

Study of the display quality for digital mammographic radiographs on VDT(Visual Display Terminal)

郭榮宗、王安祥 指導

E-mail: 9315401@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT With the highly science and technology advanced on computer, the improvement of medical radiology is becoming much faster than before. In addition to the digital trend of radiology, the display medium of radiology has changed from view-box to VDT (Visual Display Terminal) workstation. Because the diagnosis characteristics of different nidus are different and the display quality varies under view-box and VDT, the diagnosis performance of doctors is affected by those factors. Nowadays, the resolution of medical screen has reached to three-six million pixels and the resolution of general screen has also reached to two million pixels. Consequently, the effect of displayed medium of radiology on the diagnosis performance of doctors is still deserved studied further. This study is designed to investigate the effect of mammogram display medium (VDT and view-box) on the diagnosis performance and subjective preference of doctors according to different professional experience. In addition, this study is measured quality of mammogram display on the VDT according on the basis of HVS (Human Visual System). The diagnosis performance is evaluated by the ROC (Receiver-Operation- Characteristics) test, and subjective preference is evaluated by the Likert-type rating scale, and quality of mammogram display on the VDT is evaluated by VQP (Visual Quality Plot). On the basis of this study, some suggestions will be proposed for the adequate display medium of mammogram to assist in improving the diagnosis performance of doctors according to different professional experience.

Keywords : Mammogram ; view-box ; VDT ; ROC ; HVS ; VQP

Table of Contents

封面內頁 簽名頁 授權書 iii 中文摘要 v ABSTRACT vii 誌謝 viii 目錄 ix 圖目錄 xiii 表目錄 xiv 第一章 緒論 1 1.1 研究背景與動機 1 1.2 研究目的 3 第二章 文獻探討 4 2.1 乳房微鈣化相關文獻 4 2.1.1 數位醫療影像之呈現方式 4 2.1.2 不同專業程度醫師對數位醫療影像之診斷判讀 7 2.2 績效評估方法 - ROC曲線 9 2.3 測量以人類視覺模型(Human Visual System; HVS)為基礎, 醫療影像在不同VDT工作站之品質差異 12 第三章 研究方法 19 3.1 階段一 19 3.1.1 參與者 19 3.1.2 實驗設備及材料 20 3.1.3 實驗環境 23 3.1.4 實驗設計 24 3.1.5 實驗程序 24 3.1.6 資料蒐集與分析 26 3.2 階段二 26 3.2.1 實驗設備及材料 28 3.2.2 實驗環境 30 3.2.3 實驗程序 30 3.2.4 資料蒐集與分析 31 第四章 結果 32 4.1 階段一 32 4.1.1 不同專業程度醫師診斷數位醫療影像所造成之診斷績效及主觀偏好的影響 32 4.1.2 不同專業程度醫師診斷數位醫療影像所造成之診斷績效ROC下涵蓋面積(AUC) 34 4.1.3 不同專業程度醫師診斷數位醫療影像所造成之診斷績效靈敏度(Sensitivity) 35 4.1.4 不同專業程度醫師診斷數位醫療影像所造成之診斷績效明確度(Specificity) 35 4.1.5 不同呈現方式診斷數位醫療影像所造成之診斷績效ROC下涵蓋面積(AUC) 36 4.1.6 不同呈現方式診斷數位醫療影像所造成之診斷績效靈敏度(Sensitivity) 36 4.1.7 不同呈現方式診斷數位醫療影像所造成之診斷績效明確度(Specificity) 37 4.2 不同專業醫師對於三種數位醫療影像呈現方式主觀偏好的評比 37 4.3 測量在HVS時, 不同VDT工作站所呈現數位醫療影像品質之差異結果 39 第五章 討論 43 5.1 階段一 43 5.1.1 結果討論 43 5.1.2 醫療型及一般型VDT工作站與醫療型觀片箱顯示數位醫療影像造成之診斷績效(AUC)的影響分析 43 5.1.3 醫療型及一般型VDT工作站與醫療型觀片箱顯示數位醫療影像造成之診斷績效(Sensitivity)的影響分析 45 5.1.4 醫療型及一般型VDT工作站與醫療型觀片箱顯示數位醫療影像造成之診斷績效(Specificity)的影響分析 46 5.1.5 主治醫師、住院醫師與實習醫生判讀數位醫療影像造成之診斷績效(AUC、Sensitivity、Specificity)的影響分析 46 5.2 不同專業醫師對於三種數位醫療影像呈現方式主觀偏好的評比分析 47 5.3 測量在人類視覺模型之不同VDT工作站呈現數位醫療影像品質之差異結果分析 48 第六章 結論 49 6.1 階段一 49 6.2 階段二 49 參考文獻 51 附錄一 55 附錄二 56

