A study on the unemployment hysteresis in the US states

吳明村、劉文祺

E-mail: 324866@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

The unemployment was a significant signal to measure the entire economic activity. Besides, its long-term tendency value was related to the effectiveness of the government 's policy. Therefore, there was a controversy over natural unemployment rate hypothesis and magnetic lag hypothesis. The study was based on the monthly unemployment in the United States and District of Columbia. The duration of the study was from January, 1976 to January, 2010. The study explored the unemployment in the United States, analyzed its mean value, standard deviation, margin of fluctuation, and the pattern of the allotment. And it determined whether there was a magnetic lag effect in the United States based on the statistical data, as a reference for the policy-makers. During the time, the events included the oil shock event caused by the Iran and Iraq war in September, 1980, the serious problem of the inflation in the U.S. during 1981 to 1982, the froth of the Internet in 2000, the credit crisis of the finance houses from 2007, the credit crisis of the secondary house credit, and a succession of the Financial Tsunami crisis after the bankruptcy of Brother Leiman 's company in 2008. The unemployment population emerged in larger numbers. The unemployment rates were increasing. Finally, the unemployment was observed based on the results of two panel LM unit root tests, including IPS and MW. On the whole, the unemployment rates in the United Sates conformed to the natural unemployment rates. That is, the government did not have to excessively intervene the policy, and the unemployment rates would go back to the mean.

Keywords: Unemployment Rates, Hysteresis Effect, Panel Unit Root Test

Table of Contents

內 容 目 錄 中文摘要........................ii 英文摘要....................
........iii 誌謝辭....................... iv 內容目錄.............
.......... v 表目錄..................... vi 圖目錄.........
............. vii 第一章 緒論......................1 第一節 研究動機與
目的
根檢定
第三節 PP非參數單根檢定法21 第四節 IPS單根檢定法
第三節 PP非參數單根檢定法.........21 第四節 IPS單根檢定法..........................22 第五節 MW單根檢定法............25 第四章 美國各州失業率的實證分析....
. 22 第五節 MW單根檢定法
.22 第五節 MW單根檢定法.............25 第四章 美國各州失業率的實證分析....27 第一節 資料來源與分析............27 第二節 單根檢定的結果.....
. 22 第五節 MW單根檢定法

REFERENCES

參考文獻一、中文部分 林瓊香、李秀雲(2001),東亞國家失業率的panel單根檢定,東吳經濟學術研討會。 林瓊香(2004),東亞國家失業率之磁滯性探討,東吳大學經濟 學系研究所未出版之博士論文。 黃柏農(1993),滯留期數與移動平均項次對ADF與PP單根檢定法的影響-使用Monte Carlo模擬分析,經濟論文,21(1),117-149。 黃淑卿(2003),OECD國家磁滯性失業之實證研究,國立中正大學國際經濟研究所未出版之博士論文。 楊奕農(2009),時間序列分析-經濟與財務上之應用(第二版),台北:雙葉書廊。 二、英文部分 Barro, R. (1988), "The Persistence of Unemployment," American Economic Review, 78, 32-37. Blanchard, O and L. Summers (1986), "Hysteresis and the European Unemployment Problem," NBER Macroeconomics Annual, 1, 15-78. Blanchard, O. J., and L. H. Summers (1987), "Hysteresis in Unemployment," European Economic Review, 31, 288-295. Blanchard, O. J. and D. Quah (1989), "The Dynamic Effects of Aggregate Demand and Supply Disturbances," American Economic Review, 79, 655-673. Brunello, G. (1990), "Hysteresis and the Japanese Unemployment Problem: Apreliminary Investigation," Oxford Economic Papers, 42, 483-500. Breuer, J. B., R. McNown, and M. S. Wallace (2001), "Misleading Inferences from Panel Unit-Root Tests with an Illustration from Purchasing Power Parity," Review of International

