

# The Study on Relationship Between Recreational Specialization and Risk Management by Amateurs of In - line Skating

廖芊茵、雷文谷

E-mail: 319617@mail.dyu.edu.tw

## ABSTRACT

The study aimed at exploring the correlation among the recreational specialization of in-line skating fanciers and their risk management. The participants of the study were fanciers of in-line skating activity. 300 questionnaires were issued, from which 268 valid ones were obtained, a validity returning rate of 83.9%. The findings of the study were as follows: 1. In recreational specialization, the in-line skating fanciers' involvement is most obvious, secondly is life style, and then is their technique and knowledge. The equipments and investment takes rather lower degree on recreational specialization. 2. Generally, the in-line skating fanciers have the equal cognition of risk management. 3. The result showed significant differences on in-line skating fanciers' involvement of recreational specialization, life styles, techniques, knowledge, equipments and investment under some background variables, including gender, competition experience, age, level of license, education level, years of participating in-line skating activities and money amount and times of purchasing in-line skating products. 4. The result showed significant differences on in-line skating fanciers' risk management under some background variables, including gender, whether join an in-line skating association, competition experience, level of license, years of participating in-line skating activities and the amount of money and times of purchasing in-line skating products. 5. The in-line skating fanciers' involvement of recreational specialization, life styles, techniques, knowledge, equipments and monetary investment have significant-positive correlation with their risk management. In conclusion, joining an in-line skating association, competition experience and owning a license are key points to form recreational specialization. Key Words: recreational specialization, involvement, life styles, risk management

Keywords : recreational specialization、involvement、life styles、risk management

## Table of Contents

內容目錄 中文摘要 . . . . .	iii	英文摘要 . . . . .	iii
. . . . . iv 誌謝辭 . . . . .	iv	. . . . . vi 內容目錄 . . . . .	vi
. . . . . vii 表目錄 . . . . .	vii	. . . . . ix 圖目錄 . . . . .	ix
. . . . . xii 第一章 緒論 . . . . .	1	第一節 研究背景 . . . . .	1
. . . . . 1 第二節 研究動機 . . . . .	4	第三節 研究目的 . . . . .	4
. . . . . 5 第四節 研究問題 . . . . .	6	第五節 研究範圍與限制 . . . . .	6
第六節 名詞解釋 . . . . .	7	第二章 文獻探討 . . . . .	9
第一節 直排輪之起始 . . . . .	9	第二節 直排輪之相關研究 . . . . .	10
第三節 遊憩專業化 . . . . .	12	第四節 風險管理 . . . . .	28
第三章 研究方法 . . . . .	33	第一節 研究架構 . . . . .	33
第二節 研究流程 . . . . .	34	第三節 研究工具 . . . . .	36
節 研究對象及取樣 . . . . .	38	第五節 資料整理 . . . . .	38
研究結果與討論 . . . . .	40	第一節 研究樣本基本資料分析 . . . . .	40
者遊憩專業化與風險管理現況分析 . . . . .	44	第二節 直排輪愛好者遊憩專業化與風險管理現況分析 . . . . .	44
專業化與 風險管理之差異分析 . . . . .	46	第三節 不同背景變項直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化與 風險管理之差異分析 . . . . .	46
. . . . . 69		第四節 直排輪愛好者遊憩專業化與風險管理相關性 之分析 . . . . .	69
. . . . . 71		第五章 結論與建議 . . . . .	71
. . . . . 71		第一節 結論 . . . . .	71
. . . . . 75		第二節 建議 . . . . .	72
. . . . . 15		參考文獻 . . . . .	72
. . . . . 18		附錄 研究問卷 . . . . .	90
. . . . . 22		表目錄 表 2- 1各學者對涉入行為的定義 . . . . .	15
. . . . . 25		. . . . . 表 2- 2各學者對生活型態之定義 . . . . .	17
. . . . . 38		. . . . . 表 2- 3各學者之研究以生活型態為衡量指標之統整 . . . . .	18
. . . . . 45		. . . . . 表 2- 4各學者之研究以技術與知識為衡量指標之統整 . . . . .	21
. . . . . 46		. . . . . 表 2- 5中華直排輪運動協會直排輪檢測動作分級表 . . . . .	22
. . . . . 46		. . . . . 表 2- 6各學者之研究以裝備與投資為衡量指標之統整 . . . . .	24
. . . . . 46		. . . . . 表 2- 7直排輪鞋簡介 . . . . .	25
. . . . . 46		. . . . . 表 2- 8各學者對風險管理之定義 . . . . .	29
. . . . . 46		. . . . . 表 3- 1專家組合表 . . . . .	38
. . . . . 46		. . . . . 表 4- 1直排輪愛好者基本資料 . . . . .	42
. . . . . 46		. . . . . 表 4- 2直排輪愛好者遊憩專業化分析表 . . . . .	45
. . . . . 46		. . . . . 表 4- 3直排輪愛好者風險管理分析表 . . . . .	46
. . . . . 46		. . . . . 表 4- 4不同性別直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異 . . . . .	46

