

# The Related Factors Regarding Students Evaluating of Teachers' Effectiveness of Junior High Students

謝雅竹、黃德祥

E-mail: 319687@mail.dyu.edu.tw

## ABSTRACT

Students evaluating of teachers' effectiveness has become a great concern nowadays. The main purpose of this study was to evaluate the related factors concerning students evaluating of teachers' effectiveness of junior high students, and make sure that the value of students evaluating is valid and reliable. Thus, I explored the consistency among teacher self-evaluation and students evaluating, the consistency among students in different classes toward the same teacher. Fifteen teachers were asked to do the self-evaluation, and their class students, in a total of 931, were asked to fill the questionnaire, which contained evaluating their teacher, learning inputs and teacher-student interaction. Data were analyzed by using descriptive statistics, Pearson ' s correlation, t-test and one-way ANOVA. The results are as follows: (a)Teacher and student background variables may have impact on students evaluating. (b)There were significant correlations between students learning inputs, teacher-student interaction and students evaluating. (c)There were no significant variation between teacher self-evaluation and students evaluating. (d)Students evaluating toward the same teachers from students in different classes have high consistency. (e)Students evaluating have discernment.

Keywords : Students evaluation、 Teacher self-evaluation、 Learning inputs、 Teacher-student interaction

## Table of Contents

中文摘要 . . . . .	iii	英文摘要 . . . . .
. iv 誌謝辭 . . . . .	v	內容目錄 . . . . .
. vi 表目錄 . . . . .	viii	圖目錄 . . . . .
. . . x 第一章 緒論 . . . . .	1	第一節 研究動機與目的 . . . . .
. . . . . 1 第二節 研究問題與假設 . . . . .	8	第三節 重要名詞解釋 . . . . .
. . . . . 10 第四節 研究範圍與限制 . . . . .	11	第二章 文獻探討 . . . . .
. . . . . 12 第一節 教學效能的內涵與應用 . . . . .	12	第二節 教師評鑑的內涵與應用 . . . . .
. . . . . 16 第三節 學生評鑑教師教學的內涵與應用 . . . . .	29	第四節 教師自評的內涵與應用 . . . . .
. . . . . 43 第五節 學習投入、師生互動與學生評鑑教師教學之相關 . . . . .	48	第三章 研究方法 . . . . .
. . . . . 52 第一節 研究架構 . . . . .	52	第二節 研究對象 . . . . .
. . . . . 54 第三節 研究工具 . . . . .	54	第五節 資料處理與統計方法 . . . . .
. . . . . 55 第四節 研究程序 . . . . .	67	第六章 結果與討論 . . . . .
. . . . . 68 第四節 結果與討論 . . . . .	70	. . . . . 70 第一節 國中生補習、學業成績、學習投入、師生互動現況之分析 . . . . .
. . . . . 75 第二節 國中學生評鑑教師教學與背景變項之差異情形 . . . . .	75	. . . . . 75 第三節 國中學生評鑑教師教學與學習投入、師生互動之相關分析 . . . . .
. . . . . 89 第四節 教師自評與學生評鑑現況之分析 . . . . .	91	. . . . . 89 第四節 教師自評與學生評鑑現況之分析 . . . . .
. . . . . 98 第六節 不同班級學生，評鑑同一教師教學之相關與差異情形 . . . . .	91	. . . . . 91 第五節 教師自評與學生評鑑之差異情形 . . . . .
. . . . . 100 第五章 結論與建議 . . . . .	105	. . . . . 100 第五章 結論與建議 . . . . .
. . . . . 105 第二節 建議 . . . . .	114	. . . . . 105 第二節 建議 . . . . .
. . . . . 122 附錄 研究問卷 . . . . .	141	. . . . . 122 附錄 研究問卷 . . . . .
. . . . . 22 表 2-3-1 國內外研究學生評鑑教師教學影響因素之彙整表 . . . . .	22	. . . . . 141 表 目錄 表 2-2-1 國內研究者發展之教師教學評鑑規準彙整表 . . . . .
. . . . . 54 表 3-2-1 本研究樣本教師基本資料表 . . . . .	37	. . . . . 22 表 2-3-1 國內外研究學生評鑑教師教學影響因素之彙整表 . . . . .
. . . . . 54 表 3-2-2 本研究樣本學生分析 . . . . .	54	. . . . . 37 表 3-2-1 本研究樣本教師基本資料表 . . . . .
. . . . . 57 表 3-3-2 評鑑教師教學項目分析表(刪題後) . . . . .	57	. . . . . 54 表 3-3-1 評鑑教師教學項目分析表 . . . . .
. . . . . 59 表 3-3-3 評鑑教師教學向度與 Cronbach 信度 . . . . .	59	. . . . . 54 表 3-2-2 本研究樣本學生分析 . . . . .
. . . . . 60 表 3-3-5 學習投入項目分析表 . . . . .	60	. . . . . 57 表 3-3-2 評鑑教師教學項目分析表(刪題後) . . . . .
. . . . . 62 表 3-3-6 學習投入項目分析表(刪題後) . . . . .	62	. . . . . 59 表 3-3-3 評鑑教師教學向度與 Cronbach 信度 . . . . .
. . . . . 63 表 3-3-8 學習投入向度與 Cronbach 信度 . . . . .	63	. . . . . 60 表 3-3-5 學習投入項目分析表 . . . . .
. . . . . 65 表 3-3-10 師生互動感受項目分析表(刪題後) . . . . .	65	. . . . . 62 表 3-3-7 學習投入因素分析表 . . . . .
. . . . . 66 表 3-3-12 師生互動感受向度與 Cronbach 信度 . . . . .	66	. . . . . 63 表 3-3-8 學習投入向度與 Cronbach 信度 . . . . .
. . . . . 67 表 4-1-1 受試樣本學生補習現況分析 . . . . .	67	. . . . . 65 表 3-3-10 師生互動感受項目分析表(刪題後) . . . . .
. . . . . 71 表 4-1-2 受試樣本學生各科學業成績現況分析 . . . . .	71	. . . . . 66 表 3-3-12 師生互動感受向度與 Cronbach 信度 . . . . .
. . . . . 72		. . . . . 67 表 4-1-1 受試樣本學生補習現況分析 . . . . .

