## The Influence of Subsidiary's Technological ability on Parent Company's Technological

## 耿舜、莊銘國

E-mail: 9417969@mail.dyu.edu.tw

## **ABSTRACT**

This text is crescent in scale in the mainland subsidiary with the Taiwan business, even surmount the parent company, form it under the special situation of subsidiary's scale is excellent than parent, is based on the resource basic view and MNC theories, probing into when subsidiary's scale or technology are superior to the parent company, there is technological feedback current to the parent company, and then influence the technological ability of parent company, improve the technological ability of parent company. This research theme lies in, first, Taiwan business are on the initial stage of investing in the mainland, which kind of resource is subsidiary of Taiwan business in mainland obtained by parent company of Taiwan and host country? Second, as the subsidiary of Taiwan business in mainland possess the technology superior to the parent company of Taiwan or resources which the possession parent company lacks, whether the subsidiary could make some contribution to parent company. Third, to resources offered of subsidiary, the parent company can combine and utilize, improve one's own ability. Take the case study method in this research, go to eight manufacturers of Taiwan already invested in at the continent in mainland interview, find that has already had subsidiaries to feedback resources to the parent company among Taiwan parent company, subsidiary can even help to improve the ability of parent company to develop, can do some good to development of the parent company, accord with the trend that inference MNC subsidiary role and ability of enterprise's subsidiary of this research institute change. According to the interview result, it is four kinds to feedback and sum up technological resources of the present stage between Taiwan business's subsidiary and parent company, including resource recipient, resource holder, the person who develops resource and integration of the resources person, all kinds of represent resource, Taiwan business of parent company and subsidiary company use situation, is it help Taiwan parent company understand make an investment in mainland subsidiary role and transition of ability to expect to be able.

Keywords: Resource Based, Learning by Doing, Best Practices Transfer, MNC

## **Table of Contents**

封面內頁 簽名頁 授權書	iii 中文摘要	v 英文摘	
要vi 誌謝	viii 目錄.		ix 圖目
錄xii 表目錄	xiii 第·	一章 緒論	1 第
一節 研究動機1 一、 實	務面	2 二、 理論面	3
第二節 研究問題與研究目的5	第三節 研究流程	7 第二章 文獻探	₹
討10 第一節 背景理論	10 一、	多國企業的演化	10
二、 子公司角色研究發展14 3	三、 資源基礎觀點	19 第二節 主要概	
念23 一、 子公司能力移	轉23 二、	從做中學	26 三、
實務移轉31 第一節 研究概念架構與推			
論31 一、研究概念架構	31 二、架構抖	隹論	34 第二節 研究
方法37 一、 質性研究	38 二、	個案研究方法	38 ≡
、 資料分析方法42 四、 研究範圍與研究限制44 第三節 個案預			
試67 第四章 個案描述46 第四節 修正研究架構70			
第一節 巨大機械工業股份有限公司70 第二節 美利達工業股份有限公司77 第三節 C 橡膠工			
業股份有限公司82 第四節 帝寶工業股份有限公司88 第五節 力山工業股份有限公			
司94 第六節 濱中松勤工業有限	公司100 第丑	i章 個案分析與討	
論104 一、台商子公司技術能力形			
成104 二、台商子公司技術能力排	是升109 三、:	台商子公司技術能力回饋母名	公
司115 四、台商子公司技術能力對母公司技術能力的影響118 第六章 結			
論121 第一節 研究結果	果討論12	21 第二節 理論與實務之涵	
義127 一、理論貢獻	127 二、實務፤	<b>ラ獻</b>	.128 第三節 結
論與建議128 參考文獻	130	一、中文	130 <u> </u>
、英文145 附錄A:訒	<b>;談大綱</b>	145	

