

# A Study of Relationship between Ownership Structure and Corporate Social Responsibility

葉輔耀、徐傳瑛、梁晉嘉

E-mail: 321385@mail.dyu.edu.tw

## ABSTRACT

This study investigates the relationship between ownership structure and corporate social responsibility by using samples of Taiwan listed companies in electronic, electric machinery, iron and industry and biotechnology industries. The empirical result shows that (1)the relationship between large stockholders' shareholdings and corporate social responsibility have positive relationship. (2)directors' shareholdings have positive relationship with corporate social responsibility. (3)institutional investors' shareholdings are also positively related to corporate social responsibility. This results indicate that large stockholders, directors and institutional investors are all concerned about the corporate social responsibility in Taiwan. We further use the different deviation of control and ownership right to divide our samples into two groups. Interestingly, ownership structure maintains positive relationship with corporate social responsibility only in low deviation leverage; on the contrary, in high deviation leverage share group, only directors' shareholdings have positive relationship with corporate social responsibility. In addition, we use share collateralization to group our full sample as high and low share collateralization, we find that ownership structure is positively related to corporate social responsibility only in low share collateralization; However, in high share collateralization group, ownership structure does not have relationship with corporate social responsibility.

Keywords : ownership structure、corporate governance、corporate social responsibility、share collateralization

## Table of Contents

內容目錄	vii	中文摘要	vii
. iii 英文摘要		iv 誌謝辭	
. . v 內容目錄		vii 表目錄	
. . . viii 圖目錄		ix 第一章 緒論	
. . . . . 1 第一節 研究背景與動機	. . . . . 1	. . . . . 1 第二節 研究目的	. . . . .
. . . . . 3 第三節 研究架構	. . . . . 3	. . . . . 3 第四節 研究流程	. . . . .
. . . . . 5 第二章 文獻探討	. . . . . 6	. . . . . 6 第一節 企業社會責任定義與發展	. . . . .
. . . . . 6 第二節 股權結構與企業社會責任	. . . . . 10	. . . . . 10 第三章 研究方法	. . . . .
. . . . . 18 第一節 研究樣本與資料來源	. . . . . 18	. . . . . 18 第二節 統計分析方法	. . . . .
. . . . . 19 第三節 研究變數	. . . . . 20	. . . . . 20 第四章 實證結果	. . . . .
. . . . . 24 第一節 敘述性統計	. . . . . 24	. . . . . 24 第二節 相關分析	. . . . .
. . . . . 25 第三節 logit迴歸分析	. . . . . 26	. . . . . 26 第四節 穩健測	. . . . .
試 . . . . . 30	. . . . . 30	. . . . . 30 第五章 結論與建議	. . . . .
論與建議 . . . . . 33	. . . . . 33	. . . . . 33 第一節 結	. . . . .
. . . . . 35	. . . . . 35	. . . . . 35 第二節 研究限制	. . . . . 34
. . . . . 35	. . . . . 35	. . . . . 35 附錄A 各年度樣本配對表	. . . . . 45

## REFERENCES

- 一、中文部分 李春安, 吳欽杉, 葉麗玉(2003), 所有權結構與公司非法行為關係之研究-以台灣股票上市公司為例, *Review of Securities and Future Markets*, 14(4), 75-138。 陳安琳, 高蘭芬(2007), 董監事股權質押、盈餘管理與公司績效相關性之研究, *會計評論*, 6(2), 153-172。 二、英文部分 Admati, R., Pfleiderer, P., & Zechner, J. (1994). Large shareholders activism, risk sharing and financial market equilibrium. *Journal of Political Economy*, 102(6), 1097-1130. Aupperle, K., Carroll, A., & Hatfield, J. (1985). An empirical examination of the relationship between corporate social responsibility and profitability. *Academy of Management Journal*, 28(2), 446-463. Baysinger, B. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (1990). The composition of boards of directors and strategic control. *Academy of Management Review*, 15(1), 72-87. Beasley, M. S. (1996). An empirical analysis of the relation between the board of director composition and financial statement fraud. *Accounting Review*, 71(4), 443-465. Bagnoli, M., & Watts, S. (2003). Selling to socially responsible consumers: Competition and the private provision of public goods. *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 12(3), 419-445. Berle, A. A., & Means, G. C. (1932). *The modern corporation and private property*. New York: Commerce Clearing House. Bragdon, J., & Marlin, J. (1972). Is pollution profitable? *Risk Management*, 19(4), 9-18. Brickley, J. A., Lease, R.

