of characters to two-landed members' knowledge sharing is obvious. 2. The influence of encouragement motivation to two-landed members 'knowledge sharing is obvious. 3. The influence of characters and encouragement motivation to two-landed members' knowledge sharing is obvious.

Keywords: characters; encouragement motivation; knowledge sharing

Table of Contents

目錄 封面內貝 簽名貝 授權書		Ⅲ 中又摘要
v 英文排	蜀要	vi 誌謝
	/ii 目錄	viii 圖目錄
	xii 表目錄	xiii 第一
章 緒 論 1 1.1 研究背景與動機 1 1.2 研究	目的 4 1.3 研究範圍 4 1.4 研究流程 4 第二章 3	文獻探討 6 2.1 個人特質 6 2.1.1 個人
特質定義及相關文獻 6 2.1.2個人特質探討	寸72.2 激勵動機92.2.1 激勵定義92.2.2 激勵	動機相關文獻 12 2.2.3 激勵動機探討
15 2.3 知識分享 19 2.3.1知識分享定義 20	2.3.2不同觀點下知識分享相關文獻 21 2.3.3知	識分享的轉移行為 22 2.3.4知識分享
意願 23 2.4 小 結 26 第三章 研究方法與研	F究設計 27 3.1 研究架構 27 3.2 研究假設 28 3	2.1個人特質之假設 28 3.2.2激勵動機
之假設 28 3.2.3個人特質、激勵動機之假	設 29 3.3 操作定義 29 3.3.1個人特質 29 3.3.2潟	炫勵動機 30 3.3.3知識分享行為 31
3.4.1個人特質 32 3.4.2激勵動機 33 3.4.3失	口識分享 33 3.4.4量表計分方式 33 3.5資料分析	方法 34 3.5.1敘述性統計 34 3.5.2量表
信度與效度分析 34 3.5.3迴歸分析 35 3.5.	4複迴歸分析 35 3.5.5 獨立樣本 t 檢定 35 3.6樣	本與資料收集 36 3.6.1研究樣本選取
36 3.6.2資料收集 36 3.6.3量表信度與效度	分析 37 第四章 實證分析 39 4.1 基本資料 39	4.2 個人特質對成員知識分享意願影
響 40 4.2.1 個人特質對分享個人知識的影	響 41 4.2.2 個人特質對分享學習機會的影響 4	3 4.2.3 個人特質對促進學習動機的影
響 44 4.2.4 兩地個人特質對成員知識分享	意願的影響之比較 46 4.3激勵動機對成員知證	战分享意願之影響 48 4.3.1 激勵動機對
分享個人知識的影響 48 4.3.2 激勵動機對	t分享學習機會的影響 50 4.3.3 激勵動機對促進	೬學習動機的影響 53 4.3.4 兩地激勵動
機對成員知識分享意願的影響之比較 55-	4.4 個人特質、激勵動機對成員知識分享意願	的影響 57 4.4.1 個人特質、激勵動機
對分享個人知識的影響 57 4.4.2 個人特質	「、激勵動機對分享學習機會的影響 60 4.4.3個	人特質、激勵動機對促進學習動機的
影響 62 4.4.4 兩地個人特質、激勵動機對	l成員知識分享意願的影響之比較 65 4.5 獨立k	樣本t檢定 68 4.6 小結
69 第五章 結論與建議 71 5	5.1 結論 71 5.1.1個人特質對成員知識分享意願	之影響 71 5.1.2激勵動機對企業成員
知識分享意願之影響 73 5.1.3個人特質、	激勵動機對企業成員知識分享意願之影響 76	5.1.4兩地對個人特質、激勵動機與知
	後續研究的建議 82 參考文獻 83 中文部分 83 Þ	
圖1.4.1 研究流程	5 圖2.2.1 需求層級理論	à
16 国222日 日本 16 国222日 16 国2222日 16 国22222日 16 国222222日 16 国22222222222222222222222222222222222	17 国2 2 2 雠[五字理論

18 圖2.2.4 激勵動機構面	19 圖2.3.1 知識管理元素架構
19 圖2.3.2 知識移動方式	
27 表目錄 表2.1.1 學者對個人物	
對激勵定義之彙總11 表2.2.2 當代	c的激勵理論15
表2.3.1 學者對知識分享定義之彙總21	表2.3.2 知識分享意願行為分類
25 表3.3.1 本研究相關構面	31 表3.5.1 信度分析
37 表4.1.1 兩地受測者基本資料百分比統計表	39 表4.2.1 個人特質對企業成員知識分享
意願的迴歸變異數分析46 表4.2.2 個人特質對企業成員知識分享	Z意願的迴歸分析47 表4.3.1 激勵動機對企業成員
知識分享意願的迴歸變異數分析55 表4.3.2 激勵動機對企業成員	員知識分享意願的迴歸分析56 表4.4.1 個人特質、
激勵動機對企業成員知識分享意願的迴歸變異數分析	65 表4.4.2 個人特質
、激勵動機對企業成員知識分享意願的迴歸分析	66 表4.5.1 台灣、上
海地區對各變項之比較分析結果	\$總整理表69