一、中文部分 1. 方至民, 2002, 企業競爭優勢, 台北:前程企業管理 2. 毛倩文, 1990, 我國廠商國際技術移轉成敗關鍵因素之研究, 國 立政治大學國際貿易研究所未出 版碩士論文 3. 朱柔若 譯,W.Lawrence Neuman 著,2000,社會研究方法 -質化與量化取向,台北 : 揚 智文化 4. 司徒達賢、林晉寬、詹聖生,1994,資源基礎理論與企業競爭優勢關係之探討,行政院國家科學 委員會專題研究計畫成果報 告 5. 林永達,跨疆域的技術移轉與技術整合之研究--以台商鑫昌機械赴大陸投資為例,國立政治大學 企業管理研究所碩士論文 6. 余明 助,1999,多國籍企業組織、策略與控制關係之研究 - 以台商海外子公司為例,國立成功 大學企業管理學系未出版博士論文 7. 李獻宗 ,1997,台灣績優中小企業海外投資決策與績效關係之研究--以製造業大陸及東協五國投 資為例,國立成功大學企業管理學系未出版碩 士論文 8. 尚榮安 譯,Robert K. Yin 著,2001,個案研究方法,台北 : 弘智文化 9. 吳思華,2000,策略九說:策略思考的本質,台北 : 臉 譜 10. 高長,1996,製造業赴大陸投資對台灣經濟的影響,國立政治大學學報,第73 期,pp.209-233 11. 陳向明,2002,社會科學:質的 研究,台北: 五南圖書 12. 陳添枝,2004,「運籌台灣 決勝全球」,經濟日報 13. 黃營杉、楊景傅、汪志堅、梁富梅 譯,Jay B. Barney 著,2003,高階策略管理,台北: 華泰 文化 14. 經濟部中小企業處,2002,中小企業白皮書,經濟部統計處 15. 經濟部統計處,2001, 製造業對外投資實況調查報告,經濟部統計處 16. 曾志弘,2001,多國籍企業子公司自主權與主導行為影響因素之研究 - 以多國籍企業 在台子公司 為例,國立中山大學企業管理學未出版博士論文 17. 賴士葆,1991,科技管理論文集,台北: 大葉文教基金會 18. 賴士葆、 謝龍發、陳松柏,2004,科技管理,台北:華泰文化 19. 趙子巖,2004,影響子公司技術能力提升因素之研究 – 以大陸台商為例,大葉 大學國際企業管理 學系未出版碩士論文 20. 曾紀幸,1995,多國籍企業在台子公司網路組織型態及其母公司管理機制之選擇,國立政治 大學 企業管理研究所未出版博士論文 21. 詹亞霓,2001,台商母子公司間知識移轉與策略控制之關係研究,國立臺灣大學國際企業學研 究 所碩士論文 22. 蔡裕源,1993,移轉技術類型、管理機制與移轉績效關係之研究,國立政治大學企業管理研究所 未出版博士論文 23. 廖明坤,2002,台商大陸子公司決策自主權影響因素之研究,國立政治大學企業管理學系未出版 博士論文 24. 鍾小琴,2001,技術收授 雙方互動機制對國際技術移轉績效影響之研究 - 以台商赴大陸投資為 例,中國文化大學國際企業管理學系未出版碩士論文 二、英文部 分 1. Alan M Rugman, ; Alain Verbeke., " Subsidiary-specific advantages in multinational enterprises ", Strategic Management Journal. Chichester: Mar 2001. Vol. 22, Iss. 3; p. 237 2. Andersson, U., Forsgren, M., and Pedersen, T. 2001. Subsidiary performance in multinational corporations: the importance of technology embeddedness. International Business Review. 3. Andersson, U., Forsgren, M., and Holm, U. 2002. The strategic impact of external networks: Subsidiary performance and competence development in the multinational corporation. Strategic Management Journal, 23(11): 979. 4. Andersson. U., a. P., C. 1997. Subsidiary Influence on Strategic behaviour in MNCs: An Empirical Study. International Business Review. 5. Appleyard, M. M. 1996. How does knowledge flow? Interfirm patterns in the semiconductor industry. Strategic Management Journal, 17: 137. 6. Barney, J. B. 1986. Strategic Factor Markets: Expectation, Luck, and Business Strategy. Management Science: 1231. 7. Barney, J. B. 1991. Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1): 99. 8. Barney, J. B. 2001. Is the Resource-Based "View" A Useful Perspective for Strategic Management Research ? Yes. The Academy of Management Review(1): 41. 9. Bartlett, C. A., and Ghoshal, S. 1987. Global Strategy: An Organizing Framework. Strategic Management Journal, 8(5): 425. 10. Bartlett, C. A., and Ghoshal, S. 1990. The Multinational Corporation as an Interorganizational Network. The Academy of Management Review, 15(4): 603. 11. Bartlett, C. A. a. G., S. 1991. Global Strategic Management: Impact on the New Frontiers of Strategy Research. Strategic Management Journal, 12. 