REFERENCES

0 中文 1. 林宗輝 (2000) 「應用於顯示在電腦螢幕之醫學影像的適應性區域對比加強法」國立陽明大學醫學工程研究所博士論文。 2. 吳智誠 (2001) 「資料探勘於影像資訊之應用-以乳房微鈣化特徵處理為案例」大葉大學工業工程所碩士論文 3. 陳炳仁 (2002) 「類神經網路ROC曲線的設計方法」國立中山大學機械與機電工程研究所 4. 蘇振隆 「遠距醫療國家標準之應用 - 全數位化醫院下談PACS及DICOM」高雄醫學大學附設中和紀念醫院影像醫學部參考文獻 http://www.rad.kmu.edu.tw/PACS/PACS_development.htm。 5. 行政院衛生署(2002) 「民國91年國人主要死因」, <http://www.doh.gov.tw/statistic/index.htm>。 西文 6. Bassett, L.W., Monsees, B.S., Smith,

R.A., Wang, L., Hooshi, P., Farria, D.M., Sayre, J.W., Feig, S.A., Jackson, V.P., 2003. Survey of radiology residents: Breast imaging training and attitudes. *Radiology*, 227, 862-869.

7. Bassett LW, Monsees BS, Smith RA., 2003. Survey of radiology residents: Breast imaging training and attitudes. *Radiology*, 227, 862-869

8. Barten, G.J., 1987. A new method for the evaluation of visible resolution on a display. *Proceedings of the SID*, 28, 253-262

9. Cox, G.G., Cook, L.T., McMillan, J.H., Rosenthal, S.J., Dwyer III, D.J., 1990. Chest radiography: Comparison of high-resolution digital displays with conventional and digital film. *Radiology*, 176, 771-776

10. Fajardo, L.L., Hillman, B.J., Pond, G.D., Carmody, R.F., Jphnson, J.E., Ferrell, W.R., 1989. Detection of pneumothorax: Comparison of digital and conventional chest image. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 152, 475-480

11. Hayrapetian, A., Aberle, D.R., Huang, H.K., Fiske, R., Morioka, C., Valentino, D., Boechat, M.I., 1989. Comparison of 2048-line digital display formats and conventional radiographs: an ROC study. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 152, 1113-1119

12. Ji T.L., Sundareshan M.K., Roehrig H., 1994. Adaptive image contrast enhancement base on human visual properties. *IEEE Trans Med Imag*, 10, 573-586

13. Karunasekera S.A., Kingshury N.G., 1994. A distortion measure for image artifacts baesd on human visual sensitivity. *IEEE International conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, 5, 117-120

14. Lin, T.-H. and Kao T., 2001. Comparison of medical image quality between light-box and computer monitor. *Journal of Medical and Biological Engineering*, 21(2), 79-84

15. Lin Tzong Huei, Kao Tsair., 2000. Adaptive local contrast enhancement method for medical images displayed on a video monitor. *Medical Engineering & Physics*, 22, 79-87

16. Lind, P.A., Marks, L.B., Hollis, Donna, Fan, M.F., Zhou, S.M., Munley, M.T., Shafman, T.D., Jaszczak, R.J., Coleman, R.E., 2002. Receiver operating characteristic curves to assess predictors of radiation- induced symptomatic lung injury, 54(2), 340-347.

17. Metz, C.E., 1986. ROC methodology in radiology imaging. *Invest Radiol*, 21, 720-733

18. Morrow, W.M., Paranjape, R.B., Rangayyan, R.M., 1992. Region-based contrast enhancement of mammograms. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 11(3), 392-406

19. Nachmias, J., 1980. On the psychometric function for contrast detection. *Vision Research*, 21, 215-223

20. Pisano, E.D., Cole, E.B., Major, S., Zong, S., Hemminger, B.M., Muller, KE., Johnson, R. E., Walsh, R., Conant, E., Fajardo, L.L., Feig, S.A., Nishikawa, R.M., Yeffe, M.j., Williams, M.B., Aylward, S.R., 2000. Radiologists' preferences for digital manmographic display. *Radiology*, 216, 820-830

21. Peli E., 1990. Contrast in complex images. *JOSA*, 7(10) 2032-2040

22. Rehm Kelly, Ovitt T.W., 1993. Digital image processing of chest radiographs to compensate for the limitations of video displays. *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, 2, 264-271

23. Slasky, B.S., Gur, D., Good, W.F., Costa-Greco, M.A., Harris, K.M., Cooperstein, L.A., Rockette, H.E., 1990. Receiver operating characteristic analysis of chest image interpretation with conventional, laser-printed, and high-resolution workstation image. *Radiology*, 174, 775-780

24. Sickles, E.A., Wolverton, D.E., Dee, K.E., 2002. Performance parameters for screening and diagnostic mammography: Specialist and general radiologists. *Radiology*, 224, 861-869

25. Smith, C.K., Haus, A.G., Debruhi, N. Bassett, L.W., 1997. Effects of ambient light and view box luminance on the detection of calcifications in mammography. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 168, 775-778

26. Sanders M.S., McCormick ET., 1993. Human factors in engineering and design. Seventh Edition

27. Wang, J. and Gray, J.E., 1998. Detection of small low-contrast objects in mammography: Effect of view-box masking and luminance. *American Journal of Radiology*, 170, 105-108