表 4-1-3 國中生學習投入結果分析 . . . . .	73	表 4-1-4 學生學習投入情況分析 . . . . .	73
表 4-1-5 國中生師生互動結果分析 . . . . .	74	表 4-1-6 師生互動感受分析 . . . . .	75
表 4-2-1 不同性別的國中生在各量表得分的差異 . . . . .	77	表 4-2-2 不同性別的國中生在評鑑教師教學得分的差異 . . . . .	77
表 4-2-3 有無補習的國中生在各量表得分的差異 . . . . .	79	表 4-2-4 有無補習的國中生在評鑑教師教學得分的差異 . . . . .	79
表 4-2-5 不同學業成績的國中生在各量表得分的差異 . . . . .	81	表 4-2-6 不同學業成績的國中生在評鑑教師教學得分的差異 . . . . .	81
表 4-2-7 不同科目的教師其學生評鑑量表的分析 . . . . .	83	表 4-2-8 不同年資的教師其學生評鑑量表得分的分析 . . . . .	83
表 4-2-9 擔任導師或科任的教師其學生評鑑結果的差異 . . . . .	87	表 4-2-10 不同背景變項對學生評鑑教師教學結果差異彙整表 . . . . .	90
表 4-3-1 評鑑知覺、學習投入、師生互動與評鑑教師得分之相關分析 . . . . .	90		
表 4-4-1 評鑑教師教學結果分析 . . . . .	92	表 4-4-2 評鑑教師教學結果分析 . . . . .	93
表 4-4-3 老師自評教學表現結果分析 . . . . .	94	表 4-4-4 老師自評教學表現結果分析 . . . . .	95
表 4-4-5 學生評鑑與教師自評之評分情形摘要表 . . . . .	97	表 4-5-1 學生評鑑結果與教師自評之成對樣本 t 檢定 . . . . .	98
表 4-6-1 導師班、科任班學生評鑑教師教學之相關分析 . . . . .	101	表 4-6-2 學生評鑑教師教學是否具有鑑別力 . . . . .	
103 圖目錄 圖 3-1-1 研究架構圖 . . . . .	53		

