

A Study on the Disintegration of Wet-strength Paper

吳品毅、彭元興

E-mail: 387118@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

Among the wet-strength papers, the melamin-formaldehyde resin strengthened fruit-shielding bag paper is known to be more difficult to recover and reuse. In general, wet-strength papers need to be treated with high temperature and strong alkali cooking before fibrillizing in a pulper. The purpose is to recover the fibers contained therein. In this study, methods of applying acid and alkaline conditions together with sodium hypochlorite, potassium hydrogen monosulfate, and sodium persulfate as repulping aid chemicals were tried in order to ascertain the necessary conditions for treating the wet-strength paper. Furthermore, literature search was conducted to find catalyst of the repulping aids for experimentation. When sodium hypochlorite, potassium hydrogen monosulfate, or sodium persulfate was singly applied, the fiber recovery all fell < 40%. In order to increase fiber recovery rate, catalysts were added in conjunction with repulping aid chemicals. The combinations included the following: sodium hypochlorite-ethanol; potassium hydrogen monosulfate-ferric sulfate; sodium persulfate-magnesium sulfate. The hope was to enhance fiber recovery rate from treating melamin-formaldehyde wet-strength paper. The results indicated that the best conditions of catalyst-assisted repulping fiber recovery rates were at a dose ratio of 5: 1 for repulping aids to catalysts; repulping aids to o.d. wet-strength pulp equaled 5%. Henceforth, the sodium hypochlorite system produced fiber recovery of 62.4% at 85 °C, and pH 11. When temperature increased to 90 °C, however, the yield decreased to 57.0%. This was deemed that sodium hypochlorite reacted too violently at the higher temperature causing increases to fines content. The best conditions of potassium hydrogen monosulfate system yielded 82.4% of fibers at 90 °C and pH 3. At the same optimal conditions, sodium persulfate system yielded 83.3% fibers. Both persulfate repulping aids produced less fines content. In particular, sodium persulfate w/wo magnesium sulfate increased fiber yield from 36.5% to 83.3%, a gain of 46.8% and represented the best change effected by catalyst. Evaluations of factorial analysis indicated that after catalyst addition, the temperature exerted the most influence. In tests of temperature variation, after catalyst addition, repulping with potassium hydrogen monosulfate or sodium persulfate fiber yields showed marked increases between 75 and 80 °C. For the former, a fiber yield gain of 29.5% was observed between the 2 temperature levels. And for the latter, the fiber yield gain was 43.6% for the temperature levels. In the sodium hypochlorite case, with catalyst addition, significant change occurred only at temperature levels between 80 and 85 °C, with a gain of 23.3% in fiber yield observed for conditions of 85 °C over that of 80 °C. When biotic enzymes were applied as repulping aids, with fruit beg paper, and at treatment temperature of 55 °C and pH 5, the Enzyme 8111 produced 3.9%; Enzyme 8631 produced 3.3%; and Enzyme 8655 produced 3.6% of accepted fibers. When paper lunch box board was the raw material and at 2% pulp consistency and enzyme dose of 15%, Enzyme 8111, 8631, and 8666 produced respectively 28.5%, 29.6% and 28.8% more accepted fiber than those without the enzymes. When tetrapak for liquid packaging was the raw material, adding Enzyme 8111 and 8820 increased accepted fiber yield respectively 6.0% and 3.5% than those without the enzymes. In subsequent handsheet property evaluation results, the group using potassium hydrogen monosulfate as repulping aid appeared to have better paper tensile strength than those of sodium persulfate group which in turn was better than the sodium hypochlorite group. The tensile indices of the handsheets tended to decrease with increasing treatment temperature; whereas the closer to neutral pH, the better the tensile performance of the handsheets.

Keywords : wet-strength paper、 pulp fibrillation、 repulping aids、 catalysts、 fiber yields、 total yields

Table of Contents

封面內頁	簽名頁	中文摘要	iii	ABSTRACT	v	誌謝	viii	目錄	ix	圖目錄	xii	表目錄	xiv	第一章 前言	1	1.1 研究起源	1	1.2 研究動機	1	1.3 研究目的	2	第二章 背景資料	3	2.1 濕強劑之性質	3	2.1.1 尿素-甲醛樹脂	4	2.1.2 三聚氰胺-甲醛樹脂	5	2.1.3 聚醯胺-環氧氯丙烷樹脂	5	2.1.4 聚乙烯亞胺樹脂	6	2.1.5 聚丙烯醯胺樹脂	7	2.1.6 含雙醛澱粉	7	2.2 濕強劑的作用機制	7	2.3 濕強紙之解離回收	8	2.4 濕強解離劑介紹	9	2.4.1 次氯酸鈉	9	2.4.2 過硫酸氫鉀	10	2.4.3 過硫酸鈉	10	第三章 文獻回顧	11	3.1 濕強劑的應用	11	3.2 濕強紙張回收文獻	13	3.3 濕強紙回收專利	15	第四章 實驗設計與方法	21	4.1 實驗目的	21	4.2 實驗設計	21	4.2.1 操作條件	22	4.2.2 催化劑選擇	24	4.3 第二階段	25	4.3.1 22階層設計	25	4.3.2 單一變因探討	27	4.4 第三階段	27	4.4.1 生物?對濕強紙之可行性	27	4.4.2 生物?使用範圍	27	4.5 搓揉機	28	4.6 實驗流程	29	4.7 實驗材料與儀器	30	4.7.1 實驗材料	30	4.7.2 實驗儀器	31	4.8 檢測方法	31	第五章 結果與討論	32	5.1 散漿助劑條件探討	32	5.1.1 預備試驗	32	5.1.2 催化劑種類	35	5.2 第二階段	44	5.2.1 階層設計分析	44	5.2.2 單一因子對總收率之影響	48	5.3 第三階段	55	5.3.1 水果套袋濕強紙	56	5.3.2 紙餐盒	58	5.3.3	
------	-----	------	-----	----------	---	----	------	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--------	---	----------	---	----------	---	----------	---	----------	---	------------	---	---------------	---	-----------------	---	-------------------	---	---------------	---	---------------	---	-------------	---	--------------	---	--------------	---	-------------	---	------------	---	-------------	----	------------	----	----------	----	------------	----	--------------	----	-------------	----	-------------	----	----------	----	----------	----	------------	----	-------------	----	----------	----	--------------	----	--------------	----	----------	----	-------------------	----	---------------	----	---------	----	----------	----	-------------	----	------------	----	------------	----	----------	----	-----------	----	--------------	----	------------	----	-------------	----	----------	----	--------------	----	-------------------	----	----------	----	---------------	----	-----------	----	-------	--

利樂包 61 5.4 搓揉機 62 5.5 抗張指數 62 5.5.1 散漿助劑及溫度對抗張指數之影響 63 5.5.2 pH及散漿助劑對抗張指數之影響
64 5.5.3 散漿助劑添加量之影響 65 第六章 結論與建議 67 6.1 結論 67 6.2 建議 68 參考文獻 70 附錄一 得率原始數據 72

REFERENCES

- 1.王建、齊亮、白鐵軍、華開開(2008), PAE增濕強本色廢紙的再製漿, 紙和造紙, 27(5):47-49.
- 2.卡法蘭、蘇丹山、泰羅伯(1996), 用於再製漿以濕強樹脂為基礎之損紙的組合物以及再製漿以濕強樹脂為基礎之損紙的方法, 中華民國專利編號:279912.
- 3.任懷燕、趙傳山、許洪正(2007), 乙二醛聚醯胺濕強損紙再製漿工藝的探討, 造紙化學品, 19(6):15-18.
- 4.吳翠玲、李新平、王建勇(2005), 造紙工業常用濕強劑及其發展趨勢, 紙和造紙, 6:35-38.
- 5.李文俊、楊玲(2005), KP竹漿無元素氯漂白, 紙和造紙, 5:61-62.
- 6.姚光裕(2001), 含環氧樹脂濕強廢紙的回收, 造紙信息, 6:21.
- 7.珍妮佛 安 鮑區、趙敏、湯瑪斯 彼得 杜凡諾 (2007), 用於再製漿濕強損紙之方法, 中華民國專利編號:200718826.
- 8.唐靈、趙敏、李志祥、陳建中、葛青(2007), 紙張濕強劑研究進展, 熱固性樹脂, 22(5):53-56.
- 9.彭慶華、高興義、褚祖禮、劉慧楓(2010), 談擦手紙的再製漿, 中華紙業, 31(16):61-64.
- 10.葉慶國、孫培生(2007), 環境友好型聚?胺環氧氯丙烷濕強劑之性能研究, 青島科技大學學報(自然科學版), 28(6):494-497.
- 11.鄭雅月(1997), 高濕強紙之研製及含環氧樹脂濕強紙之回收, 國立中興大學森林學研究所, 碩士論文, 台灣.
- 12.蘇裕昌(2002), 紙張增強劑的發展與應用及紙力增強的機制, 漿紙技術, 6(2):1-25.
- 13.Espy HH (1992), 一種將纖維素纖維所構成之紙製產品再製的方法及一種用於方法之組合物, 中華民國專利編號:260726.
- 14.Chen CM, Yang CQ, Xu Y. 1998. Application of polymeric multifunctional carboxylic acids to improve wet strength. Tappi J. 81(11):159-164.
- 15.Chen CM, Yang CQ, Xu Y. 1999. Wet reinforcement of paper with high-molecular-weight multifunctional carboxylic acid. Tappi J. 82(8):150-156.
- 16.Caropreso FE, Thorp DS, Tieckelmann RH. 1997. Persulfate mixtures for repulping wet strength paper. United States Patent 5718837.
- 17.Espy HH, Geist GW. 1993. Persulfates as repulping reagents for neutral/alkaline wet-strength broke. Tappi J. 76(2):139-142.
- 18.Espy HH. 1995. The mechanism of wet-strength development in paper:a review. Tappi J. 78(4):90-99.
- 19.Fischer SA. 1997. Repulping wet strength paper. Tappi J. 80(11):141-147.
- 20.Kapadia PC. 1992. A non chlorine repulping aide. Tappi Papermakers Conference :51-55.
- 21.Meng MX. 1998. System development for the recycling of polycoated and wet strength containing food packaging materials. Recycling Symposium 241-254.
- 22.Sajbel JS, Heyward JR, Fischer SA. 1995. Process for repulping wet-strength paper. United States Patent 5447602.
- 23.Thorp DS, Tieckelmann RH, Millar DJ, West GE. 1995. Chlorine free wet strength paper repulping and decolorizing with activated persulfates. Tappi Papermakers Conference:163-168.