

A Study of the Relationship between Professional Diversity and Creativity

柳耀華、毛筱艷

E-mail: 375333@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

Due to strictly competition, individual or company with more creativity capability will be the searching target for current market. The most valuable will be the creativity power for individual person. But for paper to discuss about study of the relationship between professional diversity and creativity still like an virgin land, and the topic of multi-professional has been a driven trend in nowadays studies. So from certification, domain discipline, product design or project would emphasize the combination of the professional, in order to have a better capability about creativity. To get a further understanding about the effect about professional to creativity capability, we set professional(diversity) as independent variable, creativity as dependent variable to find out the relationship between them. We also set the precedent variable as the way for the professional was acquired (which includes domain discipline, certification, on job training and professional training) in order to predict the effect to the professional. We select the sampling and fully investigation and questionnaire to collect the data (from some divisions of a system integration company--- fully investigation ; some divisions of a system integration company, students from different departments, MRT company and HSR--- sampling). There are two different data collection activities(for the second one we adopt the multi-administration and the period is segmented by 3 weeks) and 590 samples were collected(355 samples--- for 1st one and 235 samples ---for 2nd one). Then these collected data were analysed by SPSS, in order to get the relationship between professional(diversity), concept combination and creativity. It shows from the result : 1. Support the hypothesis that the concept combination fully mediates professional(diversity) and creativity. 2. Support the hypothesis that professional(diversity) will improve the creativity. 3. On job training is the most effective way to get the professional for individual, but from the priority sequential investigation these four ways which presents very close percentage for each in 1st priority.

Keywords : Professional(Diversity)、Concept Combination、Creativity、Professional acquired way

Table of Contents

中文摘要	iii 英文摘要
v 誌謝辭	viii
vii 內容目錄	x 圖目錄
表目錄	xi 第一章 緒論
1 第一節 研究背景與動機	1 第
二節 研究問題	4 第三節 研究目的
7 第四節 研究的重要性	13 第二
9 第五節 研究範圍	35 第四
章 文獻探討	14 第一節 創意
14 第二節 專業	40 第五節 專業離散度與創意
28 第三節 概念組合	45 第三
42 第六節 專業獲得與專業能力	49 第一節 研究理論與架構
44 第七節 影響創意之因素	56 第一
章 研究假設	56 第二節 樣本與資料收集
49 第二節 研究假設	55 第三節 資料分析方法與資料架構
50 第四章 研究方法	64 第五
56 第二節 樣本與資料收集	67 第六節 信度與效度分析
55 第三節 資料分析方法與資料架構	71 第七節 相關分析
62 第四節 樣本基本特性	77 第一
67 第六節 信度與效度分析	74 第五章 實證結果

節 專業能力(離散度)與創意之關係	77	第二節 專業能力(離散度)與概念組合之關係	77	第三節 概念組合與創意之關係	81
. 79 第四節 專業能力(離散度)與概念組合、創意之關係	81				
專業數量、年資與專業能力(離散度)之關係	83				
. 84 第六章 結論與建議	84				
. 86 第一節 結論	87				
建議	87				
. 94 第三節 研究限制	94				
. 99 參考文獻	99				
. 101 附錄 訪調問卷	140				
表 4-1 樣本性別分佈	65				
. 表 4-2 樣本年齡分佈	65				
. 表 4-3 樣本教育程度分佈	67				
. 表 4-4 樣本任職於目前公司職位之工作年資分佈	67				
4-5 專業能力(離散度)因素分析表	69				
. 表 4-6 概念組合因素分析表	69				
. 表 4-7 創意因素分析表	70				
. 表 4-8 專業能力(離散度)量表之效度與信度分析	72				
概念組合量表之效度與信度分析	72				
. 表 4-9 創意量表之效度與信度分析	74				
. 表 4-10 變數之相關分析表	75				
. 表 5-1 專業能力(離散度)與創意之迴歸分析	78				
專業能力(離散度)與概念組合之迴歸分析	78				
. 表 5-2 概念組合與創意之迴歸分析	79				
. 表 5-3 專業能力(離散度)與概念組合、創意之迴歸分析	80				
. 表 5-4 專業能力(離散度)與概念組合、創意之迴歸分析	80				
. 表 5-5 適宜之專業數量分析	84				
專業年資分析	84				
. 表 5-6 適宜之專業關聯性分析	84				
. 表 5-7 適宜之專業關聯性分析	84				
. 表 5-8 專業獲得方式與專業關聯性分析	85				
元件理論簡圖	87				
. 圖 2-1 圖目錄 圖 2-1 元件理論簡述	87				
. 圖 2-2 元件理論簡述	25				
. 圖 3-1 研究架構圖	25				
. 圖 5-1 專業能力(離散度)與概念組合、創意之迴歸路徑係數分析圖	83				