## REFERENCES

參考文獻一、中文部份 王淑怡(2002)，國民小學教師教學效能指標之建構，臺北市立師範學院國民教育研究所未出版之碩士論文。朱怡潔(2007)，過濾影響教學評鑑干擾因素之模式探討，國立臺北大學統計學系未出版之碩士論文。吳政達(2001)，教師評鑑方法之探討(上)，*教育研究*，83，107-112。吳政達(2002)，國民中小學教師評鑑政策實施之可行性評估(國科會，No. NSC 90-2413-H-032-006)，臺北：行政院國家科學委員會。吳清山(1997)，學校效能研究，臺北：五南。吳清山(2005)，優質學校中課程發展、教師教學與專業發展之指標內含及實踐策略分析，*教師天地*，134，21-31。吳耀明(2004)，國小教師教學效能之研究：教師自評和學生評鑑比較，*屏東師院學報*，21，188-216。李孟秀(2009)，我國大學教師與學生對實施「學生評量教師教學」意見之研究 - 以北部一所私立大學為例，*淡江大學高等教育研究所未出版之碩士班論文*。林天祐(2006)，*教學評鑑*，評鑑雙月刊，3，22-23。林天祐(2007)，*教師評鑑*，評鑑雙月刊，9，16-17。林玉蓮(2009)，花蓮縣國民中學辦理教師專業發展評鑑之研究，國立東華大學教育研究所未出版之碩士論文。金車教育基金會(2004)，營造友善校園，學生最愛老師幽默風趣，[線上資料]，來源：[http://www.kingcar.org.tw/lecture\\_txt.asp?NewsID=29&NewsType=1](http://www.kingcar.org.tw/lecture_txt.asp?NewsID=29&NewsType=1) [2004, September 23]。施鴻權(2001)，國中理化科教師教學效能之研究—以屏東縣為例，國立高雄師範大學未出版之碩士論文。柯淑惠(2008)，台中縣國民小學教師專業發展評鑑實施之研究，中臺科技大學文教事業經營研究所未出版之碩士論文。張春興(1996)，*教育心理學*，臺北：東華書局。張新仁(2004)，中小學教師教學評鑑工具之發展編製，國立台灣師範大學教育研究中心主編，*教育評鑑回顧與展望學術研討會論文集(pp. 41-55)*，臺灣：國立臺北師範大學。張德勝(2000)，台灣地區師範學院師生對“學生評鑑教師教學”態度之研究，*花蓮師院學報*，11，37-54。張德勝(2000b)，影響學生評鑑教學因素之探討-以花蓮師範學院為例，國立臺北師範學院學術服務組主編，八十八學年度教育學術研討會論文集(pp. 33-66)，臺灣：國立臺北師範學院。張德勝(2005)，台灣地區大學校院「學生評鑑教師教學」制度之研究，*師大學報*，50(2)，203-225。張德勝(2009)，第一印象與學生評鑑教師教學之相關研究，*測驗學刊*，56(3)，321-341。張德銳(2000)，*師資培育與教師評鑑*，臺北：師大書苑，129-141。張德銳(2000)，結合學生學習成果的中小學教師評鑑模式，*教育行政與評鑑學刊*，2，59-82。張繼寧(2009)，美國全國教學專業標準委員會(NBPTS)，臺灣師資培育資料庫電子報，1，1-3。教育部(2008)，教育部教師專業發展評鑑工作參考手冊，[線上資料]，來源：<http://tpde.nhcue.edu.tw/download01.jsp?id=31#q1> [2008, October 8]。教育部(2008)，教育部補助試辦教師專業發展評鑑之評鑑規準參考資料，[線上資料]，來源：<http://140.111.34.34/moe/common/index.php?z=455&zzz=455&id=774> [2008, April 23]。教育部(2009)，美國蓋茲基金會出資推動教師效能研究，*教育部電子報*，374，[線上資料]，來源：[http://epaper.edu.tw/windows.aspx?windows\\_sn=4096](http://epaper.edu.tw/windows.aspx?windows_sn=4096) [2009, September 17]。教育部(2009)，教育部教師專業發展評鑑輔導支持網路專案計畫，[線上資料]，來源：<http://tepd.nhcue.edu.tw> [2009, November 17]。教育部(2009)，教育部補助辦理教師專業發展評鑑實施要點，[線上資料]，來源：<http://140.111.34.34/moe/common/index.php?z=504&zzz=504> [2009, November 27]。教育部(2009)，教育部試辦中小學教師專業發展之評鑑規準，[線上資料]，來源：<http://140.111.34.34/moe/News/news.php?id=134> [2009, May 4]。許崇憲(2009)，“學生評鑑教師教學量表”的效度與共變異量穩定度，*測驗學刊*，56(2)，179-205。許誌庭(2009)，教學意見調查機制的迷思與建議，*評鑑雙月刊*，17，57-60。陳士玉(2008)，師生衝突之成因與因應之探討 - 以高職學生為例，國立屏東教育大學教育行政研究所未出版之碩士論文。陳怡帆(2009)，臺北縣國民中小學教師對教師專業發展評鑑之認知關注與因應策略研究，*淡江大學教育學院教育政策與領導研究所未出版之碩士論文*。陳珮軒(2000)，大學學生評鑑教師教學之研究 - 以長庚大學管理學院為例，長庚大學管理學研究所未出版之碩士論文。黃月純(2010)，學生參與大學評鑑 - 英國QAA實例及其啟示，*評鑑雙月刊*，23，54-56。黃巧玲(2009)，影響「學生評鑑教師教學」外在干擾因素之研究 - 以北部一所技術學院為例，*淡江大學教育科技學系未出版之碩士在職專班學位論文*。馮莉雅(2001)，國中教師教學效能評鑑工具之研究，國立高雄師範大學教育系未出版之博士論文。馮莉雅(2002)，影響國中教師教學效能相關因素之研究，*文藻學報*，16，123-142。馮莉雅(2003)，三種國中教師教學效能評鑑方式之關係研究-教師自評、教室觀察、學生評鑑，*國立臺北師範學院學報*，16(1)，201-228。馮莉雅、張新仁(2004)，中小學教師教室教學評量表編製之研究，*測驗統計年刊*，12，39-59。馮莉雅、張新仁、吳益裕(2002)，國中教師教學效能評鑑工具分析之研究，*測驗年刊*，48(2)，17-33。楊筱慈(2000)，「國中物理教師教室觀察評鑑工具」效化暨學生評鑑教師教學可行性之研究，國立高雄師範大學物理學系未出版之碩士論文。蕭秀萍(2007)，國小自然與生活科技領域實施學