異分析表 . . . . .	46	表 4- 5不同性別直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . 47
表 4- 6不同婚姻狀況直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異分析表 . . . . .	48	表 4- 7不同婚姻狀況直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . . . . .
48	表 4- 8是否加入直排輪協會直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異分析表 . . . . .	49
49	表 4- 9是否加入直排輪協會直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . . . . .	50
50	表 4- 10是否參加過比賽直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異分析表 . . . . .	51
51	表 4- 11是否參加過比賽的直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . . . . .	52
52	表 4- 12不同年齡直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異分析表 . . . . .	53
53	表 4- 13不同年齡直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . 54	表 4- 14
54	不同證照級數直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異分析表 . . . . .	56
56	表 4- 15不同證照級數直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . . . . .	57
57	表 4- 16不同教育程度直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異分析表 . . . . .	58
58	表 4- 17不同教育程度直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . . . . .	59
59	表 4- 18不同參與活動年資直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異分析表 . . . . .	61
61	表 4- 19不同參與活動年資直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . . . . .	62
62	表 4- 20不同平均月收入直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化與風險管理之差異分析表 . . . . .	63
63	表 4- 21不同購買直排輪產品金額直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異分析表 . . . . .	65
65	表 4- 22不同購買直排輪產品金額直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . . . . .	67
67	表 4- 23不同購買直排輪產品次數直排輪愛好者在遊憩專業化之差異分析表 . . . . .	68
68	表 4- 24不同購買直排輪產品次數直排輪愛好者在風險管理之差異分析表 . . . . .	69
69	表 4- 25直排輪愛好者遊憩專業化與風險管理相關分析表 . 70	圖目錄
70	圖 3-1研究架構圖 . . . . .	35
35	圖 3-2研究流程圖 . . . . .	35