REFERENCES

- 一、中文部分 毛筱艷、羅棋禎(2010.07) , 主管部屬交換關係、工作滿足與知識分享議院關係之研究 , 北商學報 , 第18期 , 61-78。邱皓政(2010) , 量化研究與統計分析:SPSS中文視窗版資料分析範例解析 , 台北:五南圖書。陳智華、謝梅芬(2011, 07, 03) , 考吳寶春寫寬與深 , 聯合報(台北連線) , A1版。苗君平(2011, 07, 12) , 水電商 愛要褲 讓女生站著尿尿 , 聯合報(台中) , A12版。謝安田(2006) , 企業研究方法 , 台北:著者發行。二、英文部分 Alexander, P. A. (1992). Domain knowledge:Evolving themes and emerging concerns. *Educational Psychologist*, 27(1), 33-51. Amabile, T. M. (1982). Children ' s artistic creativity. Detrimental effects of competition in a field setting. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 8, 573-578. Amabile, T. M. (1983). The social psychology of creativity : A componential conceptualization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 357-376. Amabile, T. M. (1987). The motivation to be creative. In S.G. Isaksen (Eds.). *Frontiers of creativity research:Beyond the basics*. Buffalo, NY : Bearly, 223-254. Amabile, T. M. (1988). A model of creativity and innovation in organization. In B.M. Staw & L.L. Cummings (Eds.) *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 10, 67-123. Greenwich, CT : JAI press. Amabile, T. M. (1996). Creativity in context:Update to the social psychology of creativity. Boulder, CO : Westview press. Amabile, T. M. (1997). Motivating creativity in organinions : On doing what you love and loving what you do. *California Management Review*, 40, 39-58. Amabile, T. M. (1998). How to kill crativity. *Harvard Business Review*, Sep.-Oct., 77-87. Amabile, T. M., Conti, R., Coon, H., Lazenby, J., & Herron, M. (1996). Assessing the work environment for creativity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(5), 1154 – 1184. Amabile, T. M., & Gryskiewicz, S. S. (1987). Creativity in the R&D laboratory. (Technical Report no. 30). Greensboro, NC:Center for Creative Leadership. Andrews, J., & Smith, D. C. (1996). In search of the marketing imagination : Factors affecting the creativity of marketing programs for mature products. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 33, 174-187. Andriopoulos, C. (2003). Six paradoxes in managing creativity:An embracing act. *Long Range Planning*, 36, 375-388. Aulakh, P. S. & Gencturk, E. F. (2000).International principal-agent relationships: control, governance and performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29, 521-538. Aviram, A., & Milgram, R. M. (1977). Dogmatism, locus of control, and creativity in children educated in the Soviet Union, the United States, and Israel. *Psychological Reports*, 40(1), 27-34. Bacdayan, P. (1996). Characterizing expert leaders of quality improvement tasks forces: Towards applying research on expertise to training design. *Academy of Management Proceedings '96*, 181-185. Baer, J. (1998). The case for domain specificity of creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, 11, 173-178. Balin, S. C. (1988). Achieving extraordiany end : An essay on creativity. DordrecKluwer Academic. Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological reserach:Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182. Barron, F. (1963).

Creativity and psychological health. New York:Van Nostrand. Barron, F. (1968). Creativity and personal freedom. New York:Van Nostrand.

