The Analysis of Field and Tenor in Dialogues of the Novel: The Bridges of Madison County and Its Chinese Translation

掌慶懋、陳淑明

E-mail: 386755@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

This study applies field and tenor analysis to the dialogues in a novel and its Chinese translation. The linguistic data is The Bridges of Madison County, written by Robert James Waller, an American writer. Waller is originally an economics professor who taught in the University of Northern Iowa in the Middle West. Out of a field investigation accompanied by unexpected inspiration afterwards, Waller completed this book in 1992. It consequently proved a great success and turned out to be one of the bestselling in the last decade of the twentieth century. The Chinese translated version is rendered by Taiwanese translator, Mei-zhen, Wu in 1995. On the basis of her translation as target text (TT) and the original as source text (ST), the analysis model proposed by Juliane House (1997) is simultaneously drawn upon to the investigation of Wu's translation quality. The analyzing parameters raised by House mainly consist of three functional variables which are concerned with field, tenor and mode. This thesis is devoted to the analysis of the parameters of field and tenor. Besides, House (1997) hypothesized two basic translation types which are overt and covert translations. According to her (p. 112), the overt translation reaches equal function to ST in respect of the dimensions of register, genre, language and text. On the other hand, covert translation denotes a translation whose source text is not specifically addressed to a particular source culture audience; that is, it is not particular tied to the source language and culture (p. 69). After meticulous comparison in lexical, syntactic and textual levels between the ST and TT, some mismatches and errors are found. To a certain extent, TT achieves the equivalent result to ST in terms of field because of the translational strategies that the translator Wu adopted in her TT, such as shifts, addition, idiom and repetitive terms in the sentences. However, TT does not reflect the similar effect that the author intends to deliver to his readers in respect of tenor. From research result, the researcher found that translator Wu adopts covert translation strategy. Nevertheless, partly because of translator Wu's misinterpretation and partly her fragmented comprehension to the ST, the translation result still leaves the partial trace of overt translation.

Keywords: field, tenor, dialogues, covert translation, overt translation, translation quality

Table of Contents

Table of Contents COVER PAGE ENGLISH SIGNATURE PAGE	AGE ENGLISH ABSTRACT
	vi ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
viii TABLE O	F CONTENTS
	xiii LIST OF TABLES
xiv Chapter I Introduction	1 1.1
Background and Motivation	1 1.2 Methodology
	3 1.4 The Story
4 1.5 Organization	of the Study5
Chapter II Theoretical Background	
	ve on Thematic Structures
(1992) Perspective on Register12 2.3	B Hatim and Mason 's (1997) Model of Register
14 2.4 House 's (1997) Model of Register Analysis	17 2.4.1 Register
18 2.4.2 Overt Translation an	
Operational Procedures of House's Translation Quality Assessr	ment
22 2.5 Summary	24 Chapter III Literature Review
27 3.1 Introduction	27 3.2 Yu
and Su (2010)	28 3.3 Wu, Ju and Yin (2011)
32 3.4 Marco (2001): Register Analysis in Lite	rary Translation: A Functional Approach
	Model of Translation Quality Assessment and its Application to
Tang Poetry Translation37 Chapter	IV The Results of the Discussion: Field
30.4.1 Mismatches in Levical Syntactic and Textual Levels	(I) A0 A 1.1 Levical Means and Levical

Mismatches	42 4.1.2 Syntactic means and Syntactic Mismatches	43 4.1.3
Textual Means and Textual	Mismatches45 4.2 Mismatches in Le	exical, Syntactic and Textual Levels
(11)47 4.2.1	Lexical Means and Lexical Mismatches	48 4.2.2 Syntactic Means and
Syntactic Mismatches	50 4.2.3 Textual Means and Textual Mismatcl	hes51
4.3 Summary	53 Chapter V 1	The Results of the Discussion:Tenor
	55 5.1 Author 's/Translator 's Personal Stance and Tempora	al/ Geographical/ Social Provenance
	55 5.1.1 Lexical Means and Mismatche	es
57 5.1.2 Syntactic and Te	extual Means and Mismatches60 5.2 Social	Roles Relationship
	.61 5.2.1 The Relationship between Author and Characters as we	ell as the Relationship between
Translator and Characters	62 5.2.2 The Relationship between Male and Femal	e Protagonists in ST and TT
		69
Chapter VI Conclusion	71 6.1Summary	y of Findings
71 6	5.2 Previous Studies and Present Study	74 6.3 Significance
	75 6.4 Limitations	76 6.5
Suggestions for Future Study	76 References	
77		