Economics, 9(3), 482-493. Chang, T., K. C. Lee, C. C. Nieh, and C. C. Wei (2005), "An Empirical Note on Testing Hysteresis in Unemployment for Ten European Countries: Panel SURADF Approach, "Applied Economics Letters, 12, 881-886. Dickey, D. A. and W. A. Fuller (1979), "Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregression Time Series with a Unit Root," Journal of American Statistical Association, 74, 427-432. Enders, W. (2004), "Applied Econometric Time Series," New York: John willey & Sons, Inc. . Fisher, R. A. (1932), "Statistical Methods for Research Workers, "Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh 4th Ed. Fuller, W. A. (1976), "Introduction to Statistical Time Series," New York, Wiley. Granger, C. and P. Newbold (1974), "Spurious Regressions in Econometrics," Journal of Econometrics, 2, 111-120, Hadri, K. (2001), "Testing for Stationarity in Heterogeneous Panel Data, " Econometrics Journal, 3, 148-161. Im, K. S., M. H. Pesaran, and Y. Shin (2003), " Testing for Unit Roots in Heterogeneous Panels, "Journal of Econometrics, 115, 53-74. Jaeger, A.and M. Parkinson (1994), "Some Evidence on Hysteresis in Unemployment Rates, " European Economic Review, 38, 329-342. Lee, C., C. Chang, and P. Chen (2008), " Unemployment Hysteresis in OECD Countries: Centurial Time Series Evidence with Structural Breaks, " Economic Modelling, 312-325. Lee, J. D., C. C. Lee, and C. P. Chang (2009), "Hysteresis in Unemployment Revisited: Evidence from Panel LM Unit Root Tests with Heterogeneous Structural Breaks," Bulletin of Economic Research, 61(4), 325-334. Lee, C. F. (2010), "Testing for Unemployment Hysteresis in Nonlinear Heterogeneous Panels: International Evidence, " Economic Modelling, 27(5), 1097-1102, Leo'n-Ledesma, M. A. (2002), " Unemployment hysteresis in the US States and the EU: A Panel Approach, "Bulletin of Economic Research, 52, 95-103. Levin, A. and C. F. Lin (1992), "Unit-Root Test in Panel Data: Asymptotic and Finite Sample Properties, "University of California at San Diego, working paper. Leybourne, S. J. and P. Newbold (2000), "Behavior of the Standard and Symmetric Dickey-Fuller-Type Tests When There Is a Break under the Null Hypothesis," Econometrics Journal, 3, 1-15. Mackinnon, J. C. (1991), "Critical Values for Cointegration Test," University of California at San Diego, Working Paper. Maddala, G. S. and S. Wu (1999), "A Comparative Study of Unit Root Tests with Panel Data and a New Simple Test," Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 61, 631-652. Magnus, G. and O". Pa"r (2007), "Does Unemployment Hysteresis Equal Employment Hysteresis? Economic Record, 83 (261), 159-173. Mitchell, W. F. (1993), "Testing for Unit Roots and Persistence in OECD Unemployment Rates," Applied Economics, 25, 1489-1501. Nelson, C. R. and C. I. Plosser (1982), "Trends and Random Walks in Macroeconomic Time Series: Some Evidence and Implications, "Journal of Monetary Economics, 10, 139-162. O'Connell, S. and S. Zeldes (1988), "Rational Ponzi Games," International Economic Review, 29, 431-450. Papell, D. H., C. J. Murray, and H. Ghiblawi (2000), "The Structure of Unemployment," The Review of Economics and Statistics, 82, 309-315. Perron, P. (1989), "The Great Crash, the Oil Price Shock and the Unit Root Hypothesis," Econometrica, 57(6), 1361-1401. Phillips, P. C. B. (1987), "Time Series Regression with A Unit Root," Econometrica, 55(2), 277-301. Phillips, P. C. B. and P. Perron (1988), "Testing for a Unit Root in Time Series Regression," Biometrika, 75, 335-346. Said, S. E. and D. A. Dickey (1984), "Testing for Unit Roots in Autoregressive-Moving Average Models of Unknown Order, "Biometrika, 71, 599-608. Song, F. M. and Y. Wu (1997), "Hysteresis in Unemployment: Evidence from 48 U.S. States," Economic Inquiry, 35, 235-243. Song, F. M. and Y. Wu (1998), "Hysteresis in Unemployment: Evidence from OECD Countries, " The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 38, 181-192. Summers, L. (1986), "Why is the Unemployment Rate So High Near Full Employment?" Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 17(2), 339-383. Taylor, M. and L. Sarno (1998) "The Behaviour Ofreal Exchanges during the Post-Bretton Woodsperiod," Journal of International Economics, 46, 281 – 312. Chang T., M. J. Yang, H. C. Liao, and C. H. Lee (2007), "Hysteresis in Unemployment: Empirical Evidence from Taiwan's Region Data Based on Panel Unit Root Tests, "Applied Economics, 39(10), 1335-1340. Zivot, E. and D. W. K. Andrew (1992), "Further Evidence on the Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and the Unit Root Hypothesis, " Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, 10, 251-270.