## A Study on Difference between the Family Firms 'Types and Firm Performance in Taiwan

# 羅珮嵐、鄭孟玉

E-mail: 319598@mail.dyu.edu.tw

#### **ABSTRACT**

We investigate the relation between the family firms ' types and firm performance in Taiwan. Mickinsey company(2003) note that 5% of family firm can continue to create value in third generation of family. Jensen and Meckling(1976) suggest that "Convergence of Interest Hypothesis", it is that managers have higher ownership is consisted with benefit of shareholders, Leland and Pyle(1977) also agree this opinion. But Jensen and Ruck(1983) observe that if managers have most of holding in firm, they will let their interest maximization, then they adopt the disadvantageous plan to shareholders, it is "Entrenchment Hypothesis". In country, Chung(1991) find that the holding of institution is positive relation to firm performance. Yeh and Chiou(1996) argue that the holding of insiders and performance are significantly. Our investigation use family firms of Taiwan as our sample, adopt OLS for our regression analysis, and add the rate of cash dividend paid and CEO dummy to investigate the difference between the family firm ' types and firm performance in Taiwan. Our results indicate that when family ownership is less than seven percentage, it confirm to the Entrenchment Hypothesis; and while family ownership is between seventeen to thirty-one percentage, it prove the convergence-of-interest hypothesis. However, regardless of family ownership are less than seven percentage or between seventeen to thirty-one percentage, if their founder or inheritors serve as the CEO, it will hurt company 's performance; but if the CEO served as by external professional manager, it will increase to business performance.

Keywords: family firm, corporate governance, business performance

#### Table of Contents

中文摘要 ..................iii 英文摘要 ........................
.iv 誌謝辭  .................... v 內文目錄 ....................................
..vi 表目錄  ...................viii 圖目錄  ...................
ix 第一章  緒論
1   第二節   研究目的
5
8 第一節 代理理論與資訊不對稱 8 第二節 公司治理機制
績效之關聯性 28 第三章         研究方法
...........41 第四章  第四節  變數衡量.............41 第四章  實證結果.
相關性分析
結論與建議70 第一節 結論70
第 <sup>一</sup> 節 建議 72 參老文獻 73