## REFERENCES

- 參考文獻 一、中文部份 二匠文化編採組(1999), 輕鬆學直排輪, 台北市:二匠文化。中華直排輪運動協會(2007), 直排輪檢測動作[線上資料], 來源: <http://ykf88.loxa.edu.tw/hocky/1section/learn/haggy/Skatesa1.htm> [2007, September 3]。王小文, 林晏州(1998), 大屯自然公園戶外遊憩者之遊憩衝突研究, 戶外遊憩研究, 11(1), 65-84。王正平(2004), 登山健行遊客與登山自行車使用者遊憩衝突之研究, 戶外遊憩研究, 17(4), 71-91。王正平(2005), 活動技術水準與空間使用型態對大安森林公園直排輪參與者間的遊憩衝突之影響, 觀光研究學報, 11(3), 189-208。王正平, 楊萃萍(2003), 直排輪活動參與者內團體衝突之研究, 造園學報, 10(2), 17-37。江澤群, 林國瑞(2000), 體育運動風險管理之探討, 北體學報, 7, 207-216。宋明哲(1992), 企業的危機應變與風險管理, 台北:翰蘆。宋明哲(2001), 現代風險管理, 台北:五南。李永蕙(2004), 活學活用風險管理, 台北:梅霖事業有限公司。李坤培, 鄭志富(2001), 大專校院運動風險管理認知分析及實證研究(國科會, NO. NSC 90-2413-H-002-007-040), 台北:行政院國家科學委員會。李素馨(1994), 典型相關分析 - 專業程度、遊憩動機和基地屬性認知關係之探討, 戶外遊憩研究, 7(3), 39-62。李美樺, 歐聖榮(2002), 飛行傘參與者之特質與環境屬性偏好之探討, 第四屆休閒遊憩觀光學術研討會 - 休閒理論與遊憩行為論文集(pp. 263-270), 台北:中華民國戶外遊憩學會。林振煌(2002), 學校體育設施缺失之法律責任, 校體育雙月刊, 12(6), 31-38。林靈宏(1990), 消費者行為學, 台北:五南。林育瑞(2008), 中小學生直排輪的使用行為及生活型態之探討, 國立雲林科技大學工業設計研究所未出版之碩士論文。邱金松(1988), 現代體育運動思潮, 桃園:國立體育學院。侯錦雄, 郭彰仁(1998), 香客與戶外遊憩者之遊憩衝突探討-以松柏嶺宗教觀光區為例, 戶外遊憩研究, 11(2), 1-18。施致平(2002), 大專院校體育主管風險管理認知與因應策略模式研究(國科會, NO. NSC90-2413-H-003-047), 台北:行政院國家科學委員會。段體貴(2003), 溜冰運動廿五年 - 中華民國溜冰協會廿五年全史, 台北:中華民國溜冰協會。凌氫寶(1995), 人身風險管理, 保險季刊, 40, 48-61。張宮熊(1996), 財務管理, 台北:文京。教育部(2007), 教育部地理學科中心電子報[線上資料], 來源: <http://gis.tcgs.tc.edu.tw/news/200712/> [2007, December 1]。陳怡凱(2008), 自行車騎乘者遊憩專業化與遊憩環境偏好關係之研究, 私立大葉大學休閒事業管理研究所未出版之碩士論文。彭逸芝(2005), 遊憩自行車使用者之遊憩涉入與地方依附關係之研究, 私立銘傳大學觀光研究所未出版之碩士論文。黃俊英(1999), 行銷研究:管理與技術, 台北:華泰文化出版社。楊宗文(2001), 學校體育的安全管理, 國民體育季刊, 30(1), 119-126。楊德耕, 謝霖芬(2001), 台北市直排輪鞋運動傷害之調查, 中華民國復健醫學會雜誌, 29, 83-89。萬書妙(2003), 非營利組織之風險管理 - 以台灣農會為例, 國立台北大學合作經濟研究所未出版之碩士論文。詹前志(2005), 以遊憩專業化程度區隔人工攀岩場使用者之研究, 私立世新大學觀光學研究所未出版之碩士論文。廖俊晨(1998), 生活型態、遊憩動機對自行車道環境偏好影響之研究 - 以臺中縣潭雅神綠園道為例, 私立逢甲大學建築研究所未出版之碩士論文。趙騏佑, 簡健兒(2005), 直排輪HAPPY書, 台北市:書泉。劉君毅(2005), 論生物科技安全之風險評估, 工業安全科技, 54, 55-58。劉憲錫(2008), 釣客遊憩專業化與地方依附感之研究, 國立東華大學觀光暨遊憩管理研究所未出版之碩士論文。劉碧華(1995), 如何加強運動場地的安全管理, 中華體育季刊, 9(3), 8-14。歐陽金樹(1997), 大專體育課運動意外傷害之風險管理, 大專體育季刊, 34, 97-101。潘振豪(2008), 大型重型機車騎士深度休閒、遊憩專業化涉入情形及對運動觀光參與行為影響之研究, 收於李城忠編, 2008年運動休閒產業管理學術研討會論文集(pp. 15-30), 彰化:私立大葉大學。蔡建宏(2005), 新興運動傳播模式之研究 - 以直排輪運動為例, 國立體育學院體育研究所未出版之碩士論文。鄭志富(1994), 學校體育的風險管理, 認為, 4(6), 35-39。鄭育雄(2005), 遊憩專門化橫量指標之研究 - 以自行車活動為例, 靜宜大學觀光事業研究所未出版之碩士論文。鄭健雄, 劉孟奇(2001), 國人度假生活型態量表建構之初探-以墾丁國家公園遊客為例, 認為, 14(3)