REFERENCES

References Baker, M. (1992). In other words. New York: Routledge. Bhatia, V. (1993). Analysing genre. Language use in professional settings. London: Longman. Crystal, D. and Davy, D. (1969). Investigating English style. London: Longman. Crystal, D. (1991). A dictionary of linguistics and phonetics. UK: Blackwell Publishers. Eggins, S. (1994). An Introduction to Systematic Functional Linguistics. London: Pinter. Gregory, M. and Carroll, S. (1978). Language and situation. Language varieties and their social contexts. London: Routledge. Halliday, M. A. K., (1978). Language as social semiotic. London: Edward Arnold. Halliday, M. A. K., & Hasan, R. (1989). Language, context, and text: aspects of language in a social-semiotic perspective. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Halliday, M. A. K., & Hasan, R. (1989). Spoken and written language. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Halliday, M. A.K., & Matthiessen, C. (2004). An introduction to functional grammar. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Halliday, M.A.K., & Yallop, C. (2007). Lexicology. Continuum: Cromwell Press. Hasan, R. (1978). Text in the Systemic-functional Model. In W.U. Dressler (Ed.) Current trends in text linguistics. Berlin: de Gruyter. Hatim, B. & Mason, I. (1997). The translator as communicator. London and New York: Routledge. House, J. (1997). Translation quality assessment: A Model Revisited. Tubingen: Narr. House, J. (2001). Translation Quality Assessment: Linguistic Description versus Social Evaluation. Meta: journal des traducteurs / Meta: Translators' Journal, vol. 46, 2001, p. 243-257. House, J. (2009). Translation. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Jiang, T. (2010). Juliane House 's Model of Translation Quality Assessment and Its Application to Tang Poetry Translation. Unpublished master thesis, School of Foreign Languages, Southeast University, China. Marco, J. (2001), Register analysis in literary translation; A function approach, Babel, 46.1; 1-19, Munday, J. (2001), Introducing translation studies. Theories and applications. New York: Routledge. Nord, C. (1991). Text Analysis in Translation: Theory, Methodology, and Didactic Application of a Model for Translation-Oriented Text Analysis, Amsterdam: Rodopi. Nuan, D. (June 2008). Exploring genre and register in contemporary English. English Today. 94, Vol.24 No.2 Schleiermacher, F. (1831/1992). On the different methods of translation. In R. Schutle and J. Biguenet (Eds.), Theories of Translation. Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press. Steiner, E. (2005). Halliday and translation theoryenhancing the options, broadening the range and keeping the ground. UK: Equinox Publishing Ltd. Wahl, G. R. (2005). The Bridges of Madison County and Iowa: Production, Reception, and Place. Diss. U of Maryland. Wu, F.F., Ju, W. X. & Yin, W. Q. (2011). 語域順應與小說對話翻譯的 研究—以《傲慢與偏見》人物對話為例 [The application of register analysis to dialogues' translation in the Chinese-translated novel: Pride and Prejudice]. Journal of Shanghai Business School 2011 (12). Retrieved December 18, 2012, from

http://d.g.wanfangdata.com.cn/Periodical_shsxyxb2011z1018.aspx Yu, F. Q., & Su, X. L. (2010). 基於語域理論的新聞翻譯研究 [The Study of News Translation on the Basis of Register Theory]. Journalism Lover 2010 (11). Retrieved December 10, 2012, from http://d.g.wanfangdata.com.cn/Periodical_xwehz-xby201011075.aspx