Barron, F. & Harrington, D. M. (1981). Creative, intelligence, and personality. Annual Review of psychology, 32, 439-476. Bastick, T. (1982). Intuition:How we think and act. Wiley:New York. Baughman, W. A., & Mumford, M. D. (1995). Process-analytic models of creative capacities:Operations influencing the combination-and-reorganization process. *Creativity Research Journal*, 8, 37-62. Bell, D., Bussler, C., & Yang, J. (2006). The semantic web and web services. *Information Systems*, (31), 229-231. Bharadwaj, S. & Menon, A. (2000). A making innovation happen in organizations. *J Prod Innov manage*, 17(6), 424-434. Boden, M. A. (1998). Creativity and artificial intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence*, 103, 347-356. Bouchard, T. J. (1953). Personality, problem-solving procedures, and performance in small groups. *Journal of Applied Psychology Monograph* (53:1) , parts 2(Feb. 1953). Brennan, A., & Dooley, L. (2005). Networked creativity:A structured management framework for stimulating innovation. *Technovation*, 25, 1388-1399. Candy L. (1997). Computers and creativity support:Knowledge, visualization and collaboration. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 10, 3-13. Capozzi, M. M., Dye, R., & Howe, A. M. (2011). Sparking creativity in teams: An executive's guide. *Quarterly*, 2, 74-81. Carpenter, M. A., & Westphal, J. (2001). The strategic context of external network ties : Examining the impact of director appointments on board involvement in strategic decision making. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44, 639-660. Chase, W., & Simon, H. (1973). Perception in chess. *Cognitive Psychology*, 4, 55-81. Chi, M. T., Bassok, M., Lewis, M. W., Reimann, P., & Glaser, R. (1989). Self explanations:How students study and use examples in learning to solve problems. *Cognitive Science*, 13, 145-182. Chi, M. T., Glaser, R., & Rees, E. (1982). Expertise in problem solving, In *Advances in the psychology of human intelligence*. R. J. Sternberg(eds.). Hillsdale, NJ : Lawerence Erlbaum Associateves, Inc., 94-149. Choe, J. M. (2004). The relationships among management accounting information, organizational learning and production performance. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 13(1), 61-85. Christ, M. (1993). Evidence on the nature of audit planning problem representations : An examination of auditor free recalls. *The Accounting Review*, 68(2), 304-322. Cohen, B., & Murphy, G. L. (1984). Models of concepts. *Cognitive Science*, 8(1), 27-58. Cohen, M. D., & Bacdayan, P. W. (1994). Routines are stored as procedural memory: Evidence from a laboratory experiment. *Organizational Science*, 5(4), 554-568. Collins, A. M., & Loftus, E. F. (1975). " A spreading-activation theory of semantic processing. " *Psychological Review* (82), 407-428. Cooper, R. (2000). Information technology development creativity: A case study of attempted radical change. *MIS Quarterly*, 24 (2), 245-276. Costello, F. J., & Keane, M. T. (1997). Polysemy in conceptual combination: Testing the constraint theory of combination. In *Nineteenth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*. Hillside, NJ:Erlbaum. Costello, F. J., & Keane, M. T. (2000). Efficient creativity: Constraint-guided conceptual combination. *Cognitive Science*, 24(2), 299-349. Crouch, T. D. (1992). Why Wilbur and Orville ? Some thoughts on the Wright brothers and the process of invention. In *Inventive minds:Creativity in Technology*, R. J. Weber, & D. N. Perkins(eds.), New York:Oxford University Press, 80-92. Crutchfield, R. (1962). Conformity and creative thinking. In *Contemporary approaches to creative thinking*, H. Gruber, G. Terrell & M. Wertheimer(eds.), New York:Atherton, 120-140 Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1988a). Society, culture, and person:A systems view of creativity. In *The nature of creativity*, R. J. Sternberg(eds.) Cambridge University Press., 325-339. Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1988b). Solving a problem is not finding a new one:A reply to Simon. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 6, 183-186. De Bono, E. (1971). Lateral thinking for management. New York:McGraw-Hill. De Bono, E. (1992). Serious creativity:Using the power of lateral thinking to create new ideas. New York:HarperCollins. Dell ' Era, C., Buganza, T., Fecchio, C., & Verganti, R. (2011). Language brokering: Stimulating creativity during the concept development phase. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 20(1), 36-48. Dilli, Z. & Goldsman, N. (2004). Development and Implementation of a Multi-Professional Advanced Capstone Design Course. *IEEE*, 425-428. Dillion, J. T. (1982). Problem finding and solving. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 1, 97-111 Drazin, R., Glynn, M. A., & Kazanjian, R. K. (1999). Multilevel theorizing about creativity in organizations:A sensemaking perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 286-307. Dreyfus, H. K., Dreyfus, S. E., & Athanasion, T. (1986). Mind over machine: The power of human intuition and expertise in the era of the computer. New York: Free Press. Dukeck, S.Z. (1974). " Creativity in young children:Attitude or ability ? " *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 8, 282-292. Dul, J., Ceylan, C., & Jaspers, F. (2011). Knowledge workers' creativity and the role of the physical work environment. *Human Resource Management*, 50(6), 715-734. Eisenberger, R. & Shanock, L. (2003). Rewards, intrinsic motivation, and creativity:A case study of conceptual and methododloglyical isolation. *Creativity Research Journal*, 15(2/3), 121-131. Ericsson, K. A. (2001). Expertise in interpreting:An expert-performance perspective. *Interpreting*, 5, 187-220. Ericsson, K. A., Krampe, R. T., & Tesch-R?mer, C. (1993). The role of deliberate practice in the acquisition of expert performance. *Psychological Review*, 100, 363-406. Ericsson, K. A. & Charness, W. (1994). Expert performance:Its structure and acquisition. *American Psychologist*, 49, 725-747. Ericsson, K. A. & Crutcher, R. J. (1990). The nature of exceptional performance. In *Life-Span Development and Behavior*, P. B. Baltes, D. L. Featherman, & R. M. Lerner(eds.), Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 187-217. Estes, Z. & Ward, T. B. (2002). The emergence of novel attributes in concept modification. *Creativity Research Journal*, 14(2), 149-156. Eysenck, H. J. (1993). A theoretical perspective. *Psychological Inquiry*, 4, 147-178. Feist, G. J. (1998). A meta-analysis of personality in scientific and artistic creativity. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 2, 290-309. Feist, G. J. (1999). The influence of personality on artistic and scientific creativity. In *Handbook of creativity*, R. J. Sternberg(eds.), New York, NY:Cambridge University Press, 273-296. Feldhusen, J. F. & Goh, B. E. (1995). Assessing and assessing creativity:An integrative review of theory, research, and development. *Creativity Research Journal*, 8(3), 231-247. Finke, R. A. (1990). Creative imagery:Discoveries and inventions in visualization. Hillside, NJ:Erlbaum. Finke, R. A. (1995). Creative insight and preinventive forms. In *The nature of insight*. R. J. Sternberg, & J. E. Davidson(eds.), Cambridge, MA:MIT Press, 255-280. Finke, R. A., Ward, T. B., & Smith, S. M. (1992). Creative cognition : Theory, research, and applications. Cambridge, MA : MIT Press. Fischer, G., Giaccardi, E., Eden, H., Sugimoto, M., & Ye, Y. (2005). Beyond binary choices:Integration individual and social creativity. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 63, 482-512.