, 57-80. 黎建忠(2005), 休閒極限兩相宜, 直排輪運動正流行[線上資料], 來源: <http://www.epochtimes.com/b5/5/7/24/n996292.htm> [2005, July 24]. 顏家芝, 薛雅菁, 徐慧蓉, 趙又萱, 廖梨棉(2002), 都會公園單車活動與直排輪活動/散步者之遊憩衝研究, 戶外遊憩研究, 15(1), 1-16.

二、英文部分 Adelman, B. J., Heberlein, T. A., & Bonnicksen, T. M. (1982). Social psychological explanations for the persistence of a conflict between paddling canoeists and motorcraft users in the boundary waters canoe area. *Leisure Science*, 5(1), 45-62.

Andreason, A. R. (1967). Life style pattern. *AMA Con Conference-Proceedings*, 5(1), 56-62. Andrews, J. C., Durvasula, S., & Akhter, S. H. (1990). A framework for conceptualizing and measuring the involvement construct in advertising research. *Journal of Advertising*, 19(1), 27 - 41. Antil, J. H. (1984). Conceptualization and operation of involvement. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 11(3), 203-209. Appenzeller, H. (1998). Risk management in sport: issues and strategies. Durham, North Carolina: Carolina Academic Press. Arjandas, M., & Lim, K. B., (2006). Heelys injuries in children. *Singapore Medical Journal*, 47(4), 373-375. Bambi, L. T., & Magdy, W. A. (2009). Sports-related injuries in children. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 7(12), 1376-1382. Blaylock, B. K. (1985). Risk perception: evidence of an interactive process. *Journal of Business Research*, 13(2), 207-221. Bricker, K. S., & Kerstetter, D. L. (2000). Level of specialization and place attachment: An exploratory study of whitewater recreationists. *Leisure Sciences*, 22(1), 233-257. Bryan, H. (1977). The case of trout fishermen, leisure value system and recreation specialization. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 9(3), 174-187. Bryan, H. (2000). Recreation specialization revisited. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 32(1), 18-21. Christina, B. (2006). Injuries caused by small wheel devices. *Preventive Science*, 7(3), 313-320. Cole, J. S., & Scott, D. (1999). Segmenting participation in wildlife watching: a comparison of casual wildlife watchers and serious birders. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 4(4), 44-61. Craig, S. S. (2003). Officers on skates keeping Paris in-line [Online]. Available: <http://members.lycos.co.uk/skate2004/a9b1.htm> [2003, September 13]. Dholakia, U. M. (2000). A motivational process model of product involvement and consumer risk perception. *European Journal of Marketing*, 35(4), 1340-1360. Ditton, R. B., Loomis, D. C., & Choi, S. (1992). Recreation specialization: Re-conceptualization from a social worlds perspective. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 24(1), 33-51. Donnelly, M. P., Vaske, J. J., & Graefe, A. R. (1986). Degree and range of recreation specialization: Toward a typology of boating related activities. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 18(2), 81-95. Engel, J. F., Blackwell, R. D., & Miniard, P. W. (1993). *Consumer Behavior*. Florida: Dryden Press. Ewert, A. (1985). Why people climb: the relationship of participant motives and experience level to mountaineering. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 17(3), 241-250. Ewert, A., & Hollenhorst, S. (1989). Testing the adventure model: empirical support for a model of risk recreation participation. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 21(2), 124-139. Fuller, C., & Drawer, S. (2004). The Application of Risk Management in Sport. *Sports Medicine*, 34(6), 349-356. Harris, E. N. (1990). A reassessment of the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Journal of Rheumatol*, 17(3), 733-734. Hassan, I., & Dorani, B. J. (1999). Rollerblading and skateboarding injuries in children in northeast England. *Journal of Accident and Emergency Medicine*, 16(5), 348-350. Hawkins, D. I., Best, R. J., & Coney, K. A. (2001). *Consumer behavior: Building marketing strategy* (8th ed.). London: Irwin McGraw-Hill. Head, G. L., & Horn, I. I. (1991). *Essentials of risk management*. Malvern, Pennsylvania: A Insurance Institute of America. Heller, R. C. (1996). Rollerblading injuries in young people. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, 32(1), 35-38. Hollenhorst, S. (1990). What makes a recreation specialist? The case of rockclimbing. *Social Science and Natural Resource Recreation Management* (1st ed.). Colorado: Westview Press. Horine, L. (1995). *Administration of Physical Education and Sport Program*. Dubuque, Iowa: Wm. C. Brown Publishers. Houshian, S., & Andersen, H. M. (2000). Comparison between in-line and rollerskating injury: a prospective study. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 10(4), 47-50. Ivy, M. I., Stewart, W. P., & Lue, C. C. (1992). Exploring the role of tolerance in recreational conflict. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 24(4), 348-360. Jaffe, D., McKendryb, I., Anderson, T., & Pricea, H. D. (1997). Six new episodes of trans-pacific transport of air pollutants. *Atmospheric Environment*, 37(3), 391-404. Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*, 39(2), 31-36. Kelly, J. R., & Godbey, G. (1992). Chapter 18 Specialization and Serious Leisure. *The Sociology of Leisure*, 5(1), 243-249. Knox, C. L., Comstock, D. R. (2006). Nonparticipant injuries associated with skating Activities. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 22(3), 164-167. Knuknu, L. (2009). Extreme Sports [Online]. Available: <http://blog.yam.com/Knuknu/article/20383566> [2009, April 14]. Kotler, P. (2003). *Marketing management*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc. Krugman, H. E. (1985). The impact of television advertising: learning without involvement. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 29(3), 349-356. Kuentzel, W. F., & McDondald, C. D. (1992). Differential effects of past experience, commitment, and lifestyle dimensions on river use specialization. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 24(3), 269-287. Kuentzel, W. F., & Heberlein, T. A. (1992). Does specialization affect behavioral choices and quality judgments among hunters? *Leisure Sciences*, 14(2), 211-226. Kyle, J. R. (1990). *Leisure*. New York: Prentice-Hall, Inc. Lazer, W. (1963). Life style concepts and marketing, in Stephen Greyser, toward scientific marketing. Chicago: American Marketing Association. Lee, J., & Scott, D. (2006). For better or worse? a structural model of the benefits and costs associated with recreational specialization. *Leisure Sciences*, 28(4), 17-38. Litte, B. R. (1976). Specialization and varieties of environmental experience: empirical studies within the personality paradigm. *Experiencing the Environment*, 5(2), 81-166. Martin, S. R. (1997). Specialization and differences in setting preferences among wildlife viewers. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 2(1), 1-18. Martion, J. P. (1983). *Technological forecasting for decision making*. New York: North-Holland Publishers. Matthew, A. (2005). Police skaters keep up with crime [Online]. Available: <http://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/index.html> [2005, July 21]. McFarlane, B. L. (2004). Recreation specialization of birdwatchers. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 22(4), 361-370. McIntyre, N. (1992). Involvement in risk recreation: a comparison of objective and subjective measures of engagement. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 24(1), 64-71. McIntyre, N., & Pigram, J. J. (1992). Recreation specialization reexamined: the case of vehicle-based campers. *Leisure Sciences*, 14(1), 3-15. Melson, E. L., Freedson, P. S., Webb, R., Jungbluth, S., & Kozlowski, N. (1996). Exercise responses to running and in-line skating at self-selected paces. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 28(2), 247-250. Mihai, V. M., Eoin, S. A., Aaron, G., Noelle, C. F., Michael, S. F., & Damian, M. F. (2007). Heelys and street gliders injuries: a new type of pediatric injury. *Pediatrics*,

