

STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF ENTITIES AND THEIR RELATIONSHIPS

陳茂鴻、包冬意

E-mail: 9020043@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP DIAGRAMS (ERDS) ARE A GRAPHICAL LANGUAGE, THE COMPONENTS OF WHICH INCLUDE ENTITIES, ATTRIBUTES AND RELATIONSHIPS. THEY ARE USED TO REPRESENT BUSINESS DATA FOR SUBSEQUENT DATABASE DESIGN. MEANWHILE THEY ARE A COMMUNICATION TOOL AMONG BUSINESS PEOPLE, ANALYSTS, AND DESIGNERS. IN INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AREA, ERDS ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT AND USED MODELING TOOL. ERDS HAVE BASIC SYNTACTIC CONVENTIONS AND THE ASSOCIATED SEMANTIC INFORMATION. IN THIS RESEARCH STUDY, WE INVESTIGATED THE PHYSICAL LAYOUT OF ERDS RELATIONSHIP AND THE MAPPING RELATIONSHIPS AMONG ENTITY INSTANCES. THE QUANTITATIVE STUDY AND QUALITATIVE STUDY OF CONTENT ANALYSIS WERE USED IN THIS RESEARCH. DURING OUR GATHERING SAMPLES, WE HAVE FOUND MUCH RELEVANT LITERATURE BUT A FEW EXAMPLES FITTING OUR RESEARCH PURPOSE. WE HAVE INTENDED TO COLLECT AND ANALYZE THOSE COMPLETE ERDS ACCOMPANIED BY THEIR PROBLEM DOMAIN CONTEXTS. THE MAIN FINDING OF THIS RESEARCH IS THAT WE CAN FIND A DIRECTIONAL STRUCTURAL PROPERTY IN ERDS WHEN WE REPOSITION ENTITIES AND CHANGE MAPPING RELATIONSHIPS AMONG THEM. THE IDEA OF THESE POSITIONAL CONVENTIONS COMES FROM THE DATA MODEL PATTERNS[21] AND RM/T[14]. IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IN COMMUNICATION AND IN DATABASE DESIGN WHEN WE USE THIS STRUCTURAL PROPERTY TO DRAW ERDS.

Keywords : DATA MODELS, DATA MODEL PATTERNS, E-R, RM/T

Table of Contents

第一章 緒論 第一節 研究背景與動機--P1 第二節 研究目的--P2 第三節 研究範圍與限制--P4 第四節 研究流程--P5 第五節 論文架構--P6 第二章 文獻探討 第一節 傳統資料模型--P9 一、階層式模型--P9 二、網路式模型--P10 三、關連式模型--P11 第二節 語意資料模型--P12 一、實體關連模型--P13 二、塔斯梅尼亞模型--P18 三、資料模型樣式--P19 第三節 物件導向模型--P22 第四節 總結--P25 第三章 研究方法 第一節 基本定義--P27 第二節 量化統計--P28 第三節 質化分析--P29 第四章 研究結果 第一節 資料來源--P34 第二節 量化統計結果--P39 第三節 質化分析結果--P44 第四節 個案範例--P54 第五章 結論與建議 第一節 結論--P57 第二節 應用建議--P60 第三節 後續研究--P60 參考文獻--P62 附錄A 本研究實體關連圖樣本的出處與頁數--P69

REFERENCES

- [1] 包冬意, (民 85), 物件導向分析與設計:方法導引, 松崗電腦圖書資料股份有限公司.
- [2] 吳琮璿、謝清佳(民86), 資訊管理:理論與實務, 智勝文化事業有限公司.
- [3] 姚修慎, (民 87), 資料庫系統概論, 揚智文化事業股份有限公司.
- [4] 曹錦芳, (民 81), 系統分析與設計, 儒林圖書有限公司.
- [5] 楊亨利等, (民 87), 系統分析與設計, 國立空中大學.
- [6] 蔡邦仁, (民 87), 系統分析與設計, 滄海書局.
- [7] 簫偉郎, (民 82), 結構化分析與設計:理論與實務應用, 智勝文化事業有限公司.
- [8] BATINI,C.,CERI,S.,AND NAVATHE S.,(1992),CONCEPTUAL DATABASE DESIGN, BENJAMIN/CUMMINGS , REDWOOD CITY,CA.
- [9] BACHMAN,C.,(1977), THE ROLE CONCEPT IN DATA MODELS, IN PROCEEDINGS OF THE 3RD INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON VERY LARGE DATA BASES (TOKYO, OCT 6-8) IEEE,NEW YORK. PP. 464- 474.
- [10] BOOCH, G., RUMBAUGH,J.,AND JACOBSON,I., (1999), THE UNIFIED MODELING LANGUAGE USER GUIDE, ADDISON WESLEY LONGMAN, INC.
- [11] BARKER, R., (1995), CASE*METHOD?: ENTITY RELATIONSHIP MODELING, ORACLE CORPORATION UK LIMITED,

ADDISON-WESLEY PUBLISHING COMPANY, 1992.