Fleishman, E. A., & Mumford, M. D. (1988). The ability requirements scales. In *The job analysis handbook for business, industry, and government*. S. Gale(eds.), New York:Wiley, 917-935. Frederiksen, N. (1984). Implication of cognitive theory for instruction in problem solving. *Review of Educational Research*, 43, 363-407. French, P. A. & Sternberg, R. J. (1989). Expertise and intelligent thinking:When is it worse to know better ? In *Advances in the psychology of human intelligence*, R. J. Sternberg(eds.) Hillside, NJ : Erlbaum, 157-158. Freud, S. (1959). The relation of the poet to day-dreaming. In *Collected papers*(4) London:Hogarth, 173-183. Gaerling, T. (1996). Processing of time constraints on sequence decisions in a planning task. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 6, 399-416. Gardner, H. (1993). *Frames of mind*. New York:Basic Book. Georgsdottir, A., Ameel, E., & Lubart, T. I. (2002). Cognitive flexibility and logical reasoning in school aged children. Paper presented at the XVIIth Biennial Meeting of the International Society for the Study of Behavioural Development(ISSBD), Ottawa, Canada. Gray, K. C., & Smith, E. E. (1995). The role of instance retrieval in understanding complex concepts. *Memory & Cognition*, 23, 665-674. Ghiselin, B. (1963). Ultimate criteria for two levels of creativity. In *Scientific creativity:Its recognition and development*. C. W. Taylor & F. Barron(eds.), New York:Wiley, 30-43. Gibson, H. (2005). What creativity isn ' t: The presumptions of instrumental and individual justifications for creativity in education. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 53(2), 148-167. Gilson, L. L., Mathieu, J. E., Shally, C. E., & Ruddy, T. M. (2005). " Creativity and standardization : Complementary or conflicting drivers of team effectiveness? " *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(3), 521-531. Glaser, R., & Chi, M. (1988). *The nature of Expertise*. Hillside, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum. Gielnik, M. M., Frese, M., Graf, J. M. & Kampschulte, A. (2012). Creativity in the opportunity identification process and the moderating effect of diversity of information, *Journal of Business Venturing*, 27, 559 – 576 Golann, S. E. (1962). The creativity motive. *Journal of Personality*, 30, 588-600. Goldenberg, J., Mazursky, D., & Solomon, S. (1999). " The Fundamental Templates of Quality Ads, " *Marketing Science*, 18(3), 333 – 351. Gore, A. K., Matsunaga, S., & Yeung, P. E. (2011). The role of technical expertise in firm governance structure : Evidence from chief financial officer contractual incentives. *Strategic Management Journal*, 32, 771-786. Gruber, H. E. (1981). *Darwin on man : A psychological study of scientific creativity*(2nd ed.) Chicago:University of Chicago press. Gruber, H. E. (1988). The evolving systems approach to creative work. *Creativity Research Journal*, 1, 27-51. Gruber, H. E. & Davis, S. N. (1988). Inching our way up Mount Olympus:The evolving-systems approach to creative thinking. In *The nature of creativity*, R. J. Sternberg(eds.) Cambridge University Press., 243-270. Gough, H. G. (1979). A creativity scale for the Adjective Check List. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37, 1398-1405. Guilford, J. P. (1950). *Creativity*. *American Psychologist*, 5, 444-454. Guindon, R. (1990). Designing the design process:exploiting opportunistic thoughts. *Human-Computer Interactions*, 5, 305-344. Halff, H. M., Holloan, J. D., & Hutchins, E. L. (1986). Cognitive science and military training. *American Psychologist*, 41, 131-139. Hammersley, J. (2006). Pattern identification and industr-specialist auditors. *The Accounting Review*, 81(2), 309-336. Hammond, K. J. (1990). Case-based planning:A framework for planning from experience. *Cognitive Science*, 14, 385-443. Hampton, J. A. (1987). Inheritance of attributes in natural concept