12. Birkinshaw, J. M., and Morrison, A.J. 1995. Configurations of strategy and structure in subsidiaries of multinational corporations, Journal of International Business Studies, 26(4): 729, 13. Birkinshaw, J. M. 1996. How multinational subsidiary mandates are gained and lost, Journal of International Business Studies, 27(3): 467. 14. Birkinshaw, J. M., Hood, N., and Jonsson, S. 1998. Building Firm-Specific Advantages in Multinational Corporations: The Role of Subsidiary Initiative. Strategic Management Journal, 19(3): 221. 15. Birkinshaw, J. M., and Hood, N. 1998. Multinational subsidiary evolution: Capability and charter change in foreign-owned subsidiary companies. The Academy of Management Review, 23(4). 16. Butler, R. L. P. J. E. 2001. Tautology in the Resource-based View and the Implicatons of Externally Determined Resource Value: Further Comments. The Academy of Management Review, 26(1): 57. 17. Carroll, S. D. D., and Glenn, R.C., 2003. Size (and competition) among Organizations: Modeling Scale-based Selection among Automobile Producers in Four major Countries, 1885~1981. Strategic Management Journal, 24(6): 541. 18. Castanias, R. P., and Helfat, C.E. 1991. Managerial Resources and Rents. Journal of Management, 17(1): 155. 19. Chatterjee, S. W., Birger. 1991. The Link Between Resources and Type of Diversification: Theory and Evidence. Strategic Management Journal, 12(1). 20. Christopher D, I., Venky, N., and Madhav V, R. 2001. An empirical examination of dynamic quality-based learning models. Management Science: 563. 21. Conner, K. R. 1991. A Historical Comparison of Resource-Based Theory and Five Schools of Thought Within Industrial Organization Economics: Do We Have a New Theory of the Firm? Journal of Management, 17(1): 121. 22. Connor, T. 2002. The Resource-based View of Strategy and its Value to Practising Managers. Strategic Change, 11(6): 307. 23. Constance, E. H., and Lieberman, M.B. 2002. The birth of capabilities: Market entry and the importance of pre-history. Industrial and Corporate Change, 11(4): 725. 24. Cui, G. 1998. The Evolutionary Process of Global Market Expansion: Experiences of MNCs in China. Journal of World Business, 33(1): 87. 25. Delany, E. 2000. Strategic Development of the Multinational Subsidiary through Subsidiary Initiative-taking. Long Range Planning, 33: 220. 26. Dunning, J. H. 1980. Toward an Eclectic Theory of International Production: Some Empirical Tests. Journal of International Business Studies, 11(1): 9. 27. Dunning, J. H., and Mathew, M. 1981. The Eclectic Theory of International Production: A Case Study of the International Hotel Industry. Managerial and Decision Economics, 2(4): 197. 28. Dunning, J. H. 1992. Multinational enterprises and the global economy: Addison-Wesley Publishers Ltd. 29. Dunning, J. H. 1998. Location and the multinational enterprise: A neglected factor. Journal of International Business Studies,