[12] CZEJDO, B., ELMASRI, R., RUSINKIEWICZ, M., AND EMBLEY, D., (1990), A GRAPHICAL DATA MANIPULATION LANGUAGE FOR AN EXTENDED ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP MODEL, IEEE COMPUTER 23(3) PP. 26-35.

[13] CODD, E.F., (1970), A RELATIONAL MODEL OF DATA FOR LARGE SHARED DATA BANKS, COMMUN. ACM 13,6 JUNE, PP. 377-387.

[14] CODD, E.F., (1979), EXTENDING THE DATABASE RELATIONAL MODEL TO CAPTURE MORE MEANING, ACM TRANS. DATABASE SYST. 4, 4 DEC., 397-434.

[15] CHEN, P. P., (1997), ENGLISH, CHINESE AND ER DIAGRAMS, ELSEVIER SCIENCE B.V., DATA & KNOWLEDGE ENGINEERING 23, PP. 5-16.

[16] CHEN, P.P., (1992) ENTITY-RELATIONSHIPS VS. OBJECT-ORIENTATION, IN: G. PERNUL, A.M. TJOA (EDS.), PROC. 11TH INT. CONF. ON ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP APPROACH, OCTOBER, PP.1-2.

[17] CHEN, P.P., (1976), THE ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP MODEL : TOWARD A UNIFIED VIEW OF DATA, ACM TRANSACTION ON DATABASE SYSTEM, VOL.1, NO.1, MARCH, PP. 9-36.

[18] DATE, C.J., (1991), AN INTRODUCTION TO DATABASE SYSTEM. ADDISON-WESLEY PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.

[19] DRUCKER, P. F., (1999), MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES FOR THE 21ST CENTURY, HARPER BUSINESS, NEW YORK.

[20] GORMAN, K., & CHOUBINEH, J., (1991), THE OBJECT-ORIENTED ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP MODEL (OOERM), J. MANAGEMENT INF. SYS. 7(3), PP. 41-65.

[21] HAY, D. C., (1996), DATA MODEL PATTERNS : CONVENTIONS OF THOUGHT, DORSET HOUSE PUBLISHING, NEW YORK.

[22] HANSEN, G.W. & HANSEN, J.V., (1992), DATABASE MANAGEMENT AND DESIGN, PRENTICE-HALL.

[23] HAMMER, M. & MCLEOD, D., (1978), THE SEMANTIC DATA MODEL: A MODELLING MECHANISM FOR DATABASE APPLICATIONS, IN PROC. ACM SIGMOD INT. CONF. MANAGEMENT OF DATA, AUSTIN, TEX.

[24] HAMMER, M. & MCLEOD, D., (1981), DATABASE DESCRIPTION WITH SDM: A SEMANTIC DATABASE MODEL, ACM TRANSACTIONS ON DATABASE SYSTEM, VOL. 6, NO.3, SEPTEMBER, PP. 354-386.

[25] HULL, R. & KING, R., (1987), SEMANTIC DATABASE MODELLING: SURVEY, APPLICATIONS, AND RESEARCH ISSUES, ACM COMPUTING SURVEYS 19 (3) PP. 201-260.

[26] HOHENSTEM, U. & GOGOLLA M., (1988) A CALCULUS FOR AN EXTENDED ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP MODEL INCORPORATING ARBITRARY DATA OPERATIONS AND AGGREGATE FUNCTIONS, IN: C. BATINI (ED.), ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP APPROACH: A BRIDGE TO THE USER, PROC. 7TH INT. CONF. ON ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP APPROACH, 1988, ROME, ITALY, NORTH-HOLLAND.

[27] KAPPEL, G. & SCHREFL, M., (1988), A BEHAVIOR-INTEGRATED ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP APPROACH FOR THE DESIGN OF OBJECT-ORIENTED DATABASES, IN: C. BATINI (ED.), ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP APPROACH: A BRIDGE TO THE USER, PROC. 7TH INT. CONF. ON ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP APPROACH, 1988, ROME, ITALY, NORTH-HOLLAND.

[28] KING, R. & MCLEOD, D., (1984), A UNIFIED MODEL AND METHODOLOGY FOR CONCEPTUAL DATABASE DESIGN, IN ON CONCEPTUAL MODELLING, PERSPECTIVES FROM ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, DATABASES, AND PROGRAMMING, M. L. BRODIE, J. MYLOPOULOUS, AND J. W. SCHMIDT, EDS. SPRINGER-VERLAG, NEW YORK. PP. 313-327.

[29] KING, R. & MCLEOD, D., (1986), THE EVENT DATABASE SPECIFICATION MODEL, IN PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2ND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON DATABASES: IMPROVING USABILITY AND RESPONSIVENESS (JERUSALEM, ISRAEL). IIPA, PP. 299-322.

[30] KESH, S., (1995), EVALUATING THE QUALITY OF ENTITY RELATIONSHIP MODELS, ELSEVIER SCIENCE B.V. INFORMATION AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY. 37 (12) PP. 681-689.

[31] KENT, W., (1979), LIMITATIONS OF THE RECORD BASED INFORMATION MODELS, ACM TRANS. DATABASE SYST. 4,1 MAR. PP.107-131.

