

模糊風險評估及其在重大環境考量面之鑑別

柯智元、陳宜清、劉豐瑞

E-mail: 321430@mail.dyu.edu.tw

摘要

環境風險評估即為環境評估的風險化，可視為環境評估工作的核心，這些評估工作可以包含環境影響評估(Environmental Impact Assessment)、環境考量面鑑別(Identification of Environmental Aspects)、生命週期評估(Life Cycle Assessment)等。本研究根據英國環境食品農業事務部定義之環境風險評估的五個步驟(危害鑑定、後果鑑定、後果規模的估算、後果發生機率估計與風險顯著性評估)，進而提出一個具有七個步驟的模糊風險評估系統：(1)問題描述：包含鑑別危害來源、受體與危害對於受體造成之效應與損害，在此可以援引生命週期衝擊評估之概念來協助釐清危害來源、受體與效應之因果關係；(2)危害嚴重性評估：評估者根據主觀經驗來進行評估，因此以模糊邏輯(Fuzzy Logic)來模擬之；(3)評估危害之發生機率：發生之機率值如果無法取得詳實的統計資料時，以模糊機率(Fuzzy Probability)處理之；(4)評估受體曝露於危害效應之機率：同時考慮危害造成效應與受體受到效應的機率，該機率值如果無法取得詳實的統計資料時，以模糊機率處理之；(5)評估受體因為曝露危害造成損害發生之機率：發生之機率值如果無法取得詳實的統計資料時，以模糊機率處理之；(6)評估損害之風險：利用模糊之四則運算之頂點法(Vertex Method)將上述之各項評估直整合計算成一風險值；(7)評估風險之顯著性：風險之顯著性以多準則多關聯綜合評估法(Multi-criteria and Multi-connection Comprehensive Assessment, MCCA)來輔助決策。最後，以一塑膠工廠之衝擊評估與一土石方處理工廠之環境考量面鑑別為案例，來說明本模糊風險評估的可行性。

關鍵詞：模糊風險評估、生命週期評估、模糊邏輯、多準則多關聯綜合評估法

目錄

封面內頁 簽名頁 授權書iii 中文摘要iv 英文摘要vi 誌謝vii 目錄viii 圖目錄x 表目錄vi 第一章 緒論1 1.1研究動機1 1.2研究目的7 1.3研究流程8 第二章 文獻回顧10 2.1 ISO 14001環境管理系統10 2.2環境考量面鑑別與評估方法之文獻11 2.3模糊方法應用環境風險評估之相關文獻14 2.4模糊風險評估方法之相關文獻23 第三章 研究方法27 3.1問題描述30 3.2危害嚴重性評估(S1)32 3.2.1 模糊邏輯32 3.2.2 以模糊推理進行危害嚴重性評估(S)39 3.3評估危害之發生機率(P1)43 3.4評估受體曝露於危害效應之機率(P2)44 3.5評估從曝露危害到損害發生之機率(P3)44 3.6評估損害之風險(R)45 3.6.1頂點法45 3.6.2建立模糊類別48 3.6.3模糊分類49 3.6.4距離法50 3.7評估風險之顯著性51 第四章 案例研究分析與討論56 4.1環境衝擊評估-塑膠工廠56 4.2環境考量面鑑別-土石方回收再利用處理廠83 4.3討論111 第五章 結論與建議113 5.1結論113 5.2建議114 參考文獻115