119(6), 1294-1298. Mitchell, H. (1989). Manipulating message involvement in advertising research. *Journal of Advertising*, 18(3), 28-38. Nilson, R. A., & Edginton, C. R. (1982). Risk management: a tool for park and recreation administrators. *Parks and Recreation*, 17(8), 34-37. Nonaka, I. (1994). A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. *Organization Science*, 5(1), 14-37. O'Farrell, R. H., Keenan, P., McManus, F., & Stephens, M. (1997). An epidemic of roller-blade injuries in children. *Injury*, 28(5), 377-379. Osberg, J. S., Robert, A. B., & Marshall, B. (2000). Developing public policies on skating: general guidelines and recommendations, position paper – draft [Online]. Available: <http://members.lycos.co.uk/skate2004/a9b4.htm> [2000, December 8]. Plummer, J. T. (1974). The Concept & Application of Life Style Segmentation. *Journal of Marketing*, 38(1), 33-37. Powell, M., & Svensson, J. (1998). In-line skating. Illinois: Human Kinetics. Quinn, J. B., Philip, A., & Syndey, F. (1996). Managing professional intellect: making the most of the best. *Harvard Business Review*, 74(6), 71-80. Quintas, R. (1995). Bowling alone: America's declining social capital. *Journal of Democracy*, 6(1), 65-78. Robinson, D. W. (1992). A descriptive model of enduring risk recreation involvement. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 24(1), 52-63. Sarre, R. (1989). Risk management in recreation: legal dilemmas and legal solutions. *Australian Parks and Recreation*, 25(2), 7-14. Schuett, M. (1993). Refining measure of adventure recreation involvement. *Leisure Sciences*, 15(3), 205-216. Scott, D., & Shafer, C. S. (2001). Recreation specialization: a critical look at the construct. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 33(3), 319-343. Sheehan, E. A., Mulhall, K. J., Kearns, S. A., O'Connor, P. A., McManus, F., Stephens, M. F., & McCormack, D. F. (2003). Impact of dedicated skate parks on the severity and incidence of skateboard and rollerblade-related pediatric fractures. *Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics*, 23(4), 440-442. Sitkin, S., & Pablo, A. (1992). Reconceptualizing the determinants of risk behavior. *Academy of Management Review*, 17(2), 9-38. Slovic, P. (1969). Differential effects of real versus hypothetical pay-offs on choices among gambles. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 80(6), 434-437. Smissen, B. (1996). Tort liability and risk management. In B. I. Parkhouse (Ed.), *The management of sport: its foundation and application* (pp. 164-184). Louis: University of Missouri. Tan, V., Seldes, R. M., & Daluisi, A. (2001). In-line skating injuries injury clinic. *Sports Medicine*, 31(9), 691-699. Thibaut, J., & Kelley, H. H. (1959). *The social psychology of groups*. New York: Wiley. Vaughan, E. J. (1997). *Risk management*. New York: John & Sons. Venkatraman, M. P. (1989). Involvement and risk. *Psychology and Marketing*, 6(1), 229-247. Virden, R. J., & Schreyer, R. (1988). Recreation specialization as an indicator of environmental preference. *Environment and Behavior*, 20(6), 721-739. Weekley, G. M. (2002). Recreation specialization and recreation opportunity spectrum: a study of climbers. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Virginia, Morgantown, West Virginia. Wellman, J. D., Roggenbuck, J. W., & Smith, A. C. (1982). Recreation specialization and norms of depreciative behavior among canoeists. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 14(4), 323-340. Wind, Y., & Green, P. E. (1974). Some conceptual measurement and analytical problems in life style research. *Life Style and Psychographics*, 6(1), 122. Yates, J. F., & Stone, E. R. (1992). The risk construct. In J. F. Yates (Ed.), *Risk-taking behavior* (pp. 1-25). New York: John Wiley & Sons.