生評鑑教師教學之研究，國立新竹教育大學應用科學系未出版之碩士論文。羅敏文(2008)，*教學評鑑分析模型之修正及教師教學表現之探討* 以某大學教師教學評鑑資料為例，臺北大學統計研究所未出版之碩士論文。蘇錦麗(2009, November 19)，*大學教師評鑑:理論與實務*，發表於校際教師評鑑制度經驗分享研討會，臺北:國立臺北科技大學。二、英文部份 Abrami, P. C., Theall, M., & Mets, L. A. (2001). New Directions for Institutional Research. Spring, 109(1), 1-102. Airasian, P. W., & Gullickson, A. R. (1997). Teacher self-evaluation tool kit. Thousand Oaks, California: Corwin Press. Alhija, F. N. A., & Fresko, B. (2009). Student evaluation of instruction: What can be learned from students' written comments? *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 35(1), 37-44. Aubrecht, J., Hanna, G., & Hoyt, D. (1986). A comparison of high school student ratings of teaching effectiveness with teacher self – ratings: Factor analytic and multitrait – multimethod analyses. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 46(1), 223-231. Barth, M. M. (2008). Deciphering student evaluations of teaching: A factor analysis approach. *Journal of Education for Business*, 84(1), 40-46. Beran, T. N. & Rokosh, J. L. (2009). Instructors' perspectives on the utility of student ratings of instruction. *Instructional Science*, 37(2), 171-184. Beyers, C. (2008). The Hermeneutics of Student Evaluations. *College Teaching*, 56(2), 102-106. Booluck, K., Ip, Y. K., Pan, D., Ragupathi, K., Roop, R., & Tan, G. S. H. (2009). Profiling teacher/teaching using descriptors derived from qualitative feedback: Formative and summative applications. *Research in Higher Education*, 50(1), 73-100. Bozeman, W. C., & Campbell, J. P. (2008). The value of student ratings: Perceptions of students, teachers, and administrators. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 32(1), 13-24. Brown, M. J. (2008). Student perceptions of teaching evaluations. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 35(2), 177-181. Brown, M. J., Baillie, M., & Fraser, S. (2009). Rating ratemyprofessors.com: A comparison of online and official student evaluations of teaching. *College Teaching*, 57(2), 89-92. Bruce, A. J. (1985). A comparison of Three Teaching Evaluation Instruments. Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the Southwestern Psychological Association. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 258 513). Caprano, M. M., Caprano, R. M., & Helfeldt, J. (2010). Do differing types of field experiences make a difference in teacher candidates' perceived level of competence? *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 37(1), 131-154. Carle, A. C. (2009). Evaluating college students' evaluations of a professor's teaching effectiveness across time and instruction mode (online vs. face – to – face) using a multilevel growth modeling approach. *Computers & Education*, 53(2), 429-435. Centra, J. A. (1972). Self-rating of colleague teachers: A comparison with student rating. Princeton, New Jersey: Educational Testing Service. Chadha, R., Frick, T. W., Watson, C., & Zlatkovska, E. (2010). Improving course evaluations to improve instruction and complex learning in higher education. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 58(2), 115-136. Chen, Y., & Hoshower, L. B. (2003). Student evaluation of teaching effectiveness: An assessment of student perception and motivation. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 28(1), 71-88. Chong, W. H., Huan, V. S., Kates, A. D., Klassen, R. M., & Wong, I. (2010). The relationships among school types, teacher efficacy beliefs, and academic climate: Perspective from Asian middle schools. *Journal of Educational Research*, 103(3), 183-190. Clark, C., Gage, N., Marx, R., Peterson, P., Stayrook, N., & Winne, P. (1979). A factorial experiment on teacher structuring, soliciting, and reacting. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 71(1), 534-552. Collinson, V., Kozina, E., Lin, Y. H. K., Ling, L., Matheson, I., Newcombe, L., & Zogla, I. (2009). Professional development for teachers: A world of change. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 32(1), 3-19. Cook, I. J., Dziuban, C. D., Moskal, P. D., & Wang, M. C. (2009). Dr. Fox Rocks: Using data-mining techniques to examine student ratings of instruction. *Quality Research in Literacy and Science Education*, 383-383. Coryell, C. (2001). An assessment of student rating systems in Bible colleges. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Arkansas. Davison, E., & Price, J. (2009). How do we rate? An evaluation of online student evaluations. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 34(1), 51-65. Gamliel, E., & Davidovitz, L. (2005). Online versus traditional teaching evaluation: Mode can matter. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 30(6), 571-592. Good, T., & Brophy, J. (2000). *Looking In Classrooms* (8th ed.). New York: Longman. Greenwald, A. G., & Gilmore, G. M. (1997). Grading leniency is a removable contaminant of student ratings. *American Psychologist*, 52(11), 1209-1217. Hassan, K. E. (2009). Investigating substantive and consequential validity of student ratings of instruction. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 28(3), 319-333. Hobson, S., & Talbot, D. (2001). Understanding student evaluations. *College Teaching*, 49(1), 26-31. Hodges, L. C., & Stanton, K. (2007). Translating comments on student evaluations into the language of learning. *Innovative Higher Education*, 31(5), 279-286. Hsia, T. L., Tennyson, R. D., & Wu, J. H. (2010). A study of student satisfaction in a blended e-learning system environment. *Computers & Education*, 55(1), 155-164. Iqbal, M., & Khizar, B. (2009). Medical students' perceptions of teaching evaluations. *The Clinical Teacher*, 6(2), 69-72. Jang, S. J., Guan, S. Y., & Hsieh, H. F. (2009). Developing an instrument for assessing college students' perceptions of teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), 596-606. Klem, A., & Connell, J. (2004). Relationships matter: Linking teacher support to student engagement and achievement. *The Journal of School Health*, 74(7), 262-273. Koutsoupidou, T. (2010). Self-assessment in generalist preservice kindergarten teachers' education: Insights on training, ability, environments, and policies. *Arts Education Policy Review*, 111(3), 105-111. Krause, K. L., Bochner, S., & Duchesne, S. (2006). *Educational Psychology for Learning and Teaching* (2nd ed.). Southbank, Victoria: Nelson Australia Pty Ltd. Kreber, C. (2003). The relationship between students' course perception and their approaches to studying in undergraduate science course: A canadian experience. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 22(1), 57-75. Larrivee, B. (2005). *Authentic classroom management: Creating a learning community and building reflective practice* (2nd ed.). Boston, USA: Pearson Education, Inc. Leitao, N., & Waugh R. F. (2007). Students' views of teacher-student relationships in the primary school. A paper presented at the 37th Annual International Educational Research Conference, held by the Australian Association for Research in Education at Fremantle, Western Australia. Marriott, P. (2009). Students' evaluation of the use of online summative assessment on an undergraduate financial accounting module. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 40(2), 237-254. Marriott, P. (2009). Students' evaluation of the use of online summative assessment on an undergraduate financial accounting module. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 40(2), 237-254. Marsh, H. W. (1982b). SEEQ: A reliable, valid, and useful

