A Study on The Factors That Affect The Technological Capabilities Upgrading of Subsidiaries - The Case of Taiwanese Firms

趙子巖、陳木榮

E-mail: 9314241@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

This research resource-based theory and international business development plan, probes into the subsidiary initiative and the corresponding subsidiary strategic role of Taiwanese firms have incorporated to strengthen their value added and responsibility in view of the swiftly changing operating environment in China. Parent-corporations commitment to supplying resources, duplicating organizational capabilities, utilizing the local resources to gain competitive advantage and management performance by upgrading technology capability. Through case study, a focus interview method with executives of Taiwanese firms in China indicated that resources allocated from parent-corporations to subsidiaries, subsidiary initiative, subsidiary strategic role and availability of the local resources all play a crucial role to the technological capabilities upgrading of subsidiaries. Subsidiaries operating overseas shall, with the promise of infrastructure elements which turn into resources allocation planning, identify and fill in the resource gaps. The subsidiaries shall also utilize the resources available from which the subsidiaries operate or through the local supply chain network in the event of unavailability of the required strategic resources internally. By this, subsidiaries can encourage autonomy and innovative behavior of managers who will gain control over the supply chain network. Most of Taiwanese firms are small or medium enterprises. The operation of these firms in China is commonly found as their only overseas agents. Enlarging the line of business, market, and functional activities, independency play the key role in the technological capabilities upgrading of subsidiaries. Once up to certain stage, a network economy manifests itself formed by parent-corporations and subsidiaries. This network covers scale economy, resource leverage, world-wide learning, knowledge transfer, innovative behavior, which can strengthen the corporate performance.

Keywords: Resource-Based Theory, Subsidiary Initiative, Subsidiary Stategic Role, Technology Capability, Taiwanese Firms in China.

Table of Contents

封面內頁 簽名頁 授權書 iii 中文摘要 v ABSTRACT vi 誌謝 viii 目錄 ix 圖目錄 xii 表目錄 xiii 第壹章 緒論 1 第一節 研究背景與動機 1 第二節 研究目的 5 第三節 研究流程 5 第四節 研究範圍、限制 6 一、研究範圍 6 二、研究限制 7 第貳章 文獻探討 8 第一節 資源基礎理論 8 一、資源基礎觀點 8 二、資源的意涵與特性 9 三、資源基礎的策略邏輯架構 16 四、資源依賴觀點 18 第二節 技術能力 21 一、技術的定義與類型 21 二、技術能力的意涵與評估 25 第三節 子公司策略角色 27 一、海外子公司演進 27 二、子公司策略角色 29 第四節 子公司主動行為 39 一、子公司主動行為的意涵 39 二、子公司角色演化 47 第?章 研究方法 49 第一節 研究架構與變項定義 50 一、研究架構 50 二、研究變項說明 50 第二節 研究設計與資料蒐集 52 一、個案研究方法 52 二、分析單元與個案選擇 53 三、資料蒐集與分析方法 54 第肆章 個案描述 58 第一節 金豐機器工業股份有限公司 58 一、金豐機器基本資料 58 二、金豐子公司策略佈局 59 三、金豐(寧波)主動行為 61 第二節 勝華科技股份有限公司 73 一、勝華科技基本資料 73 二、勝華子公司策略佈局 74 三、萬士達(東莞)主動行為 77 第三節 亞洲光學股份有限公司 82 一、亞洲光學基本資料 82 二、亞光子公司策略佈局 86 三、信泰(東莞)主動行為 89 第伍章 跨個案分析與研究命題 93 第一節 跨個案分析 93 第二節 研究命題 99 第陸章 結論與建議 111 第一節 結論 111 一、命題結果 111 二、管理涵義 111 三、研究貢獻 114 第二節 後續研究建議 114 參考文獻 116 中文部分 116 英文部分 118 附錄 128 個案公司訪談大綱 128

REFERENCES

中文部分 1.余明助(1999),「多國籍企業組織、策略與控制關係之研究 - 以台商海外子公司為例」,國立成功 大學企業管理學系博士論文。 2.吳育璋(1999),「多國籍企業子公司角色類型與影響演變因素之研究」,國立中山大學企業管理學 系研究所碩士論文。 3.吳思華(2000),「策略九說」,臉譜文化事業股份有限公司。 4.尚榮安譯(2001),Robert K. Yin著,「個案研究」,弘智文化事業有限公司。 5.林晉寬(1995),「從資源基礎理論探討資源特性與成長策略之關係」,國立政治大學企業管理研究 所博士論文。 6.柳明鑫(2002),「以網絡觀點與關係觀點探討多國籍企業海外子公司主動行為及其績效之關係」銘 傳大學國際企業學系碩士論文。 7.張國雄譯(2001),「國際企業」,Clarler W.L.Hill「International Business」,滄海書局。 8.許嘉文(2001),「國際化資源聯結特性、能力提昇與廠商產業網路地