[32] LOOMIS, M., (1995), OBJECT DATABASES: THE ESSENTIALS, ADDISON-WESLEY, READING, MA.

[33] MARTIN, J., & ODELL, J.J., (1992), OBJECT-ORIENTED ANALYSIS AND DESIGN, ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ: PRENTICE-HALL.

[34] MARTYN, T., (2000), IMPLEMENTATION DESIGN FOR DATABASES: THE 'FORGOTTEN' STEP, IEEE, IT PRO MARCH/APRIL, PP.42-49.

[35] MARKOWITZ, V. M. & SHOSHANI, A., (1992), REPRESENTING EXTENDED ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP STRUCTURES IN RELATIONAL DATABASE: A MODULAR APPROACH, ACM TRANS. ON DATABASE SYSTEMS 17 (3), PP.423-464.

[36] MCGEE, W.C., (1976), ON USER CRITERIA FOR DATA MODEL EVALUATION, ACM TRANSACTIONS ON DATABASE SYSTEMS, VOL. 1, NO. 4, DECEMBER, PP. 370-387.

[37] NAVATHE, S., ELMASRI, R., AND LARSON, J., (1986), INTEGRATING USER VIEWS IN DATABASE DESIGN, IEEE COMPUTER 19(1) PP. 50-62.

- [38] NAVATHE,S.& PILLALAMARRI,M.,(1988), TOWARD MAKING THE ER APPROACH OBJECT-ORIENTED, IN: C. BATINI (ED.), ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP APPROACH: A BRIDGE TO THE USER, PROC. 7TH INT. CONF. ON ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP APPROACH, 1988, ROME, ITALY, NORTH-HOLLAND.
- [39] PECKHAM,J.& MARYANSKI,F.,(1988) SEMANTIC DATA MODELS, ACM COMPUTING SURVEYS, VOL. 20, NO. 3, SEPTEMBER, PP. 153-189.
- [40] POTTER, W. D. & TRUEBLOOD, R. P., (1988), TRADITIONAL, SEMANTIC, AND HYPER-SEMANTIC APPROACHES TO DATA MODELING, IEEE.
- [41] RODGERS, U., (1991), ORACLE:A DATABASE DEVELOPER'S GUIDE, PRENTIC-HALL, INC.
- [42] RAMESH, V. & GLENN, B.J., (1999), EXPRESSING CASUAL RELATIONSHIPS IN CONCEPTUAL DAT -ABASE SCHEMAS, ELSEVIER SCIENCE INC. THE JOURNAL OF STSTEMS AND SOFTWARE 45, PP. 225-232.
- [43] SAIEDIAN, H., (1997), AN EVALUATION OF EXTENDED ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP MODEL, ELSEVIER SCIENCE B.V., INFORMATION AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY 39, PP. 449-462.
- [44] SCHMID, H. A., & SWENSON, J. R., (1975), ON THE SEMANTICS OF THE RELATIONAL DATA MOD -EL, IN PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1975 SIGMOD CONFERENCE, PP. 211-223.
- [45] SMITH, J. M., & SMITH, D. C. P.,(1977),DATABASE ABSTRACTIONS: AGGREGATION AND GENERA -LIZATION, ACM TRANSACTIONS ON DATABASE SYSTEMS, VOL. 2,NO. 2,JUNE, PP.105-133.
- [46] SHOVAL, P.& SHIRAN,S., (1997), ENTITY-RELATIONSHIP AND OBJECT-ORIENTED DATA MODELING : EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISON OF DESIGN QUALITY, ELSEVIER SCIENCE B.V. DATA & KNOWLEDGE ENGINEERING 21, PP. 297-315.
- [47] SHLAER, S. & MELLOR, S.J., (1992), OBJECT LIFECYCLES: MODELING THE WORLD IN STATES, PRENTICE-HALL, INC.
- [48] TIWANA, A.,(2000), THE KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT TOOLKIT : PRACTICAL TECHNIQUES FOR BUILD -ING A MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, PRENTICE-HALL, INC.
- [49] TSICHRITZIS, D. & LOCHOVSKY,F.,(1982), DATA MODELS, PRENTIC HALL, ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, N.J.
- [50] TEOREY, T., YANG, D., AND FRY, J.,(1986), A LOGICAL DESIGN METHODOLOGY FOR RELATIONAL DATABASES ESING THE EXTENDED E-R MODEL, ACM COMPUTING SURVEYS,18 (2): PP.197-222.
- [51] WHITTEN,J.L.,& BENTLEY L. D.,(1998), SYSTEMS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN METHODS, MCGRAW-HILL , INC.
- [52] WU, M. S. & WU, SHIH-YEN, (1994), SYSTEMS ANALYSIS AND DESIGN, WEST PUBLISHING COMPA -NY.
- [53] WAND,Y., STOREY,V.C., AND WEBER, R., (1999), AN ONTOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE RELATION -SHIP CONSTRUCT IN CONCEPTUAL MODELING, ACM TRANSACTIONS ON DATABASE SYSTEMS, VOL. 24, NO. 4, DECEMBER, PP. 494-528.