

# Development of EFL Learners' Oral Reading Fluency through Automatic Speech Analysis System

李昭儀、曹秀蓉

E-mail: 313940@mail.dyu.edu.tw

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of the study was to investigate the effects of an Automatic Speech Analysis System (ASAS) on EFL learners' oral reading speed and accuracy. Forty-eight participants were selected with a convenient sampling method from three classes, i.e., English Communication in Cyberspace (Class 1), Foreign Language Learning Strategies (Class 2) and Computer-Assisted Language Learning (Class 3). Class 1 was instructed to read aloud along with an audio player. Class 2 was required to read aloud with the system-paced ASAS. The participants in Class 3 were told to use the learner-paced ASAS. For data analysis, 16 students in each class were included and divided into English high-proficiency and low-proficiency students in terms of oral reading fluency. The training lasted eight weeks. A pretest was conducted before the training. During the training, participants read four articles and each article was divided into two parts and read aloud by participants in two weeks. After the training, a posttest was conducted and a questionnaire was filled. The pretest and posttest contained one-minute recording of reading aloud two articles. One article was in the training and the other one was totally new to students. The scoring included 3 parts: words read in a minute (WPM), words correct in a minute (WCPM) and multidimensional fluency. The data analysed with two-way MANCOVA and simple main effect analysis. Oral reading fluency, learning transfer of oral reading fluency, oral reading speed accuracy rate were analysed and to determine the effect of the ASAS. Students' responses to the questionnaire were investigated with the Goodness of Fit and Chi-square test. The results of data analysis were summarized as follows: 1. An ASAS is more efficient than an audio player in improving EFL learners' oral reading fluency with the analysis of WCPM and only low-proficient students benefited from practicing with ASAS with multidimensional fluency scores. 2. Students had learning transfer as shown by their progress of WCPM. However, they did not have learning transfer when looking at their multi dimensional fluency scores. 3. Students' oral reading speed was enhanced after oral reading training. No matter which training method students received, students did make progress. However, the ASAS seemed to bring about more progress on oral reading speed than oral reading accuracy rate. 4. Students believed that oral reading fluency was important and related to English proficiency no matter which training method they received. Moreover, approximately, half of the students have had the school training or self training on oral reading fluency, but half of them have not. 5. The result reflects that an ASAS has the essential elements to make prominent development of reading speed with production models of skill acquisition. Participants preferred an ASAS to help them improve their oral reading fluency. Some pedagogical implications are provided: (1) teachers may include an ASAS in the program of reading, (2) an ASAS provides an easy and comfortable learning environment, and (3) one learning strategy that has a great deal of theoretical base is repeated reading. It seems that an ASAS is one of the helpful applications for repeated reading.

Keywords : Words Correct Per Minute, Oral Reading Fluency, Automated Speech Analysis System, English as Foreign Language

## Table of Contents

|  |      |
|--|------|
| COVER PAGE SIGNATURE PAGE AUTHORIZATION..... | iii  |
| ABSTRACT(English) .....                      | iv   |
| ABSTRACT(Chinese).....                       | vi   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....                         | viii |
| TABLES.....                                  | xii  |
| LIST OF FIGURES.....                         | ix   |
| LIST OF TABLES.....                          | xiii |
| Chapter I. INTRODUCTION                      |      |
| 1.1 Background of the Study.....             | 1    |
| 1.2 Motivation of the Study.....             | 3    |
| 1.3 Purpose of the Study.....                | 4    |
| 1.4 Research Questions.....                  | 5    |
| 1.5 Significance of the Study.....           | 6    |
| 1.6 Limitation of the Study.....             | 7    |
| 1.7 Definition of Terms.....                 |      |