位之關係研究」,暨南國際大 學國際企業學系碩士論文。 9.許嘉倫(2001),「從資源基礎理論探討企業多角化之動態歷程-以潤泰集團 為例」,朝陽科技大學企業管理系碩士論文。 10.曾志弘(2000),「多國籍企業子公司自主權與主導行為影響因素之研究-以多國籍企 業在台子公司 為例 」,國立中山大學企業管理學系博士論文。 11.楊君偉(2002),「台灣中小企業赴大陸投資之社會鑲嵌網絡分析 」, 銘傳大學國際企業管理研究所 碩士論文。 12.楊君琦(2000) , 「技術移轉互動模式失靈及重塑之研究 - 以研究機構與中小企業技術合作 為例」,國立臺灣大學商學研究所博士論文。 13.經濟部投資審議委員會網站, http://www.moeaic.gov.tw/2004年4月25日。 14.廖明 坤(2002), 「台商大陸子公司決策自主權影響因素之研究」, 國立政治大學企業管理學系博士 論文。 15.趙琪(2001), 「資源依賴與交易 成本對海外子公司控制機制之影響-台灣企業與大陸子公司之實證研究」,中山管理評論,第9卷,第1期,87-109。 16.蔡千姿(2001), 「台灣電子產業技術發展階段模式之研究 - 採技術能力與技術策略互動觀點 」 , 國 立交通大學經營管理研究所博士論文。 英文部分 1.Aaker, D. A.(1989), "Managing Assets and Skills: The Key to a Sustainable Competitive Ad -vantage.", California Management Review, 31:91-106. 2.Amit, R. and Schoemaker, P.(1993), "Strategic asset and organization rent.", Strategic Ma -nagement Journal, 14(1), 33-46. 3.Andersson, U., Forsgren M. and Holm U. (2002), "The strategic impact of external networ-ks: subsidiary performance and competence development in the multinational corporation. -", StrategicManagement Journal, 23:979-996. 4. Autio, E. and Laamanen, T. (1995), "Measurement and Evaluation of Technology Transfer: Re-view of Technology Transfer Mechanisms and Indicators.", Int. J. Technology management, -Vol. 10, Nos 7/8, pp.643-664. 5.Baliga, B.R. and A.M. Jaeger. (1984), "Multinational corporations: Control systems and d -elegation issues.", Journal of International Business Studies: 25-40. 6.Barney, J. B.(1991), "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage.", Journal of Ma -nagement, 17(1), pp. 99-120. 7.Bartlett and Ghoshal(1999), "Transnational Management: Text, Cases and Reading in Cross --Border Management, ",McGraw-Hill International Editions, 8.Bartlett, C. A., and S. Ghoshal(1989), "Managing across borders: The transnational solu -tion.", Boston: Harvard Business School Press. 9. Birkinshaw, J., and N. Fry. (1998), "Subsidiary initiatives to develop new markets.", SI -oan Management Review, Spring: 51-61. 10.Birkinshaw, J., Hood, N. and S. Jonsson. (1998), "Building firm-specific advantages in - multinational corporations: The role of subsidiary initiative.", Strategic Management - Journal, Vol.19: 221-241. 11. Birkinshaw, J. and J. Ridderstrale. (1999), "Fighting the corporate immune system: A pr -osess study of subsidiary initiatives in multinational corporations.", International Bu -siness Review, Vol.8: 149-180. 12.Birkinshaw, J. and N. Hood (1998), "Multinational subsidiary evolution: Capability an -d charter change in foreign-owned subsidiary companies.", Academy of Management Review, - Vol.23: 773-795. 13. Birkinshaw, J. and N. Hood (1997), "An empirical study of development process in fore -ign-owned subsidiaries in Canada and Scotland.", Management International Review, vol.3 -7: 339-364. 14.Birkinshaw, J.(1995), "Entreprenrurship in multinational corporations: The initative pr -ocesses in Canadian subsidiaries.", Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Western Busine -ss School, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario. 15.Birkinshaw, J.(1997), "Entrepreneurship in multinational corporations: The characterist -ics of subsidiary initiatives.", Strategic Management Journal, Vol. 18: 207-229. 16. Birkinshaw, J. (1998) , "Corporate entrepreneurship in network organizations: How subsid -iary initiative drives internal market efficiency.", European Management Journal, vol -.16: 355-365. 17. Burgeman, R. A.