

改善一般兩輪機動車輛的被動安全 以工業設計的角度切入

李哲仲、Johann Geiger

E-mail: 386797@mail.dyu.edu.tw

摘要

本研究以工業設計的角度找出可供台灣摩托車駕駛者改善被動安全的產品。因為在研究中分析交通事故數據，以及蒐集嶄新的安全性防護技術並分類。針對摩托車駕駛，以現行的法規以及既有產品來看，仍有可以改善的空間。所以在安全防護方面，可分為主動安全與被動安全，然而主動安全的設備，目前因為設備過於新穎，用於一般摩托車的成本過高等因素考量，並不適用於此次的設計改善，所以此研究以被動安全為主要發展方向。另外在進行設計改善之前，也做了一系列的統計分析以作為改善提案的要點基礎。現在在被動安全的議題，雖然提出了很多解決方案，有些設計是針對交通工具本身發展的，在台灣要落實目前還不可行。基於此，我們以工業設計的角度切入，並從前測上所得結果，根據其要點來發展設計改善提案，在保護使用者本身的議題上找出可行的方式。利用專家訪談驗證此次設計改善的可行性。所以本研究從駕駛者本身的被動安全防護做改善，得到專家的正向的認同。其結果是可行的。

關鍵詞：被動安全、交通事故、普通重型摩托車、設計改善

目錄

封面內頁 簽名頁 中文摘要.....	i	ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii	List of Figures.....	vi
Tables.....	viii	CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
Motivation.....	1.1.2 Range of the research and target group.....	1.1.1 Background and	7
Procedures.....	1.1.2 Range of the research and target group.....	1.1.3 Research Structure and	7
7.1.4 Workflow of the research.....	9	CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE	9
REVIEW.....	11	2.1 Statistics Research.....	11
2.2 Existing Solutions and Technologies	25	2.3 BMW C1.....	33
Review.....	25	2.4 CLEVER-A Three-Wheel Vehicle.....	34
35.2.6 Research Tools and Statistical Methods.....	40	2.5 Literature review.....	34
METHODOLOGY.....	43	CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH	40
3.1 Results of earlier research:.....	43	3.2 Generate	43
Questionnaires:.....	44	3.3 Statistics and Analysis:.....	44
3.4 Design proposal:.....	44	3.5	44
The Questionnaires for Design Improvement:.....	45	3.6 Method:.....	45
45.1 CHAPTER 4 DATA	45	CHAPTER 4 DATA	45
ANALYSIS AND MAKING IMPROVEMENT.....	47	4.1 Pre-Test.....	47
47.1 Pre-Test.....	48	4.2 Pre-Test.....	59
59.1 CHAPTER 5 DESIGN PROPOSAL AND DESIGN	59	CHAPTER 5 DESIGN PROPOSAL AND DESIGN	59
IMPROVEMENT.....	91	5.1 Interviews Introduction.....	91
5.2 The Questions of In-Depth Interview.....	91	5.2 The Questions of In-Depth Interview.....	94
5.3 Collecting and Analyzing the Interview Data.....	115	CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION.....	142
6.1 SWOT Analysis on the Design Improvement.....	142	6.2 Research Conclusion.....	144
6.3 Suggestions for Future Research.....	146	Appendices.....	151
146.1 References.....	146	List of Figures.....	151
Figure 1.3 The Research Procedure.....	9	Figure 1.4 Workflow of the research.....	10
Figure 2.1-4 Year 2003-2007 The analysis of the type of motorcycles with time at traffic in Taiwan (A1 and A2 category).....	19	Figure 2.1-4 Year 2003-2007 The analysis of the type of motorcycles with time at traffic in Taiwan (A1 and A2 category).....	19
Figure 2.1-6 The analysis from the time of the traffic accidents by the Ordinary Heavy-Duty Motorcycles in Taiwan (A1 and A2 category).....	21	Figure 2.1-8 The analysis of the casualties of the traffic accidents by occupations with the Ordinary Heavy-Duty Motorcycles in Taiwan (A1 and A2 category).....	22
Figure 2.1-9 The Fatalities Number of Road.....	23	Figure 2.1-10 Approximate risk of being killed for different speeds of impact, NTF (1998)....	24
Figure 2.1-11 Stopping distance from various speeds, NTF (1998)....	25	Figure 2.1-11 Stopping distance from various speeds, NTF (1998)....	24
Figure 2.2.2.6 The two-wheeler drivers injured regions of body list.....	33	Figure 2.5-1 Affected body parts of people involved in two-wheel vehicle accidents.....	36
Figure 3.1-1 the procedure of the methodology.....	45	Figure 3.1-1 the procedure of the methodology.....	45
Figure 4.2.2.1-1 the theoretical framework map.....	63	Figure 5.2.1-1a.....	95
Figure 5.2.1-1b.....	96	Figure 5.2.1-2.....	96
Figure 5.2.1-3b.....	98	Figure 5.2.1-3a.....	97
Figure 5.2.2-2.....	101	Figure 5.2.1-4.....	99
Figure 5.2.2-3.....	101	Figure 5.2.2-1.....	100
Figure 5.2.2-4.....	101	Figure 5.2.2-4.....	102
Figure 5.2.3-1a.....	103	Figure 5.2.3-1b.....	104
Figure 5.2.3-2b.....	104	Figure 5.2.3-2a.....	104
Figure 5.2.4-2.....	106	Figure 5.2.4-3.....	105
Figure 5.2.4-4.....	107	Figure 5.2.4-1.....	106
Figure 5.2.4-5.....	107	Figure 5.2.4-4.....	107