REFERENCES

中文部分 1.王文彦(2002) , 「知識分享內外在動機與知識分享行為之研究以A公同為例」。中央大學人力資源管理研究所。 2.江斌 玉(1988),「激勵行為與績效之研究」,銘傳學報,第24期,頁81-110。3.汪金城(2001),「研發機構分享機制之研究-以工研院光電所 研發團隊為例 」, 政治大學公共行政研究所。 4.林振祥(1997) , 「企業人員之人口統計變項、工作特性與激勵因素之相關研究 — 以中部 地區企業為例」,東海大學工業工程研究所碩士論文。 5.林志信(2002),「知識類型、人格特質與激勵制度對知識分享意願影響之研究 - 以壽險業為例」。 6.林芋荻(2001),「組織文化如何影響知識分享之探索性研究」,東吳大學企業管理學系未出版碩士論文。 7.邱皓 政(2003) ,「社會行為科學的量化研究與統計分析」,五南圖書出版公司。 8.郭文德(1994) ,「壽險行銷管理」,雅登出版社。 9.夏侯欣 鵬(1990),「權力與信任對組織內部知識分享意願影響之研究 以銀行放款部門主管為例」,政治大學企業管理研究所。 10.夏侯欣 鵬(2000),「信任與權力對組織內知識分享意識影響之研究」,政治大學企業管理學系博士論文。 11.許士軍(1982),「管理學」,3月, 頁56,台北:東華書局。 12.許濱松(1981),「論激勵管理與人力資源管理的有效運用 」,七有出版傳訊公司,台北。 13.陳定國(1981),「 企業管理」,台北:三民書局。 14.陳慈仁(2002),「兩岸壽險業銷售人員激勵制度之比較研究」。 15.陳碧慧(2002),「廠商激勵措施及其 類型與組織創新績效的關係—以創新育成中心進駐廠商為例」。 16.黃勢民(2002) , 「國民小學教師知識分享及其影響因素之研究 」17. 黃洲煌(2000) , 「個人人格特質、激勵認知、工作態度與組織公民行為良關連性研究 」。 18.張潤書畫(1990) , 「組織行為與管理技巧 」 ,五南圖書出版公司,台北。 19.張玉文譯,杜克拉等著(2000),「知識管理」 哈佛商業評論,台北;天下文化。馬曉雲(2001),「新 經濟的運籌管理 知識管理」,中國生產力中心。 20.劉京偉譯(2000),「Arthur Andersen Business Consulting」,勤業管理顧問公司 著 ,「知識管理的第一本書」.商周出版。 21.黎士群(1999),「組織信任與知識分享行為之關係性研究」,銘傳大學管理科學研究所。 22. 蔡繼智(2005),「學習型組織、個人特質對外派大陸員工知識分享意願影響之研究」,大葉大學國際企業管理研究所。 23.羅潔伶(1995) ,「影響企業薪酬制度之因素探討」,中央大學企管研究所碩士論文。 24.羅瑞玉(1996),「國小學生的利社會行為及其相關因素之研究 」。 英文部分 1.Alderfer, C.P., (1969) "An Empirical Test of a New Theory of Human Needs," Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, Vol.4, 142-175. 2.Bank, D. (1996), The New Worker-Know It Alls, Wall Street Journal, Nov, 18. 3.Badaracco, J. (1991), Knowledge Link: How Firms Complete Through Strategies Alliance, Boston: Harvard Business School Press. 4.Bar-Tal. D. (1976). Prosocial Behavior: Theory and research. NY: John Wiley. 5.Blau, P. (1964), Exchange and Power in Social Life, New York: Wiley. 6.Boston, C.D. & Shaw, L.L. (1991), Evidence for Altruism:Toward a Pluralism of Prosocial Motives, Psychological Inquiry, 2, No.2, pp.107-122. 7.D Aunno, and Fottler(1994), Motivating People. In S.M. Snortell, and A.D. Kaluzny, Health care management. 8. Davenport, T.H. & Prusak, L. (1998/2001), Working Knowledge: How Organizations Manage What They Know, Boston: Harvard Business School Press. i. 胡瑋珊(譯)。知識管理。台北:中 國生產力中心。 9.Dessler,G.(1980),Organization and Management: A Contingence Approach,2th ed.,(New York: Prentice - Hall Inc). 10.Deci, E. & Ryan, R. (1985), Intrinsic Motivation and Self-determination in Human Behavior, New York:Plenum Press 11.Drucker, P. (1992), "The New Society of The Organizations," Harvard Business Review, September-October, pp.95-104. 12. Drucker, P.F. (1993), Post-Capitalist Society, i. Oxford:Butterworth Heinemann. 13. Eisenberg, N(1982) Introduction. In The development of Prosocial Behavior, Academic press, 1-21 14.Hellriegel, D., john W.S. & Richard W.W.(1986), Organizational Behavior. 15.Hendriks, P. (1999), Why Share Knowledge? The Influence of ICT on The Motivation for Knowledge Sharing, Knowledge and Process Management, 6(2), 91-100. 16. Herzbery (1959), The Motivation To Work, New York: John Wiley and Sons. 17. Hickins, M. (1999), Xerox Shares Its Knowledge, Management Review, 88(8), 40-45. 18. Holtshouse, Dan, (1998) "Knowledge research issues, "California Management Review, Vol. 43. No.3, pp.277-280. 19. Hoffman, M.L. (1975), Altruistic Behavior and the Parent-Child Relationship, Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, Vol.31. 20. Howells, J. (1996), Tacit Knowledge, Innvation and Technology Transfer, Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 8, 2, pp.91-106 21. Jones M.R. (1995), Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, (Lincoln, Nebraska: University of Nebraska press, 1995), p14. 22. Kast, Fremont E. & E., James, Rosenzweig (1985), Organization and Management: A Systems and Conting - ency Approah,4th ed.,(New York: McGraw - Hill) P286. 23. Leeds, R. (1963). Altruism and the norm of giving. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly of Behavior and Development, 9, 229-240. 24. Leonard-Barton & Dorothy(1995), Well Springs of Knowledge:Building and Sustaining the Sources of Innovation, Boston: Harvard Business School Press. 25. Maslow, A. H., Motivation and