conjunctions. *Memory and Cognition*, 15(1), 55-71. Hampton, J. A. (1997). Emergent attributes in combined concepts. In T. B. Ward, S. M. Smith, & J. Vaid(eds.), *Creative thought: An investigation of conceptual structures and processes*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 83-110. Harland, R. E., & Coren, S. (2000-2001). " Individual differences in divergent thinking as a function of variations in sensory status. " *Creativity Research Journal* (3&4), 385-391. Harrington, D. M. (1975). Effects of explicit instructions to be ' creative ' on the psychological meaning of divergent thinking test scores. *Journal of Personality*, 43, 434-454. Harrison, D. A., & Klein, K. J. (2007). What's the difference? Diversity constructs as separation, variety, or disparity in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 32, 1199-1228. Hemlin, S., & Olsson, L. (2011). Creativity-stimulating leadership:A critical incident study of leaders ' influence on creativity in research groups. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 20(1), 49-58. Hennessey, B. A. (2004). The social psychology of creativity:The beginnings of a multicultural perspective. In *Creativity:When east meets west*, S. Lau, N. N. A. Hui, & Y. C. G. Ng(eds.). Singapore:World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 341-392. Hemre, A. (2009). *Practice Makes Perfect: Growing Domain Expertise in Knowledge Intensive Enterprises*. Proceedings of the International Conference on Intellectual Capital, Knowledge Management & Organizational Learning. 132-138. Holyoak, K. J. (1984). Mental models in problem solving. In *Tutorials in learning and memory*, J. R. Anderson & K. M. Kosslyn(Eds.). New York : Freema. 193-218 Holyoak, K. J. (1990). Problem solving. In *An Invitation to Cognitive Science:Thinking*. D. N. Osherson, & E. E. Smith. Cambridge, MA:The MIT Press, 117-146. Hudson, L., & Jacot, B. (1986). The outsider in science. In C. Bagley & G. K. Verma (Eds.), *Personality, cognition and values*, London:Macmillan, 3-23. Ian, C. & James, A. (1995). Developing expertise through training. *Industrial & Commercial Training*, 27(2), 10-18. Jackson, M. (2005). Problem frames and software engineering. *Information and Software Technology*, 47(14), 903-912. Jehn, K. A., Northcraft, G. B., & Neale, M. A. (1999). Why differences make a difference : A field study of diversity, conflict, and performance in work-groups. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44, 741-763. Jin, L., & Gardner, H. (1993). How domains constrain creativity : The case of traditional western and Chinese painting. *The American Behavioral Scientist*, 37(1), 94-101. Johansson, F. (2004). The Medici effect: Breakthrough insights at the intersection of ideas, concepts and cultures. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press. Johar, G. V., Holbrook, M. B., & Stern, B. B. (2001). " The Role of Myth in Creative Advertising Design: Theory, Process and Outcome, " *Journal of Advertising*, 30(2), 1 – 25. Johnson, H. & Carruthers, L. (2006). Supporting creative and reflective processes. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 64, 998-1030. Joshi, A. & Roh, H. (2009). The role of context in work team diversity research : A analytic review. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52, 599-627. Kant, E. & Newell, A. (1984). Problem solving techniques for the design of algorithms. *Information Processing and Management*, 20(1-2), 91-118. Katz, A. N. & Poag, J. R.(1979). Sex differences in instructions to ' be creative ' on divergent and nondivergent test scores. *Journal of Personality*, 47, 518-530. Keil, F. C. (1981). Constraints on knowledge and cognitive development. *Psychological Review*, 88, 199-227. Kenny, D. A., Beashy, D. A., & Bolger, N. (1998). Data analysis in social psychology. In D. T. Gilbert, & S. T. Fiske(Eds.), *The handbook of social*

psychology, New York: McGraw-Hill, 233-265. Khushslani, A., Smith, R., & Howard, S. (1994). What happens when designers don't play by the rules:towards a model of opportunistic behaviour in design. Australian journal of Information Systems, 1(2), 13-31. Koestler, A. (1964). The act of creation. New York:Dell. Kolodner, J. (1993). Case-based reasoning. San Mateo, CA: Morgan-Kaufman. Kolodner, J. (1997). Educational implications of analogy:A view from case-based reasoning. American Psychologist, 52, 57-66. Kose, B. W., & Lim, E. Y. (2010). Transformative professional development: relationship to teachers' beliefs, expertise and teaching. International Journal of Leadership in Education, 13(4), 393-419. Kris, E. (1952). Psychoanalytic exploration in art. New York:International Universities Press. Kroll, M., Walters, B. A., & Wright, P. (2008). Board vigilance, director experience, and corporate outcomes. Strategic Management Journal, 29(4), 363-382. Kuhn, T. S. (1970). The structure of scientific revolutions (2nd ed.), Chicago:Univ. of Chicago Press. Kulkarni, D., & Simon, H. A. (1988). The processes of scientific discovery : The strategy of experimentation. Cognitive Science, 12, 139-175. Leavy, B. (2005). A leader ' s guide to creating a innovation culture. Strategy and Leadership, 33(4), 38-45. Lepak, D. P., & Snell, S. A. (2002). Examining the human resource architecture: The relationships among human capital, employment, and human resource configurations. Journal of Management, 28, 517-543. Libby, R. (1995). The role of knowledge and memory in audit judgement. In Judgement and Decision Making Research in Accounting and Auditing, R. Ashton, & A. Ashton, Cambridge, MA : Cambridge University Press. Libby, R., & Luft, J. (1993). Determinants of judgement performance in accounting settings : Ability, knowledge, motivation, and environment. Accounting, Organizations, and Society, 18(5), 425-450. Lipman-Blumen, J., & Leavitt, H. J. (1999). Hot groups "With attitude":A new organizational state of mind. Organizational Dynamics, 27(4), 63-73. Lopez, S. P., Peon, J. M. M., & Ordas, C. J. V. (2005). Organizational learning as a determining factor in business performance. The Learning Organization, 12(3), 227-24. Lopez-Cabrales, A., Perez-Luno, A., Cabrera, R. V. (2009). Knowledge as a mediator between HRM practices and innovative activity. Human Resource Management, 48, 485-503. Lubart, T. I. (1990). Creativity and cross-cultural variation. International Journal of psychology, 25, 39-59. Lubart, T. I. (1994). Creativity. In Thinking and Problem Solving, R. J. Sternberg(eds.), New York:Academic Press, 289-232. Lubart, T. I. (1999). Componential models of Creativity. In Encyclopedia of creativity, M. A. Runco, & S. Pritzker(eds.), New York:Academic Press, 295-300. Lubart, T. I. (2001). Models of the creative process:Past, present, and future. Creativity Reaserch Journal, 13, 295-308. Lubart, T. I., & Georgsdottir, A. (2004). Creativity:Developmental and cross-cultural issue. In Creativity:When east meets west, S. Lau, N. N. A. Hui, & Y. C. G. Ng(eds.), Singapore:World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 23-54. Lubart, T. I. & Sternberg, R. J. (1995). An investment approach to creativity:Theory and data. In The creative cognition approach, S. M. Smith, T. B. ward, & R. A. Finke(eds.), Cambridge, MA : MIT Press, 269-302. Lucassen, T., & Schraagen, J. M. (2011). Factual Accuracy and Trust in Information : The role of expertise. Journal of The American Society for Information Science and Technology, 62(7), 1232-1242. Mackinnon, D. W. (1965). Personality and the realization of creative potential. American Psychologist, 20, 273-281. Madjar, N. (2005). The contributions of different groups of individuals to employees ' creativity. Advances in Developing Human Resources, 7, 182-206. Madjar, N. (2008). Emotional and informational support sources and employee creativity. The British Psychological Society, 81, 83-100. Maizell, R. E. (1960). Information gathering patterns and creativity. American Documentation, 11, 9-17. Maker, C. J., Jo, S. & Muammar, O. M. (2008). Development of creativity:The influence of varying levels of implementation of the DISCOVER curriculum model, a non-traditional pedagogical approach. Learning and Individual Differences, 18, 402-417. Mannix, E., & Neale, M. A. (2005). What differences make a difference? The promise and reality of diverse teams in organizations. Psychological Science in the Public Interest. 6(2), 31-55. Martindale, C. & Dailey, A. (1996). Creativity, primary process cognition and personality. Personality and Individual Differences, 20(4), 409-414. Martinsen, O. (1993). Insight Problems revisited:The influence of cognitive styles and experience on creative problem solving. Creativity Research Journal, 6, 435-447. Maslow, A. (1968). Toward a psychology of being. New york:Van Nostrand. Mayer, R. E. (1992). Thinking, Problem-Solving. second eds. W.H. Freeman and Co., New York. McClelland, D. C., Atkinson, J. W., Clark, R. A., & Lowell, E. L. (1953). The achievement motive. New york:Appleton-Century-Crofts. McCrae, R. R. (1987). Creativity, divergent thinking, and openness to experience. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 52(6), 1258-1265. McCrae, R. R., Costa, P. T., Jr., & Busch, C. M. (1986). Evaluating comprehensiveness in personality systems: The California Q-Set and the five factor model. Journal of Personality, 54, 430-446. Mednick, S. A. (1962). The associative basis of the creative process. Psychological Review, 69, 220-232. Mendelsohn, G. A. (1976). Associative and attentional processes in creative performance. Journal of Personality, 44, 341-369. Miron, E., Erez, M., & Naveh, E. (2004). Do personal characteristics and cultural values that promote innovation, quality and efficiency compete or complement each other? Journal of Organizational Behavior, (25), 175-199. Mobley, M. I., Doares, L. M., & Mumford, M. D. (1992). Process analytic models of creative capacities:Evidence for the combination and reorganization process. Creativity Research Journal, 5, 125-155. Montuori, A. & Purser, R. E., (1999). Introduction. In Social creativity, A. Montuori & R. Purser(eds.), Cresskill, NJ:Hampton Press. Vol. 1, 1-45. Mumford, M. D. (2000). Managing creative people:Strategies and tactics for innovation. Human Resource Management Review, 10(3), 313-351. Mumford, M. D. (2002). Social innovation:Ten cases from Benjamin Franklin. Creativity Research Journal, 14, 253-266. Mumford, M. D., Baughman, W. A., Supinski, E. P., & Maher, M. A. (1996). Process-based measures of creative problem-solving skills : II. information encoding. Creativity Research Journal, 9(1), 77-88. Mumford, M.D., Baughman, W.A., Threlfall, K.V., Supinski, E.P., & Costanza, D.P. (1996). Process-Based Measures of Creative Problem-Solving Skills:I. Problem Construction. Creativity Research Journal, 9(1), 63-76. Mumford, M. D., & Gustafson, S. B. (1988). Creativity syndrome : Integration, application, and innovation. Psychological Bulletin, 103, 27-43. Mumford, M. D., Mobley, M. I., Uhlman, C. E., Reiter-Palmon, R. & Doares, L. M. (1991). Process analytic models of creative capacities. Creativity Research Journal, 4, 91-122. Mumford, M. D., Schultz, R. A., & Van Doorn, J. (2001). Performance in planning: Process, requirements, and errors. Review of General Psychology, 5, 213-240. Mumford, M. D., Supinski, E. P., Threlfull, K. V., & Baughman, W. A. (1996). Process-based measures of