(1983), "A process model of internal corporate venturing in the diversi -fied major firm.", Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 28, pp. 223-244. 18. Chakravarthy, B. S. & P. Lorange (1989), "Strategic Adaptation in Multibusiness Firms. -", Working Paper, Vol.209, Strategic Management Research Center, University of Minnesot -a. 19. Chang, S. J. (1995), "International expansion strategy of Japanese firms: Capability bu -ilding through sequential entry.", Academy of Management Journal, 38(2), pp. 383-407. 20.Cohen, W. M., and D. A. Levinthal.(1990), "Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on lea -rning and innovation,". Administrative Science Quarterly, 35:pp.128-152. 21. Collis, D. J. & Montgomery, C. A. (1995), "Competing on resources: strategy in the 1990s -. ", Harvard Business Review, 73(4): 118-128. 22. Collis, D. J. and J. B. Barney (1991), "A resource-based analysis of global competition -: The case of the bearings industry.", Strategic Management Journal, 12(Summer), pp.49- -68. 23. Crookell, H. & Morrisson, A.(1990), "Subsidiary Strategy in a Free Trade Environment.", -Business Quarterly, 55, 1, 33-39, 24. Damanpour, F. (1991), "Organizational innovation: A meta-analysis of effects of determin -ants and moderators.", Academy of Management Review, Vol.34: 555-590. 25.D'Cruz, J.R.(1986), "Strategic management of subsidiaries. In H. Etemad and Seguin Dulu -de(eds.). Managing the Multinational Subsidiary.", Croom Helm, London, 75-89. 26.Doz, Y.L. and C.K. Prahalad (1994), "Managing DMNCs: A search for a new paradigm.", Fund -amental issues in strategy: 495-526. Rumelt, Schendel and Teece(eds). Harvard Business - School Press. Boston, Massachusetts. 27. Dunning, J.H. (1981), "International production and the multinational enterprise.", Lond -on, Allen and Unwin. 28.Edwards, R., Ahmad, A. and Moss, S. (2002), "Subsidiary Autonomy: The case of multinati -onal subsidiaries in Malaysia.", Journal of International Business Studies, 33(1), pp. - 183-191. 29. Foster, T. M., (1996), "Making R&D More Effective at Westinghouse.", Research & Technology -Management, pp.31-37, Jan.-Feb. 30. Frankfort-Nachmias C. and D. Nachmias (1999), "Research Methods in the social sciences. -", (5th ed.), N.Y., Worth Publishers and ST. Martin's Press. 31.Garnier, G. H.(1982), "Context and decision making autonomy in foreign affiliates of U. -S. multinational corporations.", Academy of Management Journal, Vol.25 (4):893-908. 32. Ghoshal, S. and C. Bartlett. (1988), "Creation, adoption and diffusion of innovations by -subsidiaries of multinational corporations.", Journal of International Business Studies -, third quarter: 365-387. 33.Ghoshal, S. and C. Bartlett.(1990), "The multinational corporation as an interorganizat -ional network.", Academy of Management Review, Vol.15:603-625. 34. Ghoshal, S. (1987), "Global strategy: An organizing framework.", Strategic Management Jo -urnal, Vol.8: 425-440. 35.Govindarajan, V. & J. Fisher(1988), "Strategy, Control System, and Rseoure Sharing: Effe -cts on Business-Unit Performance.", Academy of Management Journal, Vol.33, pp259-285. 36.Grant, R. M. (1991), "The Resource-Based Theory of Competitive Advantage: Implications -for Strategy Formulation.", California Management Review, 33:114-135. 37. Gupta, A. K., and Govindarajan, V. (1994), "Organizing for knowledge flows within MNCs. -", International Business Review, 3:pp.443-457. 38. Gupta, Anil K.; Govindarajan, Vijay(1991), "Knowledge Flows and the