Figure 5.2.4-5.....	108	Figure 5.2.4-6.....	108	Figure 5.2.4-7.....	109
Figure 5.2.4-8.....	109	Figure 5.2.4-9.....	110	Figure 5.2.5-1.....	111
Figure 5.2.5-2.....	111	Figure 5.2.5-3a.....	113	Figure 5.2.5-3b.....	114
List of Tables Table 1.1-1 The rising rate of motorcycles in Taiwan.....	2	Table 1.1-2 The quantities of motorcycles.....	3	Table 1.1-3 The Table of motorcycle accidents in year 2004-2007.....	4
With or Without Wearing Helmet.....	13	Table 2.1-1 Casualties of Motorcyclists (Passengers) With or Without Wearing Helmet.....	13	Table 2.1-2 Road Traffic Accidents caused by the types of Vehicles in Taiwan-fuchien Area.....	16
Table 2.1-3. The analysis of the drink driving accidents by the type of motorcycles in Taiwan.....	18	Table 2.1-5. The Analysis of the time of Motorcyclists happen traffic accidents on the road in Taiwan (A1 and A2 category).....	20	Table 4.1.2.1-1 BMW C1 - Acknowledge Evaluation.....	52
BMW C1 - Acknowledge Evaluation.....	53	Table 4.1.2.1-2 BMW C1 - Self Evaluation.....	54	Table 4.1.2.1-3 BMW C1 - Self Evaluation.....	54
Table 4.1.2.1-4 BMW C1 - Self Evaluation.....	55	Table 4.1.2.1-5 CLEVER – Acknowledge Evaluation.....	55	Table 4.1.2.1-6 CLEVER - Acknowledge Evaluation.....	56
Table 4.1.2.1-7 CLEVER – Self Evaluations.....	57	Table 4.1.2.1-8 CLEVER – Self Evaluations.....	57	Table 4.1.2.1-9 CLEVER – Self Evaluations.....	57
Table 4.2.1-1 Status Cognition.....	60	Table 4.2.2.3-1 the result of Item Analysis of scales at the clothing.....	65	Table 4.2.2.4-1 the result of Factor Analysis of scales at the Clothing.....	68
Table 4.2.2.5-1 The correlation matrix of perception, attitude and behavior intention at the clothing.....	70	Table 4.2.2.5-2 The summary of stepwise regression analysis of the perception and the attitude at the clothing.....	71	Table 4.2.2.5-3 The summary of stepwise regression analysis of perception, attitude and behavior intention at the clothing.....	71
Table 4.2.2.5-4 The summary of prediction of perception, attitude and behavior intention at the clothing.....	72	Table 4.2.2.7-1 the result of Item Analysis of scales at Armors.....	74	Table 4.2.2.8-1 the result of Factor Analysis of scales at Armors.....	76
Table 4.2.2.9-1 The correlation matrix of perception and behavior intention at the armor....	78	Table 4.2.2.9-2 The summary of stepwise regression analysis of the perception and the behavior intention at the armor.....	78	Table 4.2.2.9-3 The summary of prediction of perception and behavior intention at the armor.	79
Table 4.2.2.11-1 The result of Item Analysis of scales at Accessories.....	81	Table 4.2.2.12-1 The result of Factor Analysis of scales at Accessories.....	84	Table 4.2.2.13-1 The correlation matrix of perception, attitude and behavior intention at the accessories.....	88
Table 4.2.2.13-2 The summary of stepwise regression analysis of the perception and the attitude at the accessories.....	88	Table 4.2.2.13-3 The summary of stepwise regression analysis of perception, attitude and behavior intention at the accessories.....	89	Table 4.2.2.13-4 The summary of prediction of perception, attitude and behavior intention at the accessories.....	90

