A Study of the Relationship between Personality Traits and the Learning/Growth Performance in Knowledge Intensive Busine

葉逸萱、晁瑞明

E-mail: 9314342@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

With the coming of globalization, as the initiation into World Trade Organization (WTO) of Taiwan and the effect of foreign companies, a lot of industries in Taiwan have to against the economical changes and challenges. However, in knowledge economy, the applications (services) of knowledge sources will be stressed on the future in addition to the specialized and entrepreneurial management. Furthermore, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) reported that the Knowledge Economy would change the developing pattern of global economy, and the services of original knowledge resource would become the main factor of promotion and growth in industry productivity. In the mean time, with the coming of Knowledge Economy, the economic unit has become an information category of Knowledge Intensive Service (KIS) gradually. Market economy has the great effect on the labor market; meanwhile the first consideration of human resource evaluation in KIS also depends on the Learning/Growth performance in knowledge perspective. In the future of the short-term goal, the development of KIBS in Taiwan is to solve "Technical Problem". On the other hand, the long-range objective is to resolve "Human Resource Problem". As mentioned above, regarded KIBS as enterprise organizations of the beginning, their human resource developments put emphasis on the whole human requirement analysis and plan, especially the cognition of staffs 'specific knowledge, personal values, employees intrinsic potential exploitation and working development. This paper goes into what kinds of characters or conditions staff has in KIBS and the viiidifference of employee 's Learning/Growth performance whether the character demands are different or not. The results of this research inferred the all-purpose KIBS ' personality traits, such as optimism, interpersonal relationship, cautiousness, professional knowledge, interdisciplinary knowledge and language. Those all have a great effect on employees ' Learning/Growth performance in KIBS. This paper hopes to provide some suggestions not only for organization in turning into KIBS, but also for employees in becoming a member of KIBS, and then to understand their role, ability and competitiveness. In the developing process of KIBS, enterprises could invest learning in right place, and it will bring better benefit to enterprises.

Keywords: Knowledge Intensive Business Services, Personality Traits, Balanced Scorecard.

Table of Contents

封面內頁 簽名頁 授權書	iii 中戈	ζ摘要v
ABSTRACT	vii 誌謝	ix
Contents	x List of Figures	xiii List of Tables
	xiv Chapter I. Introduction	1 1.1 Background
	1 1.2 Research Motivation	2 1.3 Research
Objective	4 1.4 Research Flow	5 1.5 Research Limitations
	6 Chapter . Literature Review	7 2.1 Knowledge Intensive Business
Services	7 2.1.1 The Definition of Knowledge Intensiv	e Business Services 7 2.1.2 The Classification and
Scope of KIBS	10 2.1.3 The Importance and Essentiali	ty of KIBS 17 2.2 The Requiring Analysis of
		Skills
		21 2.2.4 Information Technical
	•	
Characters 23	2.4 The Balanced Scorecard	27 2.4.1 The Origin of the Balanced Scorecard
27 2.4.2 1	The Four Perspectives of the Balanced Scoreca	ard 29 2.4.3 The Measurement Indicators of the
•		35 Chapter . Methodology
	37 3.1 Research Structure	37 3.2 Research Objects
	38 3.3 Statistics and Analysis Method	38 3.3.1 Fuzzy Operation
	39 3.3.2 Statistics Analysis Tool	41 3.4 Pre-test Implement and
Analysis	42 3.4.1 The Results of Factor Analysis	43 3.4.2 Reliability Analysis
	.48 3.5 Operational Definition of Research V	ariables50 3.5.1 The Personality Traits of

KIBS	50 3.5.2 The Learning/Growth Perspective	51 3.6 Research	Hypothesis
	52 3.7 Research Model	58 Chapter . Data A	nalysis
	59 4.1 Questionnaire Retrieved	59 4.2 Descriptive Sta	atistics Analysis of
Samples	60 4.3 The Predictive Analysis of KIBS ' Person	ality Traits with Work Input of the	Learning/Growth
Performance		Personality Traits with Learning / G	rowth of the
Learning/Growth F	Performance 69 4.5 The Predictive Ana	alysis of KIBS 'Personality Traits v	with Information
System Capabilities	of the Learning/Growth Performance 72 4.6	The Predictive Analysis of KIBS '	Personality Traits with
Innovation of the L	earning/Growth Performance75	4.7 The Predictive Analysis of KIBS	S' Personality Traits
with Individual and	Organizational Alignment of the Learning/Growth F	Performance78 4.8 The Predictive	e Analysis of KIBS '
Personality Traits w	vith Strategic Decision of the Learning/Growth Perfo	rmance 81 4.9 Compa	are the type of
Technical with Prof	fessional KIBS in the Predictive Analysis	84 Chapter . Co	nclusion
	86 5.1 Research Conclusion	86 5.2 Suggestions	
		96 5.4 Resea	rch Contribution
	97 Reference	98 Chinese Reference	
	98 English Reference	101 Appendix	