Personality. NY: Harper and Row Publishers, 1954. 26.McDermott, R. & O ' Dell, C (2001), "Overcoming Cultural Barriers to Sharing Knowledge, "Journal of Knowledge Management, Vol. 5, No.1, pp.76-85. 27. Morrison, E.W. (1994), "Role Definitions and Organizational Citizenship Behavior: The Importance of Employee's Perspective, Academy of Management Review, 14(2), pp.157-169. 28. Musen, A. M., "Dimensions of knowledge sharing and reuse," Computers and Bio-Medical Research, Vol.25, pp.435-467(1992). 29. Nancy, M.D. (2000), Common Knowledge: How Companies Thrive by Sharing What They Know?, Boston: Harvard Business School Press. 30. Newell, Swan, Galliers, and Scarbrough (1999). The Intranet as a Knowledge management tool? Creating New Electronic Fences. In M Khosrowpour(ed), Managing Information Technology Resources In The Next Millennium. Symposium conducted at the meeting of the 1999 IRMA Information Conference 17-19 May, Hershey, USA, pp.612-619. 31. Nonaka, I & Takeuchi, H (1995/1997), The Knowledge-Creating Company: How Japanese Companies Create The Dynamics of Innovation, UK:Oxford University Press. 王美音、楊子江(譯)。創新求勝:智價企業論導讀。台北:遠流。 32. Prahalad, C.K. & Hamel, G. (1990), The Core Competence of The Corporation, Harvard Business Review, May-June, pp.79-91. 33. Quinn, J.B., Anderson, P. & Finklestein, S. (1996), Managing Professional Intellect: Making The Most of The Best, Harvard Business Review, March-April, pp.71-80. 34.Rakich(1992), Managing health services organizations. Baltimove: Health Professions Press, P.499. 35.Robbins, S.P. & DeCenzo, D.A. (1998), "Fundamentals of management: Essential Concepts and Applications," Prentice Hall. 36.Scott, K. & Walker, A. (1995), Teams, Teamwork & Teambuilding: The manager 's complete guide to teams in organizations, New York: Pretice Hall 37. Senge, P. (1997), Sharing Knowledge, Executive Excellence, 15(6), pp.11-12 38. Siegel, L. & Lane(1982) I.M., Personnel and Organizational Psychoology, Richard D.Irwin Inc. 39.Steers, R.m. & Porter(1987), I.w., Motivation and work Behavior, McGraw - Hill Book Co., (New York) 40.Tampoe, M.(1993), Motivation Knowledge Worker- The Challenge for the 1990s in the Myers, Knowledge Management and Organization Design, Boston:Butterworth-Heinemann. 41. Taylor, F.W.(1911), Prnciples of Scientific Management, New York. 42. Thibaut J. W. and Kelley, H.(1959). The Social Psychology of Groups.: New York: Wiley. 43. Villadsen, B. (1995), Communication and Delegation I Collusive Agencies, Journal of Accounting and Economics, March-May, pp.315-344.