參考文獻

- 一. 中文部份 1.白家榮，十字路口行人的偵測及追蹤，國立台灣師範大學資訊教育研究所碩士論文，民國九十一年。 2.石心寧，市中心商業步行空間領域標示物機能之研究 - 以台中市繼光街行人徒步區為例，東海大學工業設計學系，民國九十一年。 3.吳正宇，「機車氣囊防護衣之防護效益評估和機車車禍之電腦模擬」，國立陽明大學醫學工程研究所碩士倫文，民國九十二年。 4.李自浩，市中心區購物商街人潮遊逛空間阻礙因子之研究，中國文化大學事證暨環境規劃研究所碩士論文，民國九十五年。 5.李明聰，地區性道路人車共存設施風險評估模式建立與應用，國立台灣大學土木工程學研究所碩士論文，民國八十九年。 6.林立，「機車事故之受傷部位及傷亡程度探討」，國立嘉義大學運輸與物流工程研究所碩士論文，民國九十六年。 p5-8, p39-56 7.侯斌元，手機發展趨勢與零組件產品設計之關係探討—以手機連接器為例，大同大學工業設計研究所碩士論文，民國九十八年。 8.許瓊方，「停車導引資訊服務接受度研究」，國立成功大學電信管理研究所碩士論文，民國九十八年。 p46-72 9.陳立欣，嗅覺氣味刺激對工作績效之影響-以電腦文書工作者為例，大同大學工業設計研究所碩士論文，民國九十六年。 10.陳怡君，利用軌跡特徵分析行人異常行為，國立中央大學資訊工程研究所碩士論文，民國九十五年。 11.曾建民，終身吊銷駕駛執照制度之實施成效評估 - 被吊照人吊照前後開車實證分析，國立交通大學運輸科技與管理系，民國九十五年。 p34 12.黃嵐鈴，數位臥室的生活需求調查與產品設計，雲林科技大學工業設計系碩士班碩士論文，民國九十六年。 p105-109 13.劉希麟，數位生活形態下電腦桌的使用需求與產品設計，大同大學工業設計研究所碩士論文，民國九十八年。 p101-138
- 二. 英文部分 1.Alexandra Millonig, Katja Schechtner, 2005. Developing Landmark-based Pedestrian Navigation Systems. IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems. 2.Annika Larsson, 2005. PEDESTRIAN DETECTION AND DRIVER ATTENTION, Cues needed to determine risky pedestrian behavior in traffic, p.2-9 3.Annika Larsson, 2005. Pedestrian Detection and Driver Attention-Cues needed to determine risky pedestrian behavior in traffic. Department of Computer and Information Science, Linkoping University, Sweden. 4.Bogozzi, R. P., Yi, Y., 1988. On the evaluation of structural equation models. Academic of Marketing Science. p16, 437-454. 5.Choo, S, Mokhtarian, Patricia L, UC Davis, 2004. What type of vehicle do people drive? The role of attitude and lifestyle in influencing vehicle type choice. University of California. 6.Diamantopoulos, A., Siguaw, J. A., 2000. Introducing LISREL: A guide for the uninitiated. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. 7.Dominique Lord, Alison Smiley & Antoine Haroun, 2001. Pedestrian Accidents with Left-Turning Traffic at Signalized Intersections: Characteristics, Human Factors, and Unconsidered Issues. Safety Studies Group, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Toronto. 8.FRED D. DAVIS, RICHARD P. BAGOZZI AND PAUL R. WARSHAW, 1989. USER ACCEPTANCE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY: A COMPARISON

