SCALE DEVELOPMENT OF JANIS' GROUPTHINK MODEL

黃光志、徐悌

E-mail: 9021767@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

The primary purpose of this study was to develop a packet of localized scale of Janis 'Groupthink model. This instrument included three parts: groupthink antecedent, groupthink symptoms and defective decision symptoms. The questionnaire was mainly borrowed, translated and modified from the work developed by Park (1989). Sample included college students who were involved in special projects and engaged in computerized business games. Internal consistency based on Cronbach 's coefficient was tested. It was followed a factor analysis to assess construct validity. The results revealed some promising results as well as some disappointed ones. Key Words: groupthink, scale development

Keywords: groupthink; scale development

Table of Contents

第一章 緒論--P1 第一節 研究背景與動機--P1 第二節 研究目的--P3 第三節 研究流程--P3 第二章 文獻探討--P4 第一節 團隊迷思模型--P4 第二節 團隊迷思相關的實驗室之實證研究--P9 第三章 研究方法--P16 第一節 研究架構--P16 第二節 研究變項與操作型定義--P17 第三節 研究對象--P18 第四節 問卷發展--P20 第五節 資料蒐集過程--P24 第六節 資料分析方法--P26 第七節預試結果與問卷修訂--P28 第四章 統計分析--P33 第一節 變異數分析--P34 第二節 T-檢定--P36 第三節 因素分析與信度分析--P36 第四節 描述性統計--P43 第五節 典型相關分析--P47 第五章 討論--P49 第一節 團隊迷思模型問卷之信效度檢測--P49 第二節 團隊迷思模型各變項之關係--P53 第六章 結論與建議--P55 第一節 結論--P55 第二節 研究限制--P57 第三節 未來研究方向與建議--P58 參考文獻 一、中文部份--P60 二、英文部份--P60 附錄 附錄A 前測問卷-畢業專題團隊--P64 附錄B 正式問卷-畢業專題團隊--P68 附錄C 正式問卷-企業決策模擬團隊--P72

REFERENCES

中文部份 1. 張嘉耘,2000,群體支援系統中領導者和認知型態對偏好型任務之影響,國立中正大學資訊管理學系 研究所,碩士論文 2. 林全 育,1998,會議設置與領導方式對工作團隊影響之研究,國立臺灣科技大學管理技術研究所資 訊管理學程,碩士論文 3. 李聲吼,1996 ,建立有效率的工作團隊,人力發展 ,34期,61~65 4. 楊國樞、文崇一、吳聰賢、李亦園編,社會及行為科學研究方法,1993,13版 , 臺北:東華書局 英文部份 1. BANDARD, C. I., 1938, THE FUNCTIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE. CAMBRIDGE, MA: HARVARD UNIVERSIT -Y PRESS, 2. CALLWAY, M.R., & ESSER, J.K., 1984, GROUPTHINK: EFFECTS OF COHESIVENESS AND PROBLEM-SO -LVING PROCEDURES ON GROUP DECISION MAKING. SOCIAL BEHAVIOR AND PERSONALITY 3. CALLWAY, M.R., MARRIOTT, R. G., & ESSER, J.K., 1985, EFFECT OF DOMINANCE ON GROUP DECI-SION MAKING: TOWARD A STRESS-REDUCTION EXPLANATION OF GROUPTHINK. JOURNAL OF PERSONAL -ITY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY. 49,949-952 4. COURTRIGHT, J.A., 1978, A LABORATORY INVESTIGATION OF GROUPTHINK. COMMUNICATION MONOGR -APHS, 45,229-246. 5. ESSER K., 1998, ALIVE AND WELL AFTER 25 YEARS: A REVIEW OF GROUPTHINK OF GROUPTHINK RE -SEARCH. ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR AND HUMAN DECISION PROCESSES,73,116-141 6. FLOWERS, M.L., 1977, A LABORATORY TEST OF SOME IMPLICATIONS OF JANIS'S GROUPTHINK HYPO -THESIS. JOURNAL OF PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY,35,888-896 7. FODOR, E. M., & SMITH, T., 1982, THE POWER MOTIVE AS AN INFLUENCE ON GROUP DECISION MAK -ING., JOURNAL OF PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY, 42,178-185 8. HACKMAN, J.R., 1986, THE PSYCHOLOGY OF SELF-MANAGEMENT IN ORGANIZATIONS. IN M.S. POLLA -CK & R.O. PERLOGG (EDS), PSYCHOLOGY AND WORK: PRODUCTIVITY CHANGE AND EMPLOYMENT, 85 -136, WASHINGTON 9. JANIS, I.L., 1972, VICTIMS OF GROUPTHINK, BOSTON: HOUGHTON MIFFLIN 10. JANIS, I.L., 1982, GROUPTHINK, BOSTON: HOUGHTON MIFFLIN 11. KROON, M.B. R., 'T HART, P., & VAN KREVELD D., 1991, MANAGING GROUP DECISION MAKING PRO -CESSES: INDIVIDUAL VERSUS COLLECTIVE ACCOUNTABILITY AND GROUPTHINK. THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CONFLICT MANAGEMENT, 2, 91-115 12. LEANA, C. R., 1985, A PARTIAL TEST OF JANIS' GROUPTHINK MODEL: EFFECT OF GROUP COHESIV -ENESS AND LEADER BEHAVIOR ON DEFECTIVE DECISION MAKING. JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT, 11, 5- 17 13. KROON, M.B.R., VAN KREVELD, D., & RABBIE, J.M., 1992, GROUP VERSUS INDIVIDUAL DECISION MAKING: EFFECTS OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND GENDER ON GROUPTHINK. SMALL GROUP RESEARCH,23, 427-458 14. MAIER, N.R.F., 1963,

