

Effects of the Addition of Several Fatty Acids during Fermentation on the Flavor and Quality of Sorghum Spirits

黃燕君、游銅錫

E-mail: 9300047@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

Abstract Sorghum Spirits is the main material for Kao-Liang brewing. During the brewing process, spray adequate amount of fatty acid evenly onto the sorghum spirits, it will trigger esterification enzyme reaction. And the acid will react with the generated alcohol and therefore produce ester. Esters is the key aroma component of sorghum spirits. Moreover, the acid will enrich the esters aroma of the alcohol. According to studies, if we were to increase the amount of ethyl caproate of the generated alcohol, and at the same time, to keep its refreshing aromatic characteristic, as well as its flavor harmony by adding hexanoic acid alcohol solution to ferment, adding 5% hexanoic acid alcohol solution to a concentration of 0.8ml/100g fermented alcohol material can obtain a more prospective outcome. On the other hand, with the effect of suppression of enzyme and acidogenic bacteria when adding hexanoic acid during solid state fermentation, it is better to spray acid onto the 7th day Kao-Liang fermented material for the good of etherification. During this period, the amount of enzyme and activity are higher. The purpose of this study is to probe the effect of the twelve acids, Formic acid, Acetic acid, Propionic acid, Butyric acid, Valeric acid, Hexanoic acid, Heptanoic acid, Octanoic acid, Nonanoic acid, Capric acid, Undecylic acid, Lauric acid, which have been diluted to 5% acid alcohol solution using 95% alcohol and sprayed onto the a concentration of 0.8ml/100g Kao-Liang fermented material on the 7th day of first fermentation of Kao-Liang. Also, to compare the effect of different acids on the quality of distilled wine and flavor after 1st and 2nd acid spray. The pH of the wine after 1st distillation is between 3.73 to 3.98 and the pH after the 2nd distillation are between 3.98 to 4.23. And the pH of the controlled group (acid spray has not been carried out) is 3.98 and 4.04 relatively for the 1st and 2nd distillation. Therefore, the pH for the 1st acid-sprayed distilled wine tends to be lower, and the wine sampling range of 1st and 2nd distillation is around 60~65%. The production volume of the first distilled wine with Octanoic acid wine is the lowest (16.0/100kg Kao-Liang wheat), whereas the production volume of the 2nd distilled wine with Acetic Acid is the highest (22.0L/100 kg Kao-Liang wheat). Comparing the final wine generation, the ones with Formic acid get the least volume (19.70L pure alcohol/100 Kao-Liang wheat), and the ones with Acetic acid reach better production (24.40L pure alcohol/100 Kao-Liang). A sensory analysis of mixed alcohol with different ratio is carried out. The result of the 1st distilled sorghum spirits after the process of acid spray during the 1st fermentation is as follows: Hexanoic acid 5 and Acetic acid 3, both are favored. And for the 2nd distilled wine: Hexanoic acid 5, Acetic acid 4 and Butyric acid 3, are favored. A qualitative and quantitative analysis is done using GC-MSD to analyze the aromatic compound. Two sampling method are implemented, one is to direct inject sample and the other is to use dichloromethane to extract concentrate and then determine the aromatic compound. The results of the injection of various Acid-sprayed sorghum spirits with GC-MSD, are 63 chemical compounds, including 10 acids, 11 alcohols, 5 aldehydes, 30 esters, 2 ketones and 5 other compounds. The aromatic analysis of dichloromethane extracted concentrate are 138 chemical compounds, including 12 acids, 32 alcohols, 12 aldehydes, 54 esters, 7 ketones and 21 other compounds. And the aromatic analysis of direct sampling onto GC-MSD is as follows: Acids compounds are mainly volatile fatty acids, there are abundant Acetic acid in all samples. It ' s probably relative to the natural miscellaneous bacteria cultivated wheat germs. Comparing acid remain of the 1st and 2nd distilled wine with propionic acid, butyric acid, valeric acid, hexanoic acid, heptanoic acid, octanoic acid, Nonanoic acid, the few remain indicated the adequate addition of acid. However, capric acid, undecylic acid, lauric acid was not present in the relative acid-spray wine. Also, there is relative amount of ethyl present in the 2nd distilled wine, it is possibly because the high boiling points of these acids that are not easily distilled. The key aromatic compound of distilled wine is alcohols compounds. Apart from ethanol, our research institute takes n-propanol, iso-butyl alcohol, iso-amyl alcohol, 2,3-butanediol, 2,6-dimethyl-1,4-heptanediol and n-hexanol as main when producing sorghum spirits. In aldehyde compounds, acetaldehyde, acetal are main. Among ester compounds, Fatty acid, esters has the highest content, especially ethyl lactate which had the sorghum spirits characteristic of solid state fermentation. In ester compounds, ethyl acetate, ethyl lactate, iso-amyl acetate, ethyl caproate, ethyl succinate, ethyl palmitate, ethyl linoleate, ethyl oleate, ethyl stearate are main. The aromatic compounds are higher in the control group with no acid spray, ethyl acetate 40.88%, ethyl lactate 20.18%, it ' s probably because of the bacteriostasis function of the acids. The key component of ketones is acetone. And that of the other compounds are 2,4,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxolane. The outcome of wine extracted concentrate after GC-MSD reading tells that part or all high volatile compounds will loss, for example acetic acid, methanol, ethanol, 2-butanol n-propanol, iso-butyl alcohol, 1-butanol, 2,3-butanediol, acetal, acetaldehyde, ethyl acetate, acetoin and compounds. We can also read the increase volume of compounds like palmitic acid, ethyl lactate

、 iso-amyl alcohol、 ethyl caproate、 ethyl palmitate、 ethyl linoleate、 ethyl oleate、 ethyl stearate because of the extract of concentration. From the above analysis, direct sampling and extract of concentration both have their function. From the chart of total aromatic compounds content, we find adding relative amount of different acids can increase the content of ethyl apparently. And understanding the reaction of adding C1~C12 acids can also reach the contact of organic acids and alcohol to trigger etherification which produces ester. Acid is essential for flavor and ester is impeccable for aroma, both of them can complement one another. Key word : sorghum spirit, esterification enzyme reaction, solid state fermentation, GC-MSD, Formic acid, Acetic acid, Propionic acid, Butyric acid, Valeric acid, Hexanoic acid, Heptanoic acid, Octanoic acid, Nonanoic acid, Capric acid, Undecylic acid, Lauric acid

Keywords : sorghum spirit ; esterification enzyme reaction ; solid state fermentation ; GC-MSD ; Acetic acid ; Propionic acid ; Butyric acid ; Hexanoic acid

Table of Contents

目錄封面內頁 簽名頁 授權書 iii	中文摘要 iv	英文摘要 viii	誌謝 xiii	目錄 xiv	表目錄 xvi	圖目錄 xvii																																													
第一章 緒論 1	第二章 文獻回顧 2.1 影響高粱酒品質的因子 4	2.2 酒中香氣的來源 12	2.3 中式白酒中的微量成分及其在酒中的作用 24	2.4 酒中香氣成分分析 36	第三章 固態高粱酒發酵過程添加己酸最佳時機及添加量之探討 3.1 前言 39	3.2 實驗材料與設備 41	3.3 實驗方法 43	3.4 結果與討論 44	第四章 固態發酵過程中添加不同酸之高粱酒成品的一般成分 分析及官能品評 4.1 前言 49	4.2 實驗材料與設備 50	4.3 實驗方法 52	4.4 結果與討論 56	第五章 固態發酵過程中添加不同酸之高粱酒成品的揮發性香氣 成分分析 5.1 前言 70	5.2 實驗材料與設備 72	5.3 實驗方法 73	5.4 結果與討論 75	第六章 探討被接受性較高之高粱酒勾兌酒的官能品評 與揮發性 香氣成分分析 6.1 前言 102	6.2 實驗材料與設備 104	6.3 實驗方法 106	6.4 結果與討論 109	第七章 結論及展望 132	參考文獻 137	表目錄 表2.1 現行台灣玉山高粱酒釀酒製程操作條件 10	表3.1 在發酵第三天以不同比例己酸噴入高粱發酵醅中進行發酵，製成成品酒之香氣感官品評 45	表3.2 固態高粱酒發酵製程中在不同時機添加己酸製成成品酒之總收得率 47	表4.1 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之pH值 58	表4.2 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之酒精濃度 60	表4.3 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之收得產量 62	表4.4 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之出酒率 64	表4.5 利用加漿係數計算收得60 % 酒精濃度高粱酒之收酒量 66	表4.6 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之嗜好性官能品評統計 68	表5.1 噴不同酸之高粱酒中所鑑定到的揮發性香氣成分總表 79	表5.2 於第一次發酵時噴不同酸之第一次蒸餾高粱酒中香氣成分含量的比較 82	表5.3 於第一次發酵時噴不同酸之第二次蒸餾高粱酒中香氣成分含量的比較 87	表5.4 於第一次發酵時噴不同酸之第一次蒸餾高粱酒中香氣百分組成的比較 92	表5.5 於第一次發酵時噴不同酸之第二次蒸餾高粱酒中香氣百分組成的比較 97	表6.1 第一次發酵添加乙酸、己酸第一次蒸餾所得高粱酒，依比例勾兌之嗜好性官能品評 113	表6.2 第一次發酵添加乙酸、丁酸及己酸第二次蒸餾所得高粱酒，依比例勾兌之嗜好性官能品評 114	表6.3 於第一次發酵時分別添加乙酸、丁酸、己酸所得高粱酒之勾兌酒中鑑定到之揮發性香氣成分總表 115	表6.4 於第一次發酵時分別添加乙酸、丁酸、己酸所得高粱酒之勾兌酒中香氣成分含量的比較 120	表6.5 於第一次發酵時分別添加乙酸、丁酸、己酸所得高粱酒之勾兌酒中之香氣成分的百分組成的比較 126	圖目錄 圖2.1 現行台灣玉山高粱酒製酒流程簡圖 11	圖3.1 固態蒸餾裝置 42	圖4.1 本研究高粱酒製酒流程簡圖 53	圖4.2 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之pH值 59	圖4.3 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之酒精濃度 61	圖4.4 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之收得產量 63	圖4.5 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之出酒率 65	圖4.6 利用加漿係數計算收得60 % 酒精濃度高粱酒之收酒量 67	圖4.7 發酵過程中經噴酸處理收得高粱酒之嗜好性官能品評統計 69	圖6.1 濃縮塔裝置 105

REFERENCES

- 參考文獻 01. 大谷物助(1973)日本釀酒協會雜誌，68期，P23。 02. 白酒生產工藝和設備(1988)，編寫組編，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P221。 03. 江金標(1979)高粱酒二次發酵可行性之探討，酒類試驗所研究年報82年度，P163。 04. 李大和(1995)白酒勾兌問答，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P83。 05. 李大和(1999)白酒工人培訓教程，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P645。 06. 李大和(2001)新型白酒生產與勾調技術問答，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P211。 07. 李國紅(1997a)白酒勾兌工，四川，國家職業技能鑑定所(川-131)，P64-70。 08. 李國紅(1997b)白酒勾兌工，四川，國家職業技能鑑定所(川-131b)，P57。 09. 李國紅(1997c)白酒勾兌工，四川，國家職業技能鑑定所(川-131b)，P132。 10. 沈怡方(1998a)白酒生產技術全書，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P229。 11. 沈怡方(1998b)白酒生產技術全書，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P138。 12. 沈怡方(1998c)白酒生產技術全書，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P224-237。 13. 沈怡方(1998d)白酒生產技術全書，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P508。 14. 沈怡方(1998e)白酒生產技術全書，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P509-511。 15. 沈怡方(1998f)白酒生產技術全書，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P521。 16. 林源義、黃玉蓮(1992a)利用質譜檢測器鑑定蒸餾酒中之香氣成分(一)高粱酒類香氣成分之鑑定，酒類試驗所研究年報81年度，P53。 17. 林源義、黃玉蓮(1992b)利用質譜檢測器鑑定蒸餾酒中之香氣成分(一)高粱酒類香氣成分之鑑定，酒類試驗所研究年報81年度，P60。 18. 周恆剛(1986)化學?生物，24期，P117。 19. 林源義、黃玉蓮(1992c)利用質譜檢測器鑑定蒸餾酒中之香氣成分(一)高粱酒類香氣成分之

鑑定，酒類試驗所研究年報81年度，P54。20. 林源義（1993a）利用質譜檢測器鑑定蒸餾酒中之香氣成分（二）不同類型高粱酒風味成分之比較，酒類試驗所研究年報82年度，P215。21. 林源義（1993b）利用質譜檢測器鑑定蒸餾酒中之香氣成分（二）不同類型高粱酒風味成分之比較，酒類試驗所研究年報82年度，P217。22. 徐占成（1997）名酒新論，四川科學技術出版社，P116。23. 章克昌（1995a）酒精與蒸餾酒工藝學，章克昌編，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P486。24. 章克昌（1995b）酒精與蒸餾酒工藝學，章克昌編，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P425。25. 章克昌（1995c）酒精與蒸餾酒工藝學，章克昌編，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P484-492。26. 康明官（1991a）白酒工業手冊，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P66。27. 康明官（1991b）白酒工業手冊，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P3。28. 陳功、王福林（1996a）白酒氣相色譜分析疑難問答，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P137。29. 陳功、王福林（1996b）白酒氣相色譜分析疑難問答，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P148。30. 陳功（1998）固態法白酒生產技術，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P110。31. 游銅錫（1988）官能品評在食品香料研究發展上之應用，食品工業20（6）:15-24。32. 趙生偉、黃魁林、陳三千（1970）高粱酒香味增進試驗第二報，公賣局菸酒化學研究室59年度年報，P23-39。33. 劉念（2002）白酒香味成分與酒質的關係，四川成都，白酒酒體設計嚐評勾兌培訓班上課內容。34. 劉益善、陳辰昌、林源義（1994）酒類香氣成分資料庫之建立，酒類試驗所研究年報83年度，P187。35. 錢松、薛惠茹（1997）白酒風味化學，北京，中國輕工業出版社，P143-163。36. 賴茲漢、金安兒（1991）食品加工學加工篇，台中，富林出版社，P334-335。37. 賴舜堂（2002a）不同製程條件對台灣高粱酒品質及產率之影響，大葉大學食品工程研究所碩士論文，P64。38. 賴舜堂（2002b）不同製程條件對台灣高粱酒品質及產率之影響，大葉大學食品工程研究所碩士論文，P79-80。39. 賴舜堂（2002c）不同製程條件對台灣高粱酒品質及產率之影響，大葉大學食品工程研究所碩士論文，P24-25。40. 歐陽港生（1995）中國酒類標準彙編，公賣局酒試驗所，P109。