OF TWO THEORETICAL MODELS. School of Business Administration, University of Michigan. , California Polytechnic State University.

9.Geetam Tiwari, Shrikant Bangdiwala, Arvind Saraswat, Sushant Gaurav, 2007. Survival analysis: Pedestrian risk exposure at signalized intersections. Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi Transportation Research and Injury Prevention Programme.

10.Ingo Kalliske, Christoph Albus, 1998. Safety Potential of Future Two-Wheel Concepts-A Challenge, Federal Highway Research Institute, Germany, p.2-9

11.KALU UDUMA, 2000. Innovations in Auto Safety Design, a Key to Quality Improvement. Vehicle Development-Impact Systems, DaimlerChrysler.

12.KALU UDUMA, 2000. Innovations in Auto Safety Design, a Key to Quality Improvement. Vehicle Development-Impact Systems, DaimlerChrysler.

13.Kandice Kremer Fults, 2005. AN ANALYSIS OF PEDESTRIAN-VEHICULAR CRASHES NEAR PUBLIC SCHOOLS IN THE CITY OF BALTIMORE, MARYLAND. Master of Science.

14.Lars Hollmotz, Steffen Sohr, 2005. CLEVER – A Three Wheel Vehicle with a Passive Safety Comparable to Conventional Cars, TAKATA-PERTRI Aschaffenburg / Berlin / Ulm, Germany, p1-4

15.Leon G. Schiffman, Leslie Lazar Kanuk, 1997. Consumer behavior, Prentice Hall.

16.M. Suzanne Zeedyk, Laura Kelly, 2003. Behavioural observations of adult – child pairs at pedestrian crossings. Department of Psychology, University of Dundee.

17.Mariana Bayley, Barry Curtis, Ken Lupton, Chris Wright, 2004. Vehicle aesthetics and their impact on the pedestrian environment. Transport Management Research Centre, Middlesex University Business School.

18.Megan Bayly, Michael Regan and Simon Hosking, 2006. Intelligent Transport System and Motorcycle Safety, MONASH University, Accident Research Centre, p.43-51

19.Natasha Mack, Cynthia Woodsong, Kathleen M. Macqueen, Greg Guest, Emily Namey, 2005. Qualitative Research Methods: A data collector ' s field guide, Family Health International. p29-32

20.Schiffman, Leon G, & Kanuk, Leslie, 1994, Consumer Behavior (Fifth Edition), A Simon & Schuster Company, p.459-469

21.Yajun Fang, Student Member, IEEE, Keiichi Yamada, Member, IEEE, Yoshiki Ninomiya, Berthold K. P. Horn, and Ichiro Masaki, Senior Member, IEEE, 2004. A Shape-Independent Method for Pedestrian Detection with Far-Infrared Images. IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON VEHICULAR TECHNOLOGY, VOL. 53, NO. 6, NOVEMBER 2004

22.Yasuhiro Matsui, 2005. Effects of vehicle bumper height and impact velocity on type of lower extremity injury in vehicle – pedestrian accidents. Japan Automobile Research Institute, 2530 Karima, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0822, Japan.