PROBLEM-SOLVING DISCUSSIONS AND CONFERENCES. NEW YORK: MCGRAW-HIL -L, 193-195 15. MANZ, C.C., 1990, 273~299, BEYOND SELF-MANAGEMENT WORK TEAMS: TOWARD SELF-LEADING TEAM -S IN WORKPLACE. IN R. WOODMAN AND W. PASMORE (EDS), RESEARCH IN ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE AND DEVELOPMENT, GREENWICH, CT. JAI. PRESS 16. MANZ, C.C. & SIM, H.P., JR., 1993, BUSINESS WITHOUT BOSSES: HOW SELF-MANAGING TEAMS AR -E BUILDING HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPANIES. NEW YORK WILEY 17. MONTANARI, J.R., &MOORHEAD, G., 1989, DEVELOPMENT OF THE GROUPTHINK ASSESSMENT INVENTO -RY. EDUCATIONAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL MEASUREMENT, 49, 209-219 18. MOORHEAD, G., & MONTANARI, J. R., 1986, AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE GROUPTHINK P-HENOMENON., HUMAN RELATIONS,39,339-410. 19. RICHARDSON A. & ESSER, 2001, TESTING THE GROUPTHINK MODEL: EFFECTS OF PROMOTIONAL LEAD -ERSHIP AND CONFORMITY PREDISPOSITION, SOCIAL BEHAVIOR AND PERSONALITY, 29 (1), 31-42 20. SUSMAN, G.I., 1977, AUTONOMY AT WORK: A SOCIO-TECHNICAL ANALYSIS OF PARTICIPATIVE MANA -GEMENT., NEW YORK: PRAEGER 21. TELOCK, P.E., & PETERSON, R. S., MCGUIRE, C., CHANG, S., & FELD, P., 1992, ASSESING PO -LITICAL GROUP DYNAMICS: A TEST OF THE GROUPTHINK MODEL. JOURNAL OF PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY, 63,403-425 22. TRIST, E., 1977, COLLABORATION IN WORK SETTING: A PERSONAL PERSPECTIVE. THE JOURNAL OF APPLIED BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE, 13,268~278 23. PARK, W., 1989, A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF JANIS' GROUPTHINK MODEL: QUESTIONNAIRE DEVELO -PMENT AND EMPIRICAL TESTS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, DOCTORAL THESIS 24. PARK, W., 2000, A COMPREHENSIVE EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE RELATIONSHIPS AMONG VAR -IABLES OF THE GROUPTHINK MODEL, JOURNAL OF ORGANIZATION BEHAVIOR, 21, 873-887