|   |    |   |                          |
|---|----|---|--------------------------|
| .....   | 7  | 1.8 Organization of the Study   |                          |
| .....   | 9  | Chapter II. LITERATURE REVIEW   | 2.1 Oral Reading Fluency |
| .....   | 11 | 2.2 Reading Rate and Accuracy   |                          |
| .....   | 15 | 2.3 Transfer  |                          |
| Learning.....   | 16 | 2.4 Oral Reading Fluency and Reading  |                          |
| Comprehension.....  | 17 | 2.5 Instruction of Oral Reading Fluency.....                                    | 19                       |
| 2.6 Computer Assisted Language Learning and Reading Fluency ..... | 21 | 2.7 Principles of Oral Reading Fluency  |                          |
| Development .....   | 22 | 2.8 Necessity of Modeling for Oral Reading Fluency .....                        | 23                       |
| Chapter III. METHODOLOGY  |    | 3.1 Design of the Study.....  | 25                       |
| Participants.....   | 26 | 3.2   |                          |
| Instruments.....  | 26 | 3.3.1 Automatic Speech Analysis   |                          |
| System.....   | 27 | 3.3.2 Handouts .....  | 29                       |
| 3.3.3 Pretests and Posttests .....                                | 29 | 3.3.4 Questionnaire   |                          |
| .....   | 31 | 3.4 Procedure   |                          |
| .....   | 32 | 3.5 Data Analysis   |                          |
| Method.....   | 35 | 3.6 Pilot   |                          |
| Study.....  | 35 | Chapter IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION   | 4.1 Effects              |
| of the ASAS on Oral Reading Fluency.....                          | 41 | 4.2 Effect of the ASAS on Learning Transfer of Oral                             |                          |
| Reading Fluency .....   | 47 | 4.3 Effect of Oral Reading Training on Oral Reading Speed and Accuracy Rate ... | 52                       |
| ' Perceptions toward Oral Reading Fluency.....                    | 58 | 4.4 Students ' Perceptions toward the ASAS                                      |                          |
| .....   | 61 | Chapter V. CONCLUSION   | 5.1 Summary of the       |
| Findings.....   | 66 | 5.2 Pedagogical   |                          |
| Implication.....  | 70 | 5.3 Limitation of the Study   |                          |
| .....   | 72 | 5.4 Suggestions for Further Studies   |                          |
| .....   | 73 | ENGLISH REFERENCES .....  |                          |
| 75 CHINESE REFERENCES.....  | 84 | Appendix A  |                          |
| .....   | 85 | Appendix B  |                          |
| .....   | 87 | Appendix  |                          |
| C.....  | 88 | Appendix  |                          |
| D.....  | 90 |   |                          |

## REFERENCES

- ENGLISH REFERENCES Allington, R.L. (1983). Fluency: The neglected goal of the reading program. *The Reading Teacher*, 36,556-561.
- Admas, M.J. (1990). *Beginning to read: thinking and learning about print*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press
- August, D. (2003). Supporting the development of English literacy in English language learner: Key issues and promising practices. CRESPAR Tech. Rep. No. 61 Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University, Center for Research on the Education of Students Placed at Risk. Retrieved May 30, 2004 form [www.csoc.jhu.edu/crespar/techReports/Report61.pdf](http://www.csoc.jhu.edu/crespar/techReports/Report61.pdf).
- August, D., & Hakuta, K. (1997). *Improving schooling for language minority children: A research*. National Research Council and Institute of Medicine. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Bashir, A.S. & Hook P.E. (2009). Fluency: A key link between word identification and comprehension. *Language Speech and Hearing Services in Schools*, 40, 196-200.
- Beatty, L. & Care, E. (2009). Learning from their miscues: differences across reading ability and text difficulty. *Australian journal of language and literacy*, Vol32, No.3, 226-224.
- Begeny, J.C. & Martens B.K. (2006). Assisting low-performing readers with a group – based reading fluency intervention. *School Psychology Review*, 35(1), 91-107.
- Blevins, W. (2001). *Building Fluency: Lessons and Strategies for Reading Success Scholars*. U.S.A: Scholastic.
- Brougham, (Eds), (2006). *Advanced Taiwan*: Taipei. Brougham, (Eds), (2006). *Advance.*, Taipei: Studio Classroom Ltd.
- Bourassa, D., Levy, B.A., Dowin, S. & Casey, A. (1998). Transfer effects across contextual and linguistic boundaries: Evidence form poor readers. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 71, 45-61.
- Carver, R.P. (1997). Reading fro one second, one minute, or one year form the perspective of rauding theory. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 13-43.
- Carver, R. P. and Hoffman, J. V. (1981). The effect of practice through repeated reading on gain in reading ability using a computer-based instructional system. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 16, 374-390.
- Chen, H.J.H. (1998). Examining the consistency of evaluation provided by three automatic speech reconnection system. Paper presented at Proceeding of the Fourth International Symposium on English Teaching, 29-38.
- Chen, H., (2004). Automatic Speech Recognition and oral proficiency assessment. *Proceedings of the International Conference on English Instruction and Assessment* (pp.83-98), National Chung-Cheng University, Jiayi.
- Cohen, L., & ManionL.,( 1994). *Research method in education* (4th ed). New York: Rutledge.
- Coltheart, M., & Prior, M. (2006). Learning to read in Australia. *Australian Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 11(4), 410-427.
- Chiu, T.L., Liou, H.C. & Yeh, Y. (2007). A study of web-based oral activities enhanced by