REFERENCES

Chinese Reference 1. 中華民國台灣地區國民所得 , 行政院主計處。 http://www.dgbas.gov.tw/。 2. 中華經濟研究院 , http://proj.moeaidb.gov.tw/kmpp/introduction/main.html。 3. 王健全 (2001) , 「知識服務業全球競爭之發展願景與策略 」 , 第四屆全國 工業發展會議第五分組會議資料。 4. 台灣經濟研究院 (2002) , 「知識服務時代之知識密集服務業 探索 」。 5. 江仁宏 (2000) , 「應用模糊 理論於軟體品質評估之研究」,國防管理學院國防資訊研究所碩士論文。6.宋孟霖(1997),「本國積體電路製造業關鍵成功因素與績 效 評估制度之研究:實地研究」,國立台灣大學會計學研究所碩 士論文。 7. 吳思華 (2001) ,「知識經濟、知識資本與知識管理」,台灣 產 業研究,第四期,遠流出版公司。 8. 吳秉恩 (1986),組織行為學,台北:華泰書局。 9. 吳秉恩 (1999),「以競爭力為基礎的人力資源 策略」,1997 亞洲華人家具研討會,台灣區家具工業同業公會,國際會議 中心。 10. 林佩琪 (1998) , 「高科技產業研究發展績效衡量之 研究」,國 立台灣大學會計學系研究所碩士論文。 11. 周傳震 (2000),「平衡計分卡制度應用於國防科技研發機構 之研究」,國防管理 學院資源管理研究所碩士論文。 12. 陳玉梅 (1998) , 「國際性人才遴選因素之研究 」 , 私立靜宜大 學管理科學研究所未出版之碩士論文 。 13. 陳信宏 (2000a),「全球運籌管理對台灣資訊電子業的影 響」,經濟情勢暨評論季刊,第六卷,第一期,97-113 頁。 14. 陳信宏 (2000b),「從知識的特質論知識經濟之特質與內涵」,科技發展政策報導月刊,SR8910,1245-1256頁。15.陳明璋(2000),「平衡計 分卡在中小企業的應用」,國立台北 大學企業管理研究所碩士論文。 16. 馮震宇 (2002),「發展知識服務業的問題與挑戰」,能力雜 誌 ,第553 期,26-34 頁。 17. 褚秀敏 (1998),「鍵成功因素與績效評估制度關連性之研究- 以郵局為例」,國立臺灣大學會計學研究所碩 士論文年。 18. 詹益民 (1996),「個人屬性、人格特質與內滋激勵與外附激 勵關聯性之研究-以交通部數據通訊所員工為例」,國立交 通大學管理科學研究所碩士論文。 19. 廖志德 (2002), 「知識服務產業的發展與前景」, 能力雜誌。 20. 經濟部工業局網站 (2002), 網 址:http://www.moeaidb.gov.tw,經濟部工業局推動新興產業之發 展政策與方向新聞稿。 21. 蔡正宏 (1996),「ISO 品保制度認知之研 究-以服務業為例 」,國立中興大學會計學研究所碩士論文。 22. 薛立敏、承立平、杜英儀、張維倫 (2003) ,「台灣知識密集 服務產業 發展策略 」,國家政策季刊,第二卷,第二期,第 123-142 頁。 23. 蕭梅苓 (1999),「物流中心績效指標之研究 」,國立台北大學 會計 系碩士論文。 24. 顧志耐 (Simon Kuzents) (1966) , http://3info.virtualave.net/database/msmsg/30.html。 English Reference 1. Allport, G. W., (1936). Personality: A Psychological Interpretation, New York: McGraw-Hill. 2. Anastasi, A., (1998). Psychological testing (6th ed.), New York: Macmillan. 3. Atkinson, A. A., Waterhouse, J. H., and Wells, R. B., (1997). A stakeholder approach to strategic performance measurement, Sloan Management Review, 25-37. 4. Bilderbeek, R., et al., (1998). Services in Innovation: Knowledge Intensive Business Services (KIBS) as Co-Producer of Innovation, TSER-SI4S-Project, TNO-SI4S Synthesis PapersS3. 5. Brian O 'Connell, (2002). The Career Survival Guide: Making Your Next Career Move, McGraw-Hill Trade, 1st edition. 6. Cattell, R. B., (1943). The Description of Personality: Basic Trait Resolvedinto Clusters, Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 38, 476-506. 7. Chen, S. J., and Hwang, C. L., (1992). Fuzzy Multiple Attribute Decision Making Methods and Applications, Springer-Verlag. 8. Costa, P. T., and McCrae, R. R., (1986). Age, personality, and the Holtzman Inkblot Technique, International Journal of Aging and Human Development 23, 115-125. 9. Costa, P. T., and McCrae, R. R., (1986). Cross-sectional studies of personality in a national sample: I. Development and validation of survey measures, Psychology and Aging 1, 140-143. 10. Dahlman, C. J., (1999). Innovation and learning: The two faces of R&D, Economic Journal, 569-596. 11. Delgado, M., Herrera, F., Herrera-Viedma, E., and Martinez, L., (1998). Combining Numerical and Linguistic Information in Group Decision Making, Journal of Information Sciences, 107, 177-194. 12. Drucker, P. F., (1999). Management Challenges for the 21st Century, HarperBusiness, New York. 13. Epstein, M. J., and Manzoni, J. F., (1997). Translation strategy into action, Management Accounting, 28-36, Aug. 14. Galton, F., (1984). Measurement of Character, Fortnightly Review, 36, 179-185. 15. Hair, Anderson, Tatham, and Black, (1998). Multivariate Data Analysis with Readings, New York: Maxwell MacMillan International. 16. Judge, Timothy A., and Joyce, E. B., (2001). Relationship of Core Self-Evaluation--- self-Esteem, Generalized Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control, and

