

The Relationship Study on the Leadership Behavior of University Basketball Coach to the Sportsman Confidence and Anxiety

徐寶發、陳景元

E-mail: 321878@mail.dyu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

This study aims to explore how coach leadership of senior University basketball teams has its impact on athletes' self-confidence and anxiety. The study results show: 1. Players perceived and preferred paternalistic leadership, and the perception of paternalistic leadership coach, player self-confidence with positive correlation 2. Players perceived moral leadership, moral leadership preferred players, coaches perceived moral leadership, benevolent leadership perceived coach, player preferences and perception of the moral leadership consistency, players and coaches like the same perception of the moral leadership sexual and somatic anxiety were negatively correlated. 3. Players perceived authoritarian leadership, players perceived moral leadership, players perceived benevolent leadership, players like the authoritarian leadership, benevolent leadership preferred players, players like the benevolent leadership, players like consistency and perceived authoritarian leadership, Players like consistency and perceived moral leadership, players like benevolent leadership and perceived consistency, players and coaches perceived preference consistency of authoritarian leadership, players and coaches perceived preference consistency in moral leadership, players and coaches perceived preferences benevolent leadership of consistency, was positively correlated with worry worry. 4. Players perceived moral leadership, moral leadership preferred players, coaches perceived moral leadership, players like consistency and perceived moral leadership, and attention were negatively correlated. Key Words: paternalistic leadership, self-confidence, anxiety

Keywords : paternalistic leadership、self-confidence、anxiety

Table of Contents

| | | | |
|---|-----|--|-----|
| 內容目錄 中文摘要 | iii | 英文摘要 | iii |
| iv 誌謝辭 | vi | 內容目錄 | vi |
| vii 表目錄 | ix | 圖目錄 | ix |
| x 第一章 緒論 | 1 | 第一節 研究背景與動機 | 1 |
| 1 第二節 研究目的 | 2 | 第三節 研究問題 | 2 |
| 3 第四節 研究範圍 | 4 | 第五節 研究限制 | 4 |
| 4 第六節 名詞解釋 | 4 | 第二章 文獻探討 | 4 |
| 8 第一節 領導相關理論 | 8 | 第二節 教練領導行為與運動員自信心 | 8 |
| 27 第三節 教練領導行為與運動員焦慮 | 37 | 第三章 研究方法 | 42 |
| 第一節 研究架構 | 42 | 第二節 研究流程 | 42 |
| 第三節 研究假設 | 43 | 第四節 研究工具 | 43 |
| 44 第五節 操作型定義 | 45 | 第六節 研究對象與抽樣實施 | 45 |
| 47 第七節 資料處理與分析 | 47 | 第四章 結果與討論 | 47 |
| 49 第一節 研究樣本基本資料 | 49 | 第二節 選手知覺教練領導行為、選手喜好教練領導行為、自信心、焦慮、教練知覺教練領導行為現況分析 | 52 |
| 54 第四節 選手知覺教練領導行為、選手喜好教練領導行為、教練知覺教練領導行為對自信心及焦慮之影響 | 57 | 第三節 選手知覺教練領導行為、選手喜好教練領導行為、自信心、焦慮及教練知覺教練領導行為相關性分析 | 54 |
| 57 第五章 結論與建議 | 62 | 第四節 選手知覺教練領導行為、選手喜好教練領導行為、教練知覺教練領導行為對自信心及焦慮之影響 | 57 |
| 62 第二節 建議 | 65 | 參考文獻 | 65 |
| 67 表目錄 表 2- 1 國外學者對「領導」定義整理 | 9 | 表 2- 2 國內學者對「領導」定義整理 | 9 |
| 9 表 2- 3 運動情境領導模式理論 | 14 | 表 2- 4 華人企業的家長式領導研究 | 19 |
| 19 表 2- 5 領導行為與部屬反應表 | 21 | 表 2- 6 運動領域有關家長式領導的研究 | 22 |
| 22 表 2- 7 國內外運動員信心相關研究 | 31 | 表 4- 1 選手基本資料 | 50 |
| 31 表 4- 1 選手基本資料 | 50 | 表 4- 2 選手知覺教練領導行為分析摘要表 | 52 |
| 50 表 4- 2 選手知覺教練領導行為分析摘要表 | 52 | 表 4- 3 選手喜好教練領導行為分析摘要表 | 53 |
| 52 表 4- 3 選手喜好教練領導行為分析摘要表 | 53 | 表 4- 4 選手自信心及焦慮分析摘要表 | 53 |
| 53 表 4- 4 選手自信心及焦慮分析摘要表 | 54 | 表 4- 5 教練知覺教練領導行為分析摘要表 | 54 |
| 54 表 4- 5 教練知覺教練領導行為分析摘要表 | 56 | 表 4- 6 相關分析摘要表 | 56 |
| 56 表 4- 6 相關分析摘要表 | | 表 4- 7 選手知覺教練領 | |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 導行為對自信心及焦慮迴歸分析表 57 | 表 4-8 選手喜好教練領導行為對自信心及焦慮迴歸分析表 58 | 表 4-9 教練知覺領導行為對自信心及焦慮迴歸分析表 59 | 表 4-10 選手喜好領導行為與選手知覺領導之一致性對自信心與焦慮之影響迴歸分析表 60 | 表 4-11 選手喜好領導與教練知覺領導行為之一致性對自信心與焦慮之影響迴歸分析表 61 |
| 圖目錄 | 圖 2-1 多元領導理論架構圖 16 | 圖 2-2 倒U型理論圖 28 | 圖 2-3 Vealey 運動自信心架構圖 30 | 圖 2-4 Martens 運動競賽焦慮產生過程圖 39 |
| | 圖 3-1 研究架構圖 42 | 圖 3-2 研究流程圖 43 | | |

REFERENCES

- 參考文獻 一、中文部份 王耀聰(1997), 領導理論與運動教練領導行為的探討, 中華體育季刊, 10(4), 12-20. 吳素卿(2001), 足球選手目標取向、知覺運動動機氣候與運動自信心來源之相關研究, 國立體育學院教練研究所未出版之碩士論文。周俊三(2002), 台灣與中國大陸籃球選家家長式領導、動機氣候、團隊凝聚力與團隊滿意關係之研究, 國立體育學院教練研究所未出版之碩士論文。林宜螢(2002), 高中射箭選手目標取向、自覺能力、自我設限、自信心及成敗歸因之研究, 國立體育學院教練研究所未出版之碩士論文。高三福(2001), 團隊文化與教練領導:質化與量化兩種研究途徑, 國立臺灣師範大學體育研究所未出版之博士論文。張春興(1998), 張氏心理學辭典, 台北:東華書局。許啞豪(1998), 意象訓練對擊劍運動員注意力、運動自信心、賽前狀態性焦慮及運動表現之影響, 國立體育學院教練研究所未出版之碩士論文。郭香雲(2003), 團隊文化與運動員特徵對運動自信心來源的影響, 國立台北市立體育學院運動科學研究所未出版之碩士論文。陳鈺芳(2004), 教練領導、領導信任及運動員效能:多元領導模式驗證, 國立臺灣師範大學體育研究所未出版之碩士論文。廖文男(2003), 探討大專棒球選手的目標取向、知覺動機氣候與運動自信心來源之相關研究, 國立台北市立體育學院運動科學研究所未出版之碩士論文。樊景立, 鄭伯壘(2000), 家長式領導:一項文化觀點的分析, 本土心理學研究, 13(2), 127-180。鄭伯壘(1995a), 不同家長權威價值與領導作風的關係:台灣民營企業的實證研究(國科會, NO. NSC82-0406-J-120-050), 台北:行政院國家科學委員會。鄭伯壘(1995b), 差序格局與華人組織文化, 本土心理學研究, 3(1), 142-219。鄭志富(1997), 運動教練領導行為, 台北:師大書苑。鄭志富(1997), 運動教練領導行為取向, 中華體育, 41(4), 22-31。二、英文部分 Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavior change. *Psychological Review*, 84(4), 191-215. Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: a social cognitive theory*. Englewood cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall. Caserta, R. J. (2002). *Sport-confidence as related to performance in male and female tennis players*. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Springfield, Massachusetts. Cattell, R. B., & Scheier, J. H. (1961). *The meaning and measurement of neuroticism and anxiety*. New York: Ronald. Chelladurai, P. (1993). *Handbook of research on sport psychology*. New York: Macmillan. Chelladurai, P., & Haggerty, T. R. (1978). A normative model of decision style in coaching. *Athletic Administration*, 13(1), 6-9. Feltz, D. L. (1988). Self-confidence and sports performance. In K. B. Pandolf (Ed.), *Exercise and Sport Sciences Review* (pp. 423-457). New York: Mac Millan. Fiedler, F. E. (1967). *A theory of leadership effectiveness*. New York: McGraw-Hill. Kenow, L., & Williams, J. M. (1999). Coach-athlete compatibility and athlete's perception of coaching behaviors. *Journal of Sport Behavior* Mobileala, 2(1), 251-259. Landers, D. M., Han, M. W., Salazar, W., Petruzzello, S. J., Kubitz, K. A., & Gannon, T. L. (1994). Effects of learning on electroencephalographic and electrocardiographic patterns in novice archers. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 25(2), 313-330. Maddux, J. E. (1995). Self-efficacy theory: an introduction. In J. E. Maddux (Ed.), *Self-efficacy, adaptation, and adjustment: Theory, research, and application* (pp. 3-33). New York: Plenum. Mahoney, M. J., Gabriel, T. J., & Perkins, R. S. (1987). Psychological skills and exceptional athletic performance. *Journal of Sport Psychologist*, 10(1), 181-199. Martens, R. (1977). *Sport competition anxiety test*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics. Martens, R., Burton, D., Vealey, R., Bump, L., & Smith, D. (1990). The development of the competitive state anxiety inventory. In R. Martens & R. S. Burton (Eds.), *Competitive anxiety in sport* (pp. 117-190). Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics Publishers. Martens, R., Vealey, R. S., & Burton, D. (1990). *Competitive anxiety in sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics. Ng, J. K., Cheung, S. Y., & Fung, L. (2001). Role of trait sport-confidence and state sport-confidence in affecting competitive track and field performances. *Journal of the International Council for Health Physical Education Recreation Sport and Dance*, 37(3), 35-38. Perry, J. D., & Williams, J. M. (1998). Relationship of intensity and direction of competitive trait anxiety to skill level and gender in tennis. *The Sport Psychologist*, 12(1), 169-179. Shunk, D. H. (1995). Self-efficacy and education and instruction. In J. E. Maddux (Ed.), *Self-efficacy, adaptation, and adjustment: Theory, research, and application* (pp. 281-303). New York: Plenum. Smith, R. E. (1989b). Conceptual and statistical issues in research involving multidimensional anxiety scales. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 4(1), 452-457. Smoll, S., & Smith, R. E. (1989). Leadership behaviors in sport: A theoretical model and a research paradigm. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 19(1), 1522-1551. Smoll, F. L., Smith, R. E., & Curtis, B. (1978). Toward a mediational model of coach-player relationships. *Research Quarterly*, 49(1), 528-541. Stogdill, R. M. (1984). Personal factors associated with leadership: survey of literature. *Journal of Sport Psychology*, 25(1), 35-71. Weinberg, R. S., Grove, R., & Jackson, A. (1992). Strategies for building self-efficacy in tennis players: A comparative analysis of American and Australian coaches. *The Sport Psychologist*, 6(2), 3-13.