automatic speech recognition for EFL college learning. *Computer Assisted language learning*, 20(3), 209-233. Cummins, J. (1984). Bilingualism and special education: issues in assessment and pedagogy. England: Multilingual Matters Ltd. Cummins, J. (1979). Linguistic interdependence and the educational development of bilingual children. *Review of Educational Research*, 49,222-251. Donovan, H. & Ellis, M. (2005). Paired reading – more than evening of entertainment. *The Reading Teacher*, 50(2), 174-182. Dowing, J. (1979). Reading and reasoning. New York: Springer Verlag. Dowhower, S.L. (1987). Effect of repeated reading on second grade transitional readers ' fluency and comprehension. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 22(4), 389-406. Eldredge, J.L. (2005). Foundation of fluency: An exploration. *Reading Psychology*, 26(2), 161-181. Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D. & Hops, M. K. (2001). Oral reading fluency as an indicator of reading competence: a theoretical, empirical, and historical analysis. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 5(3), 239-256. Fuchs, L.S. & Fuchs, D. (1999). Monitoring students progress toward the development of reading competence: A review of three forms of classroom-based assessment. *School Psychology Review*, 28, 659-671. Goodman, Y.M., Watson, D.I. & Burke, C.L. (1987). Reading miscue inventory Alternative procedures. New York: Richard C. Owen Publishers, Inc. Hatcher, P.J. (2000). Sound links in reading and spelling with discrepancy-defined dyslexics and children with moderate learning difficulties. *Reading and Writing*, 13,257-272. Hintikka S., Landerl K., Aro M. & Lyytinen H. (2008). Training reading fluency: is it important to practice reading aloud is generalization possible? *Annals of Dyslexia*, 58, 59-79. Hudson, R., Mercer, C.D. & Lane, H. (2000). Exploring reading fluency: A paradigmatic overview. Unpublished manuscript, University of Florida, Gainesville. Juel, C. (1996). What makes literacy tutoring effective? *Reading Research Quarterly*, 31, 268-289. Krashen, S.D., (1982). Principles and practice in second language acquisition. Oxford: Pergamon Press. Kartal G., (2006). Working with an imperfect medium: speech recognition technology in reading practice. *Jl. of Education Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 15 (3), 303-328. LaBerge, D. & Samuels, S.A. (1974). Toward a theory of automatic information process in reading. *Cognitive Psychology*, 6, 293-323. LaBerge, D., & Samuels, S.J. (1974). Toward a theory of automatic information processing in reading. *Cognitive Psychology*, 6, 293-323. Levy, B.A., Abello, B.A. & LysynchukL. (1997). Transfer from word training to reading in context: Gain in reading fluency and comprehension. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 20, 173-188. Leij, A. & Daal, V. (1999). Automatic aspects of dyslexia: speed limitations in word identification, sensitivity to increasing task demands, and orthographic compensation. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 32(5), 417-428. Li, K. (2002). The Reading Tutor: A pilot investigation of the usability and benefits for English as a second language learners. Unpublished cust 590 graduating, University of British Columbia, Vancouver. Logan, G.D. (1997). Automaticity and reading: perspectives form the instance theory of automatization. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 13, 123-146. Long, M.H., (1983). Native speaker /non-native speaker conversation in the second language classroom. In Clarke M.A. & Handscombe J. (eds), *On TESOL ' 82: Pacific Perspectives on Language Learning and Teaching*. Washington, D.C.: TESOL. Lovett, M.W.(1987). A developmental approach to reading disability: Accuracy and speed criteria of normal and deficient reading skill. *Child Development*, 58, 234-333. Lyon, G. R., & Moats, L.C. (1997). Critical conceptual and methodological considerations in reading intervention research. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 30, 578-588. Kazdin, A.E. (1978). History of behavior modification: Experimental foundations of contemporary research. Baltimore: University Park Press. Klicpera, C., & Schabmann, A. (1993). Do German-speaking children have a chance to overcome reading and spelling difficulties? *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 3, 307-323. Marten-Chang S. & Levy, B.A. (2006). Word reading: a transfer appropriate account of fluency transfer. *Reading and writing*, 19, 517-518. Mathes, P.G., & Fuchs, L.S., (1994). The efficacy of peer tutoring in reading for students with mild disabilities: A best-evident synthesis. *School Psychology Review*, 23, 59-80. Meyer, M.S., & Felton, R.H. (1999). Repeated reading to enhance fluency: old approaches and new directions. *Annals of Dyslexia*, 49, 283-306. NRP. (2000). Report of the National Reading Panel. Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction, Reports of the Subgroup. (NIH Publication No. 00-4754). Washington: DC: National Institute of Child Health & Human Development. Ormord, J.E. (2004). Human