29(1): 45. 30. Dutton, J. M., and Thomas, A. 1989. Relating Technological Change and Learning by Doing: Jai. 31. Ethier, W. J. 1986. A Multinational Firm. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 101(4): 805. 32. Fahy, J. 2002. A Resource-Based Analysis of Sustainable Competitive Advantage in a Global Environment. International Business Review 57. 33. Foss, K. 2001. Organizing Technological Interdependencies: A Coordination Perspective on the Firm. 34. Foss, N. J., and Pedersenb, T. 2002. Transferring knowledge in MNCs: The role of sources of subsidiary knowledge and organizational context. Journal of International Management: 49. 35. Francisco, M. J., and Fuerst, W.L., and Barney, J.B. 1995. Information Technology and Sustained Competitive Advantage: A Resource-Based Analysis. MIS Quarterly. Minneapolis, 19(4): 487. 36. Frost, T. S., Birkinshaw, J.M., and Prescott C.E. 2002. Centers of excellence in multinational corporations. Strategic Management Journal, 23(11): 997. 37. Fryxell, G. E. B., John; Choi, Amanda. 2004. Successful Localization Programs in China: an Important Element in Strategy Implementation. Journal of World Business, 39(3): 268. 38. Gautam, R., Barney, J.B., and Waleed, A.M. 2004. Capabilities, Business Processes, and Competitive advantage: Choosing the dependent variable in empirical test of Resource-Based View. Strategic Management Journal: 23. 39. Ghoshal, S., Harry, K., and Szulanski, G.: 1994. Interunit Communication in Multinational Corporations. Management Science, 40(1): 96. 40. Grant, R. M. 1991. The Resource-Based Theory of Competitive Advantage: Implications for Strategy Formulation. California Management Review, 33(1): 114. 41. Grosse, R. 1985. An Imperfect Competition Theory of the MNE. Journal of International Business Studies, 16(000001): 57. 42. Gupta, A. K. G., V. 1991. Knowledge Flows and the Structure of Control Within Multinational Corporations. The Academy of Management Review: 768, 43. Henderson, R., and Cockburn, I. Measuring competence? Exploring firm effects in pharmaceutical research. Strategic Management Journal, 15: 63. 44. Henderson, R., and Cockburn, I. 1994. Measuring competence? Exploring Firm Effects in Pharmaceutical Research. Strategic Management Journal, 15: 63. 45. Holm, U., and Pedersen, T. 2000. The Dilemma of Centres of Excellence Contextual Creation Knoeledge Versus Global Transfer of Knowledge: Copenhagen Business School Department of International Economics and management 46. Jarillo, J. C., and Martinez, J.I. 1990. Different Roles for Subsidiaries: The Case of Multinational Corporations in Spain. Strategic Management Journal: 501. 47. Johanson, J., and Vahlne, J.E. 1977. The Internationalization Process of the Firm--A Model of Knowledge Development and Increasing Foreign Market Commitments. Journal of International Business Studies, 8(000001): 23. 48. Johanson, J., and Wiedersheim, F.P. 1977. The Internationalization of the Firm: Four Swedish Case Studies. Journal of Management Studies. 49. Johanson, J., and Vahlne, J.E. 2003. Business Relationship Learning and Commitment in the Internationalization Process. Journal of International Entrepreneurship, 1(1): 83. 50. Jones., C. W. L. H. a. C. R. 2002. Strategic management theory. New York: Houghton Mifflin Company. 51. Junttila, R. G. S. K. A. B. M. A. 2002. A Resource-based View of Manufacturing Strategy and the Relationship to Manufacturing Performance. Strategic Management Journal, 23(2): 105. 52. Karl, J. M. 2001. A Strategy for Subsidiaries: Centres of Excellences to Build Subsidiary Specific Advantages. Management International Review, 41(3): 75. 53. Kogut.B., a. Z. U. 1993. Knowledge of the firm and the evolutionary theory of the multinational corporation. Journal of International Business Studies: 625. 54. Kostova, T. 1999. Transnational Transfer of Strategic Organizational Practices: a Contextual Perspective. Academy of Management Review, 24(2): 308. 55. Lilach, N. 2003. International Business in a World of Increasing returns. Management International Review, 43(3): 219. 56. Lioukas, Y. E. S. S. 2001. An examination into the causal logic of rent generation: contrasting Porter's competitive strategy framework and the resource-based perspective. Strategic Management Journal, 22(10): 907. 57. Michael, D. L., and Annette, L.R. 2000. Organizational learning about new international markets: Exploring the internal transfer of local market knowledge. Journal of International Business Studies, 31(4): 573, 58, Minbaeva, D., Pedersen, T., Biorkman, I., Fev, C.F., and Park, H.J., 2003, MNC knowledge transfer, subsidiary absorptive capacity, and HRM. Journal of International Business Studies: 586. 59. Mudambi, R. 2002. Knowledge Management in Multinational Firms. Journal of International Management, 8(1): 1. 60. Namgyoo, K. P., Mezias, J.M., and Song, J. A Resource-based View of Strategic Alliances and Firm Value in the Electronic Marketplace. Journal of Management, 30(1): 7. 61. Nilsson, E. A. 1995. Innovating-by-doing: Skill innovation as a source of technol. Journal of Economic, 29(1): 33. 62. O'Donnell, S. B., Timothy. 1999. The Contribution of Foreign Subsidiaries to Host Country National Competitiveness. Journal of International Management, 5(3): 187. 63. Pearce, R. D. Decentralised R&D and strategic competitiveness: globalised approaches to generation and use of technology in multinational enterprises (MNEs). Research Policy: 157-178. 64. Porcano, T. M. 1993. Factors affecting the Foreign Direct Investment Decision of Firms from and into major Industrialized Countries. Multinational Business Review, 1(2): 26. 65. Porter, M. E. 1980. Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors. New York: the Free press. 66. Porter, M. E. 1986. The changing patterns of international competition. California Management Review, 28(2): 9. 67. Porter, M. E. 1990. The Competitive Advanatge of Nations. New York: Macmillan. 68. Porter, M. E. 1991. Towards a Dynamic Theory of Strategy, Strategic Management Journal, 12: 95, 69, Pugh, T. L. G. 1997. High-tech investment and learning-by-doing: An alternative training strategy. Education & Training: 316. 70. Ram, M. 2002. Knowledge Management in Multinational Firms. Journal of International Management, 18: 1. 71. Raphael, A. S., P. 1993. Strategic assets and organizational rent. Strategic Management Journal, 14(1): 33. 72. Raubitschek., C. E. H. a. R. S. 2000. Product sequencing: Co-evolution of knowledge, capabilities and products. Strategic Management Journal, 21(10/11): 961. 73. Rebentisch, E. S., and Ferretti, M. 1995. A knowledge asset-based view of technology transfer in international joint ventures. Journal of Engineering and Technology Management, 12: 1. 74. Richard, H. 1993. A framework linking intangible resources and capabilities to sustainable competitive advantage. Strategic Management Journal, 14(8): 607. 75. Richard, L. P., and John, E.B. 2001. Is the Resource-based "View" a Useful Perspective for Strategic Management Research? The Academy of Management Review, 26(1): 22. 76. Richard, M. 2001. Toward a Synthesis of the Resource-based and Dynamic-capability Views of Rent Creation. Strategic Management Journal, 22(5): 387. 77. Roth, K. M., Allen J. . 1992. Implementing global strategy: Characteristics of global subsidiary mandates. Journal of International Business Studies, 23(4): 715. 78. Roth, K. M.,