Structure of Contro -I Within Multinat; Academy Of Management.", The Academy Of Management Review. 39. Hafeez, Khalid, YanBing Zhang and Naila Malark, (2002), "Determining key capabilities o -f a firm using analytic hierarchy process.", Int. J. Production Economics, Vol.76, pp. -39-51. 40. Hamel, G. (1994), "The Concept of Core Competence, Competence-Based Competition.", edit -ed by Gary Hamel and Aime Heene, 11-33, N.Y., John Wiley & Sons Press 41. Hannon, J.M., I. C. Huang and B. S. Jaw. (1995), "International human resource strategy - and its determinants: The case of subsidiaries in Taiwan.", Journal of International Bu -siness Studies: 531-554. 42. Hedlund, G. (1981), "Autonomy of subsidiaries and formalization of headquarters-subsidi -ary relationships in swedish MNCs." In L. Otterbeck(ed.), The management of headquarte -rs-subsidiary relationships in multinational corporations.", New York: St. Martin Press. 43. Hymer, S.H. (1976), "The international operations of national firms: A study of direct f -oreign investment.", The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London. 44. Isobe T, Makino S, Montgomery DB.(2000), "Resource commitment, entry timing, and market - performance of foreign direct investments in emerging economies: the case of Japanese - international joint ventures in China.", Academy of Management Journal 43(3): 468-484. 45. Jarillo, J. C. and J. I. Martinez (1990) "Different roles for subsidiaries: The case of - multinational corporations in spain.", Strategic Management Journal, 11(5), pp.501-512, 46. Johanson, J. and L.G. Mattson(1987), "Interorganizational Relations in Industrial Syste -m: A Network Approach Compared with the Transaction-Cost Approach.", International Stu -dies of Management and Organization, Vol.17, pp. 34-48, 47. Johnson, J. H., Jr. (1995), "An Empirical Analysis of the Integration- Responsiveness F -ramework: U.S. Construction Equipment Industry Firms in Global Competition.", Journal -of International Business Studies, Vol.26, Iss.3, pp. 621-635. 48. Kanter, R. M. (1982). "The middle manager as innovator.", Harvard Business Review, pp.95 --105. 49. Kuratko, D. F., R. V. Montagno and J. S. Hornsby (1990), "Developing an intrapreneuria -I assessment instrument.", Strategic Management Journal. 11, pp. 49-58. 50.Lado, A.A., Boyd, N.G.and Wright, P. (1992), "A Competency-Base Model of Sustainable Com -petitive Advantage: Toward a Conceptual Integration.", Journal of Management, Vol.18(1-), pp 77-91. 51. Lenoard-Barton, D.(1995), "Wellsprings of Knowledge: Building and Sustaining the Source -s of Innovation.", Boston, Masachusetts, Harvard Business School Press. 52. Leonard-Barton, Dorothy, (1992) "Core Capabilities and Core Rigidities: A Paradox in Man -aging New Product Development.", Strategic Management Journal, 13. 53. Malnight, T.W.(1995), "Globalization of an ethnocentric firm: An evolutionary perspecti -ve.", Strategic Management Journal, Vol.16: 119-141. 54. Malnight, T.W.(1996) ,"The transition from decentralized to network-based MNC structure -s : An evoulutionary perspective." Journal of International Business Studies, first qu -arter: 43-65. 55. Martinez, J. I. & J. C. Jarillo(1991), "Coordination Demands of International Strategie -s.", Journal of International Business Studies, Vol.22, Iss.3, pp.429-444. 56. Martinez, Z. L.; Ricks, D. A. (1989), "Multinational Parent Companies' Influence Over Hu -man Resource Decision of Affiliates U.S. Firms in Mexico.", Journal of International Bu -siness Studies, 20, 3, 465-487 57. Miller, D. (1983), "The copprlates of entrepreneurship in three types of firms.", Managem -ent Science, 29, pp.770-791. 58. Moore, K. and J. Birkinshaw. (1998) ""Managing knowledge in global service firms:Centers - of excellence.",Academy of Management Review, 12: 81-92. 59.Nohria, N. and S. Ghoshal (1994), "Differentiated fit and shared values: Alternatives f -or managing headquarters-subsidiary relations.", Strategic Management Journal, 15(6), -pp.491-502. 60.Nonaka, I. and H..Takeuchi (1995), "The Knowledge-Creating Company: How Japanese Compa -nies Create the Dynamics of Innovation.", New York: Oxford University Press. 61. Ouchi, W.G. (1979), "A conceptual framework for the design of organizational control mec -hanisms.", Management Science, 25:833-848. 62. Peteraf, M. A. (1993), "The cornerstones of competitive advantage: A resource based view -.",Strategic Management Journal, 14(3), pp.179-191. 63.Pfeffer, J. and G.R. Salanick, (1978), "The External Control of Organization.",New Yor -k; Harper and Row, 64, Porter M. E. (1985), "Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performan -ce.", New York; Free Press, 65.Porter, M. E. (1980), Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and F-irms. New York: Free Press. 66.Porter, M. E. (1986), "Competition in global industries: A conceptual framework.", in M -. E. Porter, (eds), Competition in global industries, Boston, MA: Harvard Business Sch -ool Press. 67.Porter, M. E. (1990), "Competitive Advantage of Nations.", New York: The Free Press. 68.Porter, M. E., (1991) "Towards a Dynamic Theory of Strategy.", Strategic Management Jou -rnal, 12:95-117, 69. Prahalad, C. K. and Hamel, G. (1990), "The core, competence of the corporation.", Harvard - Business Review, May-June, 79-91. 70. Prahalad, C. K. and Y. L. Doz (1981), "An approach to strategic control in MNCs.", Sloa -n Management Review, 22(4), pp.5-13. 71. Prahalad, C.K. and Y.L. Doz. (1987), "Managing interdependencies across businesses. The -Multinational Mission.", New York: The Free Press. 72. Richards, M.(2000), "Control exercised by U.S. multinationals over their overseas affil -iates: Does Location make a difference?", Journal of international Management, Vol.6: -105-120. 73. Rosenzweig, P. and S. J. Chang (1995), "An evolutionary model of the multinational corp -oration.", paper presentationed at the annual meeting of the Acdemy of International B -usiness, Seoul, Korea. 74. Roth, K. and A. J. Morrison(1990), "An empirical analysis of the integration- responsiv-eness framework in global industries.", Journal of International Business Studies, firs -t quarter: 541-564. 75. Roth, K. and A. J. Morrison. (1992), "Implementing global strategy: Characteristics of g -lobal subsidiary mandate. Journal of International Business Studies.", fourth quarter: - 715-735. 76. Rugman, A., and A. Verbeke (1992), "Implementing global strategy: Characteristics of g -lobal subsidiary mandates.", Journal of International Business Studies, 23(4), pp.715-7 -36. 77.Rugman, A. M. and Bennett, J.(1982) ,"Technology transfer and world product mandating i -n Canada.",Columbia Journal of World Business, 17: 58-62. 78. Sergio Olavarrieta, and E. Ellinger Alexander (1997), "Resource-Based Theory and Strat -egic Logistics Research.", International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistic -s Management, Vol. 27, No. 9/10, pp. 559-587. 79. Srivastava RK, Fahey L, Christensen HK. (2001), "The resource-based view and marketing: - the role of market-based assets in gaining competitive advantage.", Journal of Manage -ment 27, pp.777-802. 80. Szulanski, G. (1996), "Exploring internal stickiness: Impediments to the transfer of the - best practice within the firm.", Strategic Management Journal, Vol.17: 27-43. 81. Taggart, J. H. and N. Hood (1999), "Determinants of sutonomy in multinational corporati -on subsidiaries.", European Management Journal, 17(2), pp. 226-236. 82. Taggart, J.M.(1998), "Strategy shifts in MNC subsidiaries.", Strategic