Emotional Stability---with Job Satisfaction and Job Performance: A Meta-Analysis, Journal of Applied Psychology, 86(1), 80-92. 17. Kaplan, R. S., and Norton, D. P., (1992). The Balanced Scorecard - Measures That Drive Performance, Harvard Business Review, 71-79. 18. Kaplan, R. S., and Norton, D. P., (1993). Putting the Balanced Scorecard to Work, Harvard Business Review, 134-142. 19. Kaplan, R. S., and Norton, D. P., (1996). Using the Balanced Scorecard as a Strategic Management System, Harvard Business Review, 75-93. 20. Kaplan, R. S., and Norton, D. P., (1996). The balanced scorecard: translating strategy into action, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, Massachusetts. 21. Kaufmann, A., and Gupta, M. M., (1991). Introduction to Fuzzy Arithmetic: Theory and Application, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 22. Klir, G. J., and Yuan, B., (1995). Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic - Theory and Application, Prentice-Hall Inc., New Jersey. 23. Koschatzky, K., and Zenker, A., (1999). The regional embeddedness of small manufacturing and service firms: regional networking as knowledge source for innovation, Working papers firms and region NO. R2/1999, ISI, Karlsruhe. 24. Miles, I., Kastrinos, N., Flanagan, K., Bilderbeek, R., den Hertog, P., Huntink, W., Bouman, M., (1994). Knowledge-Intensive Business Services: Their Roles as Users, Carriers and Sources of Innovation, PREST, Manchester. 25. Muller, E., (2001). Innovation Interactions between Knowledge-Intensive Business Services and Small-and Medium-sized Enterprises --- Analysis in Terms of Evolution, Knowledge and Territories, Physica, Heidelberg. 26. Muller, E., and Zenker, A., (2001). Business Services as Actors of Knowledge Transformation: the Role of KIBS in Regional and National Innovation Systems, Research Policy, 9(30), 27, Niven, P. R., (2002), Balanced Scorecard, Step by Step, John Wiley and Sons. 28. Nonaka, I. and Takeuchi, H., (1995). The Knowledge-Creating Company, Oxford University Press. 楊子江、王美音譯, (1997), 創新求勝:智價企業論, 台北, 遠流出版。 29. Nunnally, J. C., (1978). Psychometric Theory, 2nd edition, New York: McGraw Hill. 30. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), (1996). Science, technology and industry scoreboard: benchmarking knowledge-based Economies, Paris. 31. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), (1997). Internationalization of Industrial R&D: Patterns and Trends, Paris: OECD. 32. Robbins, S. P., (1998). Organizational Behavior (8th ed.), Upper Saddle River, NJ, Prentice Hall International. 33. Rotter, J. B., (1954). Social learning and clinical psychology, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall. 34. Rotter, J. B., (1966). Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement, Psychological Monographs, 33(1), 300-303. 35. Tatikonda, U. L., and Rao, J. T., (1998). We Need Dynamic Performance Measures, Management Accounting, 49, Sep. 36. Vivien, L., & Eike, W., (1998). The Demand of banks for knowledge-intensive services in the Rhine-Main Region, Competitive Advantage by Networking the Development of the Frankfurt and Rhine-Main Region. 37. Zadeh, L. A., (1965). Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, 8, 338-353. 38. Zimmerman, H. J., (1991). Fuzzy Set Theory and Its Applications, 2nd, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston.