

提供單節點故障保護之多播傳輸樹建構

連翊傑、黃鈴玲

E-mail: 360006@mail.dyu.edu.tw

摘要

近年來由於網路的普及化，網路傳輸的品質與可靠性日漸重要。在網路拓樸上，為了減少網路節點發生壞損所造成的影響，並在網路通信及資訊安全方面實現較高的可靠性，因此網路節點的保護研究是必需的。針對多播傳輸時的節點壞損保護問題，Wang [1]提出了一個在建構傳輸樹時同時建構冗餘樹(Redundant Tree)的方法RT-SNP，以便在單節點壞損時能迅速修復傳輸樹，但是RT-SNP在建構傳輸樹及冗餘樹時，所建構的樹都使用了網路拓樸上所有的節點，完全不考慮多播傳輸來源節點與目的節點的位置，這會造成傳輸時間上的延遲和網路資源的浪費。因此，本篇論文中我們提出了部份冗餘樹結合單點保護的演算法PRT-SNP，在指定資料發送的來源端後，建構的傳輸樹及冗餘樹僅需要連結到所有的目的端即可，不需將樹建滿整個網路拓樸；當單節點壞損狀況發生時，再適當地從冗餘樹擷取部分路徑修復傳輸樹以達成保護的功能。模擬結果顯示，在發生壞點前與發生壞點後，PRT-SNP的傳輸樹皆有較低的傳輸延遲及較佳的網路資源使用率。

關鍵詞：多播傳輸、冗餘樹、單一節點壞損保護

目錄

中文摘要 iii ABSTRACT iv 誌謝 v 目錄 vi 圖目錄 viii 表目錄 x 第一章 簡介 1 1.1研究背景 1 1.2研究動機及目的 2 第二章 相關研究 4 2.1冗餘樹演算法 4 2.2單一節點保護演算法 7 第三章 部分冗餘樹及保護演算法 10 3.1部分冗餘樹演算法 11 3.2保護演算法 13 3.3 RT-SNP與PRT-SNP的效能比較 14 第四章 模擬環境與實驗結果 21 4.1模擬環境介紹 21 4.2實驗結果 22 第五章 結論 29 參考文獻 30

參考文獻

- [1] S. Wang, C. He, Y. Zhang, and G. Feng, “Construction of Multicast Protection Tree Based on Single Node Failure,” International Conference on Communications and Mobile Computing, vol. 2, pp.202-206, 2010.
- [2] S.Gangxiang and W. D. Grover, “Extending the p-cycle concept to path segment protection for span and node failure recovery,” IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1306- 1319, 2003.
- [3] M. Medard, S. G. Finn, R. A. Barry, and R. G. Gallager, “Redundant Trees for Preplanned Recovery in Arbitrary Vertex Redundant or Edge Redundant Graphs,” IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, vol.7, no. 5, pp. 641 – 652, Oct. 1999.
- [4] G. Xue, L. Chen, and K. Thulasiraman, “Quality-of-Service and Quality-of-Protection Issues in Preplanned Recovery Schemes Using Redundant Trees,” IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 21,no. 8, pp. 1332 – 1345, Oct. 2003.
- [5] W. Zhang, G. Xue, J. Tang, and K. Thulasiraman, “Faster Algorithms for Construction of Recovery Trees Enhancing QoS and QoS,” IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 642-655, June 2008.
- [6] G. Jayavelu, S.Ramasubramanian, and O. Younis, “Maintaining Colored Trees for Disjoint Multipath Routing Under Node Failures,” IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, vol. 17, no. 1, pp.346-359, Feb. 2009.
- [7] T. Cicic, A. F. Hansenand, and O. K. Apeland, “Redundant Trees for Fast IP Recovery,” Fourth International Conference on Broadband Communications, Networks and Systems, pp. 152-159, 2007.
- [8] A. Haider and R. Harris, “Recovery Techniques in Next Generation Networks,” IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 2-17, 2007.
- [9] K. Bharath-Kumar and J. Jaffe, “Routing to multiple destinations in computer networks,” IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 343 – 351, 1983.
- [10]A. Banerjea, C. J. Parris, and D. Ferrari, “Recovering guaranteed performance service connections from single and multiple faults,” IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference: Communications, The Global Bridge, vol. 1, pp. 162 – 166, 1994.
- [11]Y. Bejerano and P. V. Koppol, “Optimal Construction of redundant Multicast Trees in Directed Graphs,” IEEE International Conference on Computer Communications, pp. 2696-2700, 2009.
- [12]W. Chen, D. Jin, and L. Zeng, “Distributed switching mechanism for improving QoS in single link recovery trees,” Journal of Tsinghua University (Science and Technology), vol. 47, no. 10, pp.1646-1649, 2007.
- [13]A. Fei, J.-H. Cui, M. Gerla, and D. Cavendish. “A Dual-Tree Scheme for Fault-Tolerant Multicast,” IEEE International Conference on