### **REFERENCES**

參考文獻一、中文部分 王元章(2001),內部人持股、風險、股利、負債、投資與公司價值,證券市場發展季刊,13(3),26-69。 王惠昕(2001),代理問題對公司股權結構與財務決策之影響,國立中正大學財務金融研究所未出版碩士論文。 世界銀行(1999),聯合國世界銀行報告。 李宗怡,劉維琪(1989),融資順位理論之調查研究,管理評論,12(2),119-143。 李馨蘋,莊宗憲(2007),公司治理機制與公司績效之實證研究,東吳經濟商學學報,57,1-27。 李馨蘋,詹凱玲(2007),股權結構、董事會組成與公司績效之關係-以家族與非家族企業之角度,2007年當前會計理論與實務研討會,私立銘傳大學。 李馨蘋,鄭誌偉(2008),從管理者薪酬與機構法人持股之觀點看企業創新投資之影響因素,東吳經濟商學學報,62,47-68。 呂佳玲,林基煌(2008),家族企業公司治理、併購策與與績效之探討,亞太經濟管理評論,11(2),107-134。 吳當傑(2007),公司治理理論與實務,財團法人中華民國證券暨期貨市場發展基金會。 沈立平(2003),台灣上市公司股權結構、財務決策與公司價值之關聯性研究,國立中正大學企業管理研究所未出版碩士論文。 金成隆,林修葳,洪郁珊(2003),無形資產、新股折價與內部人持股關係之研究,會計評論,36,23-53。 周夢柏(2002),應用財務比率分析我國商業銀行獲利能力之實

```
證研究,私立朝陽科技大學財務金融研究所未出版碩士論文。 邱毅,張訓華(1991),股權結構、董事會組成與企業財務績效,台北市銀
月刊,22(5),11-31。 洪榮華,陳香如,王玉珍(2005),公司內部治理機制與公司績效之關係 股權結構與董事會特性的觀點,輔仁管理
評論,12(3),23-40。 倪衍森,廖容岑(2006),家族企業負債代理成本及股利政策之研究 - 以台灣上市公司為例,管理與系統,13(2)
, 153-179。 馬黛, 李永全(2008), 家族控制對負債融資決策的影響-以台灣為例, 台大管理論叢, 18(2), 133-170。 施俊儀(1997), 家族
持股、公司控制型態與經營績效—台灣地區上市公司監督機制之研究,私立輔仁大學金融研究所未出版碩士論文。 張訓華(1991),股權
結構、董事會組成與企業當年財務績效,私立東吳大學管理學研究所未出版碩士論文,。 許和鈞,謝國文,楊之宜(2002),管理者持股
與公司經營績效,亞太社會科技學報,2(1),103-118。 葉銀華,邱顯比(1996),資本結構、股權結構與公司價值關聯性之實證研究:代理
成本理論,台大管理論叢,7(2),57-90。 葉銀華(1999),家族控股集團、核心企業與報酬互動之研究—台灣與香港證券市場之比較,管
理評論,18(2),59-86。 葉銀華,李存修,柯承恩(2000),公司治理與評等系統,台北:商智文化。 葉銀華,蘇裕惠,柯承恩,李德
冠(2003),公司治理機制對於關係人交易的影響,證券市場發展季刊,15,69-106。 楊蕉霙(1990),所有權結構與公司價值間關係之研究
,國立中山大學企業管理研究所未出版碩士論文。 廖秀梅、李建然、吳祥華(2006),董事會結構特性與公司績效關係之研究 - 兼論台灣
家族企業因素的影響,東吳經濟商學學報,54,117-160。 簡上智(1996),家族企業上市後股權結構變動對企業經營績效影響之研究,國
立成功大學企業管理研究所未出版碩士論文。 蘇姵依(2007),家族控股企業之多角化經營決策:以台灣上市公司為例,私立朝陽科技大學
財務金融研究所未出版碩士論文。 二、英文部分 Agrawal, A., & Knoeber, C. R. (1996). Firm Performance and Mechanisms to Control
Agency Problems between Managers and Shareholders. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 3, 377-397. Agrawal, A. & Gershon N. M.,
(1987). Managerial Incentives and Corporative Investment and Financing Decisions. Journal of Finance, 42(4), 823-837. Akerlof, G. (1970). The
Market for Lemons: Quality Uncertainty and the Market Mechanism. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 84(3), 488-500. Anderson, R. C., & Reeb,
D. M. (2003). Founding-Family Ownership and Firm Performance: Evidence from the S & P 500. Journal of Finance, 58, 1301-1328. Anderson, R.
C., Mansi, S. A., & Reeb, D. M. (2003). Founding family ownership and the agency cost of debt. Journal of Financial Economics, 68, 263-285.
Ang, J. S., Cole, R. A., & Lin, J. W. (2000). Agency costs and ownership structure. Journal of Finance, 55, 81-106. Barnea, A., Haugen, R. Q., &
Senbet, L. W. (1985). Agency Problems and Financial Contracting, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall. Barth, M. J., Ellott, J. A., & Finn, M. W.
(1999). Market rewards associated with parrerns of increasing earnings. Journal of Accounting Research, 37,387-413. Bartholomeusz, S., &
Tanewski, G. A. (2006). The relationship between family firms and corporate governance. Journal of Small Business Management, 44, 245-267
Berry, B. (1975). The development of organization structure in the family firm. Journal of General Management, 3(1), 42-60. Buchanan, J. M.
(1975). The Samaritan 's dilemma. In: Phelps, E. S. (Ed.), Altruism, Morality and Economic Theory Russell Sage Foundation, New York, 71-75.
Burch, P. (1972). Managerial revolution reassessed: Family control in America's largest corporations, Lexington, MA: Lexington Books. Casson, M.
(1999). The Economics of the family firm. Scandinavian Economic History Review, 47, 10-23. Chami, R. (1999). What 's different about family