Allen J. 1992. Business-Level Competitive Strategy: A Contingency Link to Internationalization. Journal of Management. Bloomington, 18(3): 473. 79. Rugman, A. M. 1980. Internalization Theory and Corporate International Finance. California Management Review, 23(2): 73. 80. Sanden, P., and Vahlne, J.E. The growth rates of Swedish multinational corporations. Journal of International Business Studies, 5(000001): 91. 81. Schlegelmilch, B. B., and Chini, T.C. 2003. Knowledge transfer between marketing functions in multinational companies: a conceptual model. International Business Review, 12(2): 215. 82. Spender, J. C., and Grant, R.M. 1996. Knowledge and the firm: Overview. Strategic Management Journal, 17: 5. 83. Sundaram, A. K., and Black, J.S. . 1992. The Environment and Internal Organization of Multinational Enterprises. The Academy of Management Review, 17(4): 729. 84. Szulanski, G. 1996. Exploring internal stickiness: impediments to the transfer of best practice within the firm. Strategic Management Journal: 27. 85. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., and Shuen, A. 1997. Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. Strategic Management Journal, 18(7): 509. 86. Trimarchi, M. T., Rick. 2004. Coordinations in Business Interactions between Hong Kong Chinese, mainland Chinese, and Western actors. International Business Review, 13(3): 331. 87. Verbeke, R. A. M. a. A. 2001. Subsidiary-specific advantages in multinational enterprises. Strategic Management Journal, 22(3): 237. 88. Verbeke.A. 2003. The evolutionary view of the MNE and the future of internalization theory. Journal of International Business Studies, 34: 498. 89. Vernon, R. 1966. International investment and international trade in the product cycle. Quarterly Journal of Economics: 190. 90. Vicente, A. L. 2001. An overview review of the resource-based view (RBV) of the firm, drawing on recent Spanish management research. Irish Journal of Management, 22(2): 105. 91. Vickers, I., and Martyn, C.H. 1999. Cleaner Production and Organizational Learning. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 11(1): 75. 92. Wernerfelt, B. 1984. A Resource - based View of the Firm. Strategic Management Journal, 5: 171. 93. Wernerfelt, B. 1995. The Resource-based View of the Firm: Ten years after. 16(3): 171. 94. Winter, S. G. & Szulanski, G. 2001. Replication as strategy. Organization Science, 12(6): 730. 95. Yasar, F. J., and Zairi, M. 2000. Best practice transfer for future competitiveness: A study of best practices. Total Quality Management: 734. 96. Yves, L. D. 1980. Multinational Strategy and Structure in Government Controlled Business. Columbia Journal of World Business, 15(3): 14.