creative problem-solving skills : III. category selection. *Creativity Research Journal*, 9, 395-406. Mumford, M. D., Baughman, W. A., Maher, M. A., Costanza, D. P., & Supinski, E. P. (1997). Process-based measures of creative problem-solving skills : IV. category combination. *Creativity Research Journal*, 10, 59-71. Mumford, M. D., Supinski, E. P., Baughman, W. A., Costanza, D. P., & Threlfull, K. V. (1997). Process-based measures of creative problem-solving skills : V. overall prediction. *Creativity Research Journal*, 10, 73-85. Mu?oz-Doyague, M. F., Gonz?lez-?lvarez, N., & Nieto, M. (2008). An examination of individual factors and employees' creativity: The case of Spain. *Creativity Research Journal*, 20(1), 21-33. Murphy, G. L. (1988). Comprehending complex concepts. *Cognitive Science*, 12(4), 529-562. Nguyen, L. & Shanks, G. (2003). Managing the requirements engineering process. *Requirements Engineering*, 8(1), 55-68. Nguyen, L. & Shanks, G. (2009). A framework for understanding creativity in requirements engineering. *Information and Software Technology*, 51, 655-662.. Nguyen, L., Swatman, P. A., & Shanks, G. (1999). Using design explanation within formal object-oriented method. *Requirements Engineering*, 4(3), 152-164. Niclas, S., & Karlheinz, S. (1998). Analysis and Training of Diagnostic Expertise in Complex Technical Domains. *European Journal of Work & Organizational Psychology*, 7(4), 479-498. Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The Knowledge Creating Company:How Japanese Companies Create the Dynasties of Innovation*. Oxford University Press, New York. Noy, P. (1969). A revision of the psychoanalytic theory of the primary process. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 50, 155-178. Nyilasy, G. & Reid, L. N. (2009). Agency practitioners ' meta-theories of advertising. *International Journal of Advertising*, 28(4), 639 – 668. Ochse, R. (1990). *Before the gates of excellence: The determinants of creative genius*. Cambridge University Press. Ohlsson, S. (1984). Restructuring revisited:Summary and critique of the Gestalt theory of problem solving. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 25, 65-78 Oliver, J. D. & Ashley, C. (2012). Creative Leaders ' Views on Managing Advertising Creativity, *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 20(3), 335 – 348. Osborn, A. F. (1953). *Applied imagination.(rev. ed.)*. New York:Scribner ' s. Osborn, A. F. (1979). *Applied Imagination:Principles and procedures of creative problem-solving.(3rd ed.)*, Charles Scribner ' s sons, New York. Pelled, L. H., Eisenhardt, K. M., & Xin, K. R. (1999). Exploring the black box : An analysis of work group diversity, conflict, and performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44, 1-28. Perkins, D. N. (1986). Thinking frames. *Educational Leadership*, 43, 4-10. Perkins, D. N. (1992). The topography of invention. In *Inventive minds:Creativity in technology*. R. J. Weber & D. N. Perkins(eds.), New York:Oxford University Press, 238-250. Perry-Smith, J. E., & Shalley, C. E. (2003). The social side of creativity : A static and dynamic social network perspective. *Academy of review*, 28, 89-106. Pohl, K. (1994). Three dimensions of requirement engineering:a framework and its application. *Information Systems*, 19(3), 243-258. Polya, G. (1957). *How to Solve it:A New Aspect of Mathematical Method.(2nd ed.)*, Doubleday Anchor Books, New York. Puccio, G. J., Firestien, R. L. Coyle, C., & Masucci, C. (2006). A review of the effectiveness of CPS training: A focus on workplace issues. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 15, 19-33. Qin, Y., & Simon, H. A. (1990). Laboratory replication of scientific discovery processes. *Cognitive Sciences*, 14, 281-312. Redmond, M. R., Mumford, M. D., & Teach, R. J. (1993). Putting creativity to work:Leader influences on subordinate creativity. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 55, 120-151. Reiser, B. J., Abelson, R. P., & Schank, R. C. (1985). Thematic knowledge structures in the understanding and generation of narratives. *Discourse Processes*, 68, 357-389. Rice, G. (2006). Individual values, organizational context, and self-perceptions of employee creativity:Evidence from Egyptian organizations. *Journal of Business Research*, 59, 233-241. Robillard, P. N. (2005). Opportunistic problem solving in software engineering. *IEEE Software*, 60-67. Rogers, C. R. (1954). Toward a theory of creativity. *ETC:A Review of General Semantics*, 11, 249-260. Rose, J., Rose, A., & Mckay, B. (2007). Measurement of knowledge structures acurred through instruction experience, and decision aid use. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 8(2), 118-137. Rose, J. M., Mckay, B. A., Norman, C. S., & Rose, A. M. (2012). Designing decision aids to promote the developement of expertise. *Journal of Information Systems*, 26(1), 7-34. Rothenberg, A. (1979). *The emerging goddess*. Chicago:University of Chicago Press. Rothenberg, A. (1986). Artistic creation as simulated by superim-posed versus combined-composite visual images. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50, 370-381. Rothenberg, A. & Hausman, C. R. (1976). *The creativity question*. Durham, NC:Duke University Press. Runco, M. A., & Vega,L. (1990). Evaluating the creativity of children ' s ideas. *Journal of Social Behavior and Personality*, 5, 439-452. Sak, U., & Maker, C. J. (2006). Developmental variation in children ' s creative mathematical thinking as a function of schooling, age and knowledge. *Creativity Research Journal*, 18(3), 279-291. Santanen, E. C., Briggs, R. O., & Vreede, G. D. (2003). The Impact of Stimulus Diversity on Creative Solution Generation:An Evaluation of the Cognitive Network Model of Creativity. *Proceedings of the 36th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. Scott, G., Leritz, L. E., & Mumford, M. D. (2004). The effectivness of creativity training: A quantitative review. *Creativity Research Journal*, 16, 361-388. Scott, G. M., Lonergan, D. C., & Mumford, M. D. (2005). Conceptual combination