參考文獻

蕭欣怡(2009)，「六輕工業區鄰近地區空氣污染及居民健康風險評估」，國立台灣大學公共衛生學院環境衛生研究所碩士論文。陳顯童(2009)，「綠營建材料收容處理場所營運管理及e化之研究-以溪尾科技營建混合物處理場為例」，國立中央大學土木工程學系碩士論文。鄭裕哲(2008)，「整合環境與安全衛生風險評估方法之研究~以碼頭丙烯晴儲槽區為例~」，國立成功大學工學院工程管理碩士在職專班碩士論文。葉大維(2009)，「水力發電廠鑑別ISO14001顯著環境考量面的環境衝擊評分方法研究」，國立雲林科技大學營建工程系碩士班碩士論文。陳佳琪(2008)，「半導體業承攬作業風險評估」，國立中央大學環境工程研究所碩士論文(在職專班)。陳彥全，馬鴻文(2006)，「以模式選擇方法降低風險評估中不確定性」，中華民國環境工程學會2006環境規劃與管理研討會。呂靜雯(2006)，「生命週期評估結合風險評估應用於政策環評以一般廢棄物跨區焚化處理為例」，國立台灣大學環境工程學研究所碩士論文。方勇盛(2006)，「以失效模式與效應分析為基的製程問題分析模式-以奈米碳管背光模組為例」，東海大學工業工程與經營資訊研究所碩士論文。胡國昌(2006)，「以系統方法建立銅面積層板業環境管理指標之研究」，國立中央大學環境工程研究所碩士在職專班。王繼營(2006)，「以系統方法建立電子化學品業環境管理指標之研究」，國立中央大學環境工程研究所碩士在職專班論文。曾偉立，陳建民(2006)，「利用風險評估探討石化廠附近社區居民之健康風險」，中華民國環境工程學會2006環境規劃與管理研討會。郭今玄(2005)，「環境與安衛風險評估整合工具之建置與應用研究-以研究機構為例」，國立交通大學工學院產業安全與防災學程碩士論文。陳文裕(2005)，「廢光碟片資源化技術盤查分析之研究」，朝陽科技大學環境工程與管理系碩士論文。王景玟(2005)，「結合生命週期評估及生態效益之分析研究-以鋼鐵廠製品為例」，國立成功大學環境工程學系碩士論文。李明賢(2004)，「運用FMEA 鑑別ISO14001 顯著環境考量面之個案研究」，逢甲大學工業工程與系統管理研究所碩士論文。姚嘉文(2004)，「半導體業安全衛生管理系統整合「安全衛生環境風險評估」之研究-以薄膜製程WJ1000機台為例」，國立交通大學工學院產業安全暨防災專班碩士論文。吳明忻(2003)，「半導體超純水製程之生命週期評估」，中華大學科技管理研究所碩士論文。張啟達(2002)，「積體電路業環境與安全衛生風險評估與溝通議題探討」，國立台北大學資源管理研究所碩士在職專班碩士論文。石大禹(2002)，「永續發展之路：ISO 9001, ISO14040與環境化設計之管理模式研究」，

南華大學環境管理研究所。鄭惟斌(2002) , 「建立以供應鏈為基礎的環境管理系統模式-以汽車鈑金零組件業個案公司為例」 , 高雄第一科技大學運輸與倉儲營運系碩士論文。An, M., Huang, S., Baker C.J., 2007. Railway risk assessment-the fuzzy reasoning approach and fuzzy analytic hierarchy process approaches. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part F: Journal of Rail and Rapid Transit 221 (3), 365-383. Benetto, E., Ligia, Tiruta-Barna, Perrodin, Y., 2007. Combining lifecycle and risk assessments of mineral waste reuse scenarios for decision making support. Environmental Impact Assessment Review 27, 266-285. Chen, Z., Huang, G.H., Chakma, A., 2003. Hybrid Fuzzy-Stochastic Modeling Approach for Assessing Environmental Risks at Contaminated Groundwater Systems. Journal of Environmental Engineering 129 (1), 79-88. Cheng, C.H., 1998. A new approach for ranking fuzzy numbers by distance method. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 95, 307-317. Chu, T.C. and Tsao, C.T., 2002. Ranking Fuzzy Numbers with an Area between the Centroid Point and Original Point. Computers and Mathematics with Application 43, 111-117. Darbra, R.M., Ronza, A., Stojanovic, T.A., Wooldridge, C., Casal, J., 2005. A procedure for identifying significant environmental aspects in sea ports. Marine Pollution Bulletin 50, 866-874. Diakaki, C., Grigoroudis, E., Stabouli, M., 2006. A risk assessment approach in selecting environmental performance indicators. Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal 17 (2), 126-139. Feng, S. and Xu, L. D., 1999. Decision support for fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of urban development. Fuzzy Sets and System 105, 1-12. Gernuks, M., Buchgeister J., Schebek L., 2007. Assessment of environmental aspects and determination of environmental targets within environmental management systems (EMS) - development of a procedure for Volkswagen. Journal of Cleaner Production 15, 1063-1075. Guyonnet, D., Bourgine, B., Dubois, D., Fargier, H., Come, B., Chiles, J. P., 2003. Hybrid Approach for Addressing Uncertainty in Risk Assessments. Journal of Environmental Engineering 129 (1), 68-78. Hauschild M., 2009. Framework and requirements for Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) models and indicators, International Reference Life Cycle Data System (ILCD) Handbook, DRAFT for public consultation Hauschild M., 2009. Analysis of existing Environmental Impact Assessment methodologies for use in Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), International Reference Life Cycle Data System (ILCD) Background Document, DRAFT for public consultation Helias A. Udo de Haes (chairman), Olivier Jolliet (vice-chairman), Goran Finnveden, Michael Hauschild, Wolfram Krewitt, Ruedi Muller-Wenk, 1999. Best Available Practice Regarding Impact Categories and Category Indicators in Life Cycle Impact Assessment. SETAC-Europe: Second Working Group on LCIA (WIA-2), 167-174. Jemen Guinee, Reinout Heijungs, Lauran van Oers, Dik van de Meent, Theo Vermeire, Mathieu Rikken, 1996. LCA impact assessment of toxic releases. Generic modelling of fate, exposure and effect for ecosystems and human beings with data for about 100 chemicals, Dutch Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and Environment report. Jyri Seppa Ia, Basson, L., Norris G.A., 2002. Decision Analysis Frameworks for Life-Cycle Impact Assessment, Journal of Industrial Ecology Volume 5 Number 4, 45-68. Karimi, I., Hullermeier, E., 2007. Risk assessment system of natural hazards:A new approach based on fuzzy probability. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 158 (9), 987-999. Kentel E., Aral M.M., 2004. Probabilistic-fuzzy health risk modeling. Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment 18 (5), 324-338. Kentel, E., Aral, M.M., 2005. 2D Monte Carlo versus 2D Fuzzy Monte Carlo health risk assessment. Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment 19 (1), 86-96. Kevin F.R. Liu, S. Y. Kung, 2009, Fuzzy Comprehensive Assessment of Engineering-Education Outcomes. SCIS & ISIS 2008, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan. Kwak, Tae-Heon, Maken, S., Lee, S., Park, Jin-Won, Min, Byoung-ryul, Yoo, Y.D., 2006. Environmental aspects of gasification of Korean municipal solid waste in a pilot plant. Fuel 85, 2012-2017. Lee, Ji-Yong, Yu, Moo-Sang, Cha, Kyoung-Hoon, Lee Soo-Yeon, Lim, T.W., Hur, T., 2009. A study on the environmental aspects of hydrogen pathways in Korea. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 34, 8455-8467. Li, J., Huang, G.H., Zeng G., Maqsood, I., Huang, Y., 2007. An integrated fuzzy-stochastic modeling approach for risk assessment of groundwater contamination. Journal of Environmental Management 82 (2), 173-188. Li, J.B., Chakma, A., Zeng, G.M., Liu, L., 2003. Integrated Fuzzy-Stochastic Modeling of Petroleum Contamination in Subsurface. Energy Sources 25 (6), 547-563. Lundberg, K., Balfors, B., Folkeson, L., 2007. Identification of environmental aspects in an EMS context: a methodological framework for the Swedish National Rail Administration. Journal of Cleaner Production 15, 385-394. Marazza, D., Bandini, V., Contin, A., 2010. Ranking environmental aspects in environmental management systems: A new method tested on local authorities. Environment International 36,168-179. Ngai, E.W.T., Wat, F.K.T., 2005. Fuzzy decision support system for risk analysis in e-commerce development. Decision Support Systems 40 (2), 235-255. Nielsen, P.H., Wenzel, H., 2002. Integration of environmental aspects in product development: a stepwise procedure based on quantitative life cycle assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production 10, 247-257. Owcnis J.W., 1999. Why Life Cycle Impact Assessment is Now Described as an Indicator System. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 4 (2), 81-86. Regulation (EC) No 761/2001 of the european parliament and of the council, 2001. allowing voluntary participation by organisations in a Community eco-management and audit scheme (EMAS). Journal of the European Communities 114, 1-29. Sadiq, R., Husain, T., Veitch, B., Bose, N., 2004. Risk-based decision-making for drilling waste discharges using a fuzzy synthetic evaluation technique. Ocean Engineering 31 (16), 1929-1953. Sadiq, R., Rodriguez, M.J., 2004. Fuzzy synthetic evaluation of disinfection by-products-a risk-based indexing system. Journal of Environmental Management 73 (1), 1-13. Sadiq, R. Husain, T., 2005. A fuzzy-based methodology for an aggregative environmental risk assessment: a case study of drilling waste. Environmental Modelling & Software 20 (1), 33-46. Sadiq, R., Rodriguez, M.J., Imran, S.A., Najjaran, H., 2007. Communicating human health risks associated with disinfection by-products in drinking water supplies:a fuzzy-based approach. Stochastic Environ. Res. Risk Assess 21 (4), 341-353. Shakhawat, C., Tahir, H., Neil, B., 2006. Fuzzy rule-based modelling for human health risk from naturally occurring radioactive materials in produced water. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 89 (1), 1-17. Shen, G., Lu, Y., Wang, M and Sun, Y., 2005. Status and fuzzy comprehensive assessment of combined heavy metal and organo-chlorine pesticide pollution in the Taihu Lake region of China. Journal of Environmental Management 76, 355-362. Tesfamariam, S., Sadiq, R., 2006. Risk-based environmental decision-making using fuzzy analytic hierarchy process (F-AHP). Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment 21 (1),

35-50. Uricchio, V.F., Giordano, R., Lopez, N., 2004. A fuzzy knowledge-based decision support system for groundwater pollution risk evaluation. Journal of Environmental Management 73 (3), 189-197. Pillay, A., Wang, J., 2003. Modified failure mode and effects analysis using approximate reasoning. Reliability Engineering & System Safety 79 (1), 69-85. Perdicoulis, A., Glasson, J., 2006. Causal networks in EIA. Environmental Impact Assessment Review 26, 553 – 569. Wang, Ying-Ming, Chin, Kwai-Sang, Poon, G.K.K., Yang, Jian-Bo, 2009. Risk evaluation in failure mode and effects analysis using fuzzy weighted geometric mean. Expert Systems with Applications 36 (2), 1195-1207. Wang, Ying-Ming, Elhag, Taha M.S., 2006. Fuzzy TOPSIS method based on alpha level sets with an application to bridge risk assessment. Expert Systems with Applications 31 (2), 309-319. Wang, Ying-Ming, Elhag, Taha M.S., 2007. A fuzzy group decision making approach for bridge risk assessment. Computers and Industrial Engineering 53 (1), 137-148. Wegener Sleeswijk, A. R. Kleijn, H. van Zeijts, J.A.W.A. Reus, M.J.G. Meeusen van Onna, H. Leneman, H.H.W.J.M. Sengers, 1996. Application of LCA to agricultural products 1 Core methodological issues 2 Supplement to the LCA guide 3 Methodological background, ISBN 90-5191-104-1 CML report. Yager, R. R., 1977. Multiple objective decision-making using fuzzy sets. Int. J. Man-Mach. Stud. 9, 375-382. Zadeh, L.A. (1965) Fuzzy Sets. Information and Control 8, 338-353. Zeng, J., An M., Smith, N.J., 2007. Application of a fuzzy based decision making methodology to construction project risk assessment. International Journal of Project Management 25 (6), 589-600. Zobel, T., Almroth, C., Bresky, J., Burman, J-O., 2002. Identification and assessment of environmental aspects in an EMS context: an approach to a new reproducible method based on LCA methodology, Journal of Cleaner Production 10, 381-396. Zobel T., Burman, J.O., 2004. Factors of importance in identification and assessment of environmental aspects in an EMS context: experiences in Swedish organizations. Journal of Cleaner Production 12, 13-27. Zadeh, L. A. (1975). The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning. Information Sciences, 8(3), 199 – 249.