Management Journ -al, Vol.19: 663-681. 83.TAT(Technology Atlas Team)(1987), "Components of Technology for Resources Transformatio -n.", Technology Forecasting and Social Change, Vol. 32, pp.19-35 84.Teece, D. J. and Pisano G.(1994), "The Dynamic Capabilities of Firms: an Introduction." -, Industrial and Corporate Change, 3(3), pp.537-556. 85.Teece, D. J.(1998), "Capturing value from knowledge assets: The new economy, markets f -or know-how, and intangible assets.", California Management Review, 40: 55-79. 86.Teece, D. J., G. Pisano, Gary and Shuen, Amy (1997), "Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic - Management.", Strategic Management Journal, Vol. 18, pp.509-533. 87.Teece, David J., (1996), "Firm Organization, Industrial Structure, and Technological Inn -ovation.", Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 31:193-224. 88.Verdin, P. J. and P. J. Williamson, (1992), "Core Competence, Competitive Advantage and - Industry Structure.", Mimeo, INSEAD, November. 89.Vernon, R.(1966), International investment and international trade in the product life c -ycle.", Quality Journal of economics: 190-207. 90.Wernerfelt, B.(1984), A resource-based view of the firm.", Strategic Management Journal, -5 (2):171-180. 91.White, R. E. and T. A. Poynter (1984), "Strategic for foreign-owned subsidiaries in Canada.", Business Quarterly, 49(1), pp.59-69. 92.Willyard, C. H. & McCless, C. W.(1987), "Motorolas technology Roadmap Process.", Research & Technology Management, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp.13-19