business? Working paper, University of Notre Dame and the International Monetary Found. Computer World, 17, 67-69. Chen, C. R., & Steiner,
T. L. (1999). Managerial Ownership and agency conflict: a nonlinear simultaneous equation analysis of managerial ownership, risk taking, debt
policy, and dividend policy. The Financial Review, 34, 119-136. Chung, K. H., & Pruitt, S. W. (1994). Simple approximation of Tobin's q.
Financial Management, 23, 70-74. Claessens, S., Djankov, S., & Lang, L. H. P. (1999a). How control East Asian corporation, Policy research
working paper 2054, The World Bank. Claessens, S., Djankov, S., & Lang, L. H. P. (1999b). Expropriation of minority shareholders: Evidence
from East Asia, Policy research working paper 2088, The World Bank, Claessens, S., Diankoy, S., & Lang, L. H. P. (2000), The separation of
ownership and control in East Asian corporation, Journal of Financial Economics, 58, 81-112, Claessens, S., Diankov, S., Fan, J., & Lang, H. P.
(2000b). Expropriation on minority shareholders: Evidence from East Asia. Policy research working paper 2088, The World Bank. Crutchley, C.
E., & Hansen, R. S. (1989). A test of the agency theory of managerial ownership, corporate leverage and corporate dividends. Financial
Management, 18, 36-46. Davis, J., Schoorman, E. D. & Donaldson, L. (1997). Toward a stewardship theory of management. Academy of
Management Review, 22, 20-47. DeAngelo, H. L., DeAngelo, L., & Skinner, D. J. (1994). Accounting choice in troubled companies. Journal of
Accounting and Economics, 17, 113-144. Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., & Sweeney, A.P. (1995). Detecting earnings management. The
Accounting Review, 70, 193-226. DeAngelo, H., DeAngelo, L., & Skinner, J. D. (1996). Reversal of Fortune Dividend Signaling and
Disappearance of Sustained Earning Growth. Journal of Financial Economics, 40, 341-371. Demsetz, H., & Lehn, K. (1985). The structure of
corporate ownership: Causes and consequences. Journal of Political Economy, 65, 1155-1177. Donaldson, L., & Davis, J.H.. (1991). Stewardship
theory of agency theory: CEO governmance and stareholder returns. Australian Journal of Management, 16(1), 49-64. Dyer, L., & Reeves, T.
(1995). Human Resource Strategies and Firm Performance: What do We Know and Where do We Need to Go? The International Journal of
Human Resource Management, 8, 656-670. Easterbrook, F. H. (1984), Two Agency-cost Explanations of Eividends, American Economic Review,
74, 650-659. Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Agency Theory: An assessment and review. Academy of Management Review, 14, 57-74. Faccio, M. &
Lang, L. H. P.(2002). The ultimate ownership of Western European corporations, Journal of Financial Economics, 65, 365-395. Fama, E. (1980).
Agency problems and the theory of the firm. Journal of Political Economy, 88(21), 288-307. Fan, P. H., & Wong, T. J. (2002). Corporate
Ownership Structure and the Information of Accounting Earnings in East Asia. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 33, 401-425. Filatotchev, I.,
Lein, Y-C., & Piesse, J. (2005). Corporate governance and performance in publicly listed, family-controlled firms: Evidence from Taiwan. Asia
Pacific Journal of Management, 22, 257-283. Fisher, F. M., & McGowan, J. J. (1983). On the Misuse of Accounting Rate of Return to Infer
Monopoly Profit. American Economic Review, 73, 82-97 Gomez-Mejia, L., Nunez-Nickel, M., & Gutierrez, I. (2001). The Role of Family Ties in
Agency Contracts. Academy of Management Journal, 40, 81-95. Healy, Pl M. & Palepu, K. G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate
```

disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclousure literature. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31, 405-440. Hudson, C., Jahera, J., & Lloyd, W. (1992). Further Evidence on the Relationship Between Ownership and Performance. The Financial Review, 27, 227-239. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costand Ownership Structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3, 305-360. Jensen, M. (1986). Agency costs of free cash flow, corporate finance, and takeovers. American Economic Review, 76, 323-329. Jensen, M. C., & Ruback, R. S. (1983). Market for Corporate Control: Empirical Evidence. Journal of Financial Economics, 1, 5-50. Kenney, C. (1992). Fall of the house of Wang. Computer World, 17, 67-68. Klein, A. (2002). Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings management. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 33, 375-400. Kothari, S. P., Leone A. J., & Wasley C. E. (2005). Performance Matched Discretionary Accrual Measures. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 39(1), 163-97. La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (1999). Corporate ownership around the world. Journal of Finance, 54, 471-517. Lansberg, I., Perrow, E. L., & Rogolsky, S. (1988). Family business as an emerging field. Family Business Review, 1(1), 1-8. Lauterbach, B., & Vaninsky A. (1999). Ownership structure and firm performance: evidence from Israel. Journal of Management and Governance, 3(2), 189-201. Lee, J. (2004). The effects of family ownership and management on firm performance. S.A.M Advanced Management Journal, 69(4), 46-53. Lee, J. (2006). Family firm performance: further evidence. Family Business Review, 19, 103-114. Leland, H., & Pyle, D. H. (1977). Informational Asymmetries, Financial Structure, and Financial Intermediation. The Journal of Finance, 32, 371-387. Litz, R. A. (1995). The family business: toward definitional clarity. Family Business Review, 8(2), 71-78. McConnel, J., & Muscarella, C. (1985). Corporate Capital Expenditure Decisions and the Market value of the firm. Journal of Financial Economics, 14, 399-422. McConnell, J. J., & Servaes, H. (1990). Additional evidence on equity ownership and corporate Value. Journal of Financial Economics, 27, 595-612. Miller, M., & Rock, K. (1985). Dividend Policy under Asymmetric Information. Journal of Finance, 4, 1031-1051. Miller, D., Isabelle, L. B. M., Richard, H. L., & Albert, A.C. Jr. (2006). Are Family Firms Really Superior Performance? Journal of Corporate Finance, 13, 829-858. Morck, R., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. (1988). Management ownership and market valuation: An empirical analysis. Journal of Financial Economics, 27, 293-315. Oswald, S. L., & Jahera, Jr. (1991). The Influence of Ownership on Performance: An Empirical Study. Strategic Management Journal, 12, 218-228. Penman, S. H. (1991). An evaluation of accounting rate-of-return. Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance, 6, 233-255. Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. (1997). A Survey of Corporate Governance. Journal of Financial Economic, 52, 737-785. Singell, L. (1997). Nepotism, discrimination, and the persistence of utility-maximizing, owner-operated firms. Southern Economics Journal, 63, 904-920. Stulz, R. (1999). Globalization of equity markets and the cost of capital. Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, 12, 1 – 12. Titman, S., & Wessels, R. (1988). The Determinants of Capital Structure Choice. Journal of Finance, 43, 1-19. Tsai, W. H., Hung, J. H., Kuo, Y. C., & Kuo, L. (2006). CEO Tenure in Taiwanese family and nonfamily firms: an agency theory perspective. Family Business Review, 19(1), 11-28. Vance, S. (1995). Inside or Outside Directors: Is There Really a Difference. Across The Board, 27, 15-17. Villalonga, B., & Amit, R. (2006). How do family ownership, control and management affect firm value? Journal of Financial Economics, 80, 385-417. Yammeesri, J., & Lodh, D. S. C. (2004). Is family ownership a pain or gain to firm performance? Journal of American Academy of Business, 4, 263-271. Yermack, D. (1996). Higher Market Valuation of Companies with a Small Board of Directors. Journal of Financial Economics, 40, 185-211.