instrument for collecting students' evaluations of university teaching. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 52(1), 77-95. Marsh, H. W. (1987). Students' evaluations of university teaching: Research findings, methodological issues, and directions for future research. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 11(3), 253-388. Marsh, H. W. (2007). Students' evaluations of university teaching: A multidimensional perspective. In R. P. Perry & J. C. Smart (Ed.). *The Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: An Evidence – Based Perspective* (pp. 319-384). New York: Springer. Marsh, H. W., & Craven, R.G. (1997). Academic self-concept: Beyond the dustbowl, in G. Phye (Ed.). *Handbook of classroom assessment: Learning, achievement and adjustment*, San Diego: Academic Press. Marsh, H. W., & Dunkin, M. J. (1992), Students' evaluations of university teaching: A multidimensional perspective, In J. C. Smart (Ed.). *Higher education: Handbook of theory and research* (pp. 143-233). New York: Agathon Press. Marsh, H. W., & Roche, L. A. (1993). The use of students' evaluations and an individually structured intervention to enhance university teaching effectiveness. *American Educational Research Journal*, 30(1), 217-251. Marsh, H. W., & Roche, L. A. (1997). Making students' evaluations of teaching effectiveness effective. *American Psychologist*, 52(11), 1187-1197. Marsh, H., & Roche, L. (2000). Effects of grading leniency and low workload on students' evaluations of teaching: Popular myth, bias, validity, or innocent bystanders? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 92(1), 202-227. McGhie-Richmond, D., Schwartz, E., & Jordan, A. (2009). Preparing teachers for inclusive classrooms. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 25(4), 535-542. McGhie-Richmond, D., Underwood, K., & Jordan, A. (2007). Developing effective instructional strategies for teaching in inclusive classrooms. *Exceptionality Education Canada*, 17(1), 27-52. McInerney, D. M., & McInerney, V. (2006). *Educational Psychology: Constructing Learning* (4th ed.). Frenchs Forest, New South Wales: Pearson Education Australia. McPherson, M. (2006). Determinants of how students evaluate teachers. *Journal of Economic Education*, 37(1), 3-20. Miller, R. I. (1987). Evaluation faculty for promotion and tenure. San Francisco: Jossy-Bass publisher. Min, Q., & Baozhi, S. (1998). Factor's affecting students' classroom teaching evaluations. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*, 70(1), 12-15. Moore, T. (2006). Teacher evaluations and grades: Further evidence. *Journal of American Academy of Business*, 9(2), 58-62. Noddings, N. (2005). *The Challenge to Care in Schools* (2nd ed.). New York: Teachers College Press. Oliver, B., Tucker, B., & Pegden, J.-A. (2007, 11-13 July). An investigation into student comment behaviours: Who comments, what do they say, and do anonymous students behave badly? Paper presented at the Australian Universities Quality Forum, Hobart. Onwuegbuzie, A. J., Daniel, L. G., & Collins, K. M. T. (2009). A meta-validation model for assessing the score-validity of student teaching evaluations. *Quality and Quantity*, 43(2), 197-209. Ozgunor, S. (2009). The relationships between students' evaluations of teaching behaviors and self efficacy Beliefs. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), 2687-2691. Peterson, K. D. (1995). *Teacher evaluation: A comprehensive guide to new directions and practices*. Thousand Oaks, California: Corwin Press, Inc. Pianta, R. C. (1999). *Enhancing Relationships Between Children and Teachers*. Washington, District of Columbia: American Psychological Association. Pietrzak, D., Duncan, K. & Korcuska, J. S. (2008). Counseling students' decision making regarding teaching effectiveness: A conjoint analysis. *Counselor Education & Supervision*, 48(2), 114-132. Piety, R., Karin, S., & Huadong, Y. (2010). Stimulating teachers' reflection and feedback asking: An interplay of self-efficacy, learning goal orientation, and transformational leadership. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 26(5), 1154-1161. Pintrich, P. R., Smith, D. A. F., Garcia, T., & McKeachie, W. J. (1993). Reliability and predictive validity of the motivated strategies for learning questionnaire (MSLQ). *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 53(3), 801-813. Pintrich, P. R., Smith, D. A. F., Garcia, T., & McKeachie, W. J. (1991). A manual for the use of the motivated strategies for learning questionnaire (MSLQ). Ann Arbor, Michigan: National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and Learning, University of Michigan. Potvin, G., Hazari, Z., Tai, R. H., & Sadler, P. M. (2009). Unraveling bias from student evaluations of their high school science teachers. *Science Education*, 93(5), 827-845. Ramsden, P. (1991). A performance indicator of teaching quality in higher education: The course experience questionnaire. *Studies in Higher Education*, 16(2), 129-50. Rao, N. (1995). "The Oh No! Syndrome: A language expectation model of undergraduate negative reactions toward foreign teaching assistants." Paper presented as the 79th Annual Meeting of the International Communication Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico, May 25-29. Renaud, R. D., & Murray, H. G. (2005). Factorial validity of student ratings of instruction. *Research in Higher Education*, 46(8), 929-953. Rothman, R. (2010). Beyond test scores: Adding value to assessment. *School Administrator*, 67(2), 20-24. Sharon, K. A. (2009). Do teacher characteristics matter? New results on the effects of teacher preparation on student achievement. *Economics of Education Review*, 28(1), 49-57. Sorenson, D. L., & Reiner, C. (2003). Charting the uncharted seas of online student ratings of instruction. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 96(1), 1-24. Symons, R. L. (2006). Listening to the student voice at the university of Sydney: the language of learning. *Innovations in Higher Education*, 31(6), 279-286. Sztejnberg, A., Brok, P. D., & Hurek, J. (2004). Preferred teacher-student interpersonal behaviour: Differences between polish primary and higher education students' perceptions. *Journal of Classroom Interaction*, 39(2), 32-40. Tatro, C. (1995). Gender effects on student evaluations of faculty. *Journal of Research and Development in Education*, 28(3), 169-173. Thomas, T. (2008). Fixing teacher evaluation. *Educational Leadership*, 66(2), 32-37. Verloop, N., Schaaf, M. F., & Stokking, K. M. (2008). Teacher beliefs and teacher behaviour in portfolio assessment. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(7), 1691-1704. Williams, W. M., & Ceci, S. J. (1997). "How 'm I doing?" problems with student ratings of instructors and courses. *Change*, 29(5), 12-23. Zimitat, C. (2006). First year students' perceptions of the importance of good teaching: not all things are equal. In: Alison Bunker and Iris Vardi (eds). *Critical Visions: Thinking, learning and researching in Higher Education*. *Research & Development in Higher Education*, 29(1), 386-392.