C., & Smith, C. W. (1988). Ownership structure and voting on antitakeover amendments. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 20(1), 267-291. Burke, L., Logsdon, J. M., Mitchell W., Reiner, M., & Vogel, D. (1986). Corporate community involvement in the san francisco bay area. *California Management Review*, 28(3), 122-141. Chaganti, R., & Damanpour, F. (1991). Institutional ownership, capital structure and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 12(7), 479-491. Choi, D. Y., & Gray, E. R. (2008). Socially responsible entrepreneurs: What do they do to create and build their companies? *Business Horizons*, 51(4), 341-352. Claessens, S., Djankov, S., Fan J. P. H., & Lang, L. H. P. (2002). Disentangling the incentive and entrenchment effects of large shareholdings. *Journal of Finance*, 57(6), 2741-2771. Coffey, B., & Fryxell, G. E. (1991). Institutional ownership of stock and dimensions of corporate social performance: An empirical examination. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 10(6), 437-444. Cox, P., Brammer, S., & Millington, A. (2004). An empirical examination of institutional investor preferences for corporate social performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 52(1), 27-43. Dunlop, A. (1998). *Corporate governance and control*. London: CIMA Publishing. Epstein, M. J. (2008). *Making sustainability work: Best practices in managing and measuring corporate social, environmental, and economic impacts*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers. Freeman, E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston: Pitman Publishing. Gilbert, L. R., Menon, K., & Schwartz, K. B. (1990). Predicting bankruptcy for firm in financial distress. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 17(1), 161-171. Graves, S., & Waddock, S. (1994). Institutional owners and corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 37(4), 1034-1046. Griffin, J. J., & Mahon, J. F. (1997). The corporation social performance and corporate financial performance debate: Twenty-five years of incomparable research. *Business and Society*, 36(1), 5-31. Grosser, K., & Moon, J. (2005) Gender mainstreaming and CSR: Reporting workplace issues. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 62(4), 327-340. Hart, S. L., & Ahuja, G. (1996). Does it pay to be green? An empirical examination of the relationship between emission reduction and firm performance. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 5(1), 30-37. Heslin, P. A., & Ochoa, J. D. (2008). Understanding and developing strategic corporate social responsibility. *Organizational Dynamics*, 37(2), 125-144. Jamali, D., Safieddine, A. M., & Rabbath, M. (2008). Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility synergies and interrelationships. *Journal of Corporate Governance*, 16(5), 443-459. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360. Jensen, M. C., & Ruback, R. (1983). The market for corporate control: The scientific evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 11(1), 5-50. Jensen, M. (1986). Agency costs free cash flow, corporate finance, and takeovers. *American Economic Review*, 76(2), 323-329. Johnson, R., & Greening, D. (1999). The effects of corporate governance and institutional ownership on corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(5), 564-576. Jones, P., Comfort, D., & Hillier, D. (2005). Corporate social responsibility and the UK 's top ten retailers. *International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management*, 33(1), 82-92. Jose, P., Isabal, G., & Isabal, M., (2009). Stakeholder engagement and corporate social responsibility reporting: The ownership structure effect. *Corporate Social Responsibility Management Corp*, 16(2), 94-107. Kiel, G. C., & Nicholson, G. J. (2003). Board composition and corporate performance: How the Australian experience informs contrasting theories of corporate governance. *Corporate Governance*, 11(3), 189-205. LaPorta, R., Lopez, F., Shleifer A., & Vishny R. (2002). Investor protection and corporate valuation. *The Journal of Finance*, 57(3), 1147-1170. Matterson, H. (2000). Ethics admirable but money comes first. *The Australian*. Mahoney L., & Roberts, R. W. (2007). Corporate social performance, financial performance and institutional ownership in Canadian firms. *Accounting Forum*, 31(3), 233-253. McConnell, J., & Servaes, H. (1990). Additional evidence on equity ownership and corporate value. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 27(2), 595-612. McWilliams, A., & Siegel, D. (2001), Corporate social responsibility: A theory of the firm perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 117-127. McWilliam, V. B., & Sen, N. (1997). Board monitoring and anti-takeover amendment. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 32(10), 491-505. Oswald, S. L., & Jahera, J. S. J. (1991). The influence of ownership on performance: An empirical study. *Strategic Management Journal*, 12(5), 321-326. Porter, M. E., & VanderLinde, C. (1988). *Green and competitive on competition*. Boston: Harvard Business School. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2002). The competitive advantage of corporate philanthropy. *Harvard Business Review*. Pound, J. (1988). Proxy contests and the efficiency of shareholder oversight. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 20(10), 237-265. Roberts, R.W. (1992). Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure: An application of stakeholder theory. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 17(6), 595-612. Rondinelli, D. A., & London, T. (2002). In *Unfolding stakeholder thinking: Theory, Responsibility and Engagement*. Greenleaf Publishing. Rosenberg, S., & Wyatt, J. G. (1990). Outside Directors, Board Independence, and Shareholder Wealth. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 26(2), 175-191. Russo, M. V., & Fouts, P. A. (1997). A resource-based perspective on corporation environmental performance and profitability. *Academy of Management Journal*, 40(3), 534-559. Schaltegger, S., & Burritt, R. (2005). Folmer, H. & Tietenberg, .T (eds). *The International Yearbook of Environmental and Resource Economics 2005-2006*. UK:Springer. Seifert, B., Gonenc, H., & Wright, J. (2005). The international evidence on performance and equity ownership by insiders, blockholders, and institutions. *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, 15(2), 171-191. Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R.W. (1986). Large shareholders and corporate control. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94(3), 461-488. Sparkes, R. (1998). Jones, I. & Pollitt, M. (eds). *The challenge of ethical investment: activism assets and analysis. in the role of business ethics in economic performance*. London:McMillan. Sundaramurthy, C., Rhoades, D., & Rechner, P. (2005). A meta-analysis of the effects of executive and institutional ownership on firm performance. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 15(2), 494-510. Teoh, H., & Shiu, G. (1990). Attitudes towards corporate social responsibility and perceived importance social responsibility information characteristics in a decision context. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 9(1), 71-77. Ullmann, A. (1985). Data in search of a theory: A critical examination of the relationship among social performance, social disclosure, and economic performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 10(3), 540-577. Vance, S. (1975). Are socially responsible firms good investment risks? *Management Review*, 64(2), 18-24. Vance, S. C. (1978). Corporate governance: Assessing corporate performance by boardroom attributes. *Journal of Business Research*, 6(3), 203-220. Waddock, S., & Graves, S. (1997). The corporate social performance-financial

performance link. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(4), 303-319. Wahba, H. (2008). Exploring the moderating effect of financial performance on the relationship between corporate environmental responsibility and institutional investors: some Egyptian evidence. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management Corp*, 15(6), 361-371. Weber, M. (2008). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A company level measurement approach for CSR. *European Management Journal*, 26(4), 247-261. Wooldridge, J. M. (2009). *Introductory econometrics a modern approach*(3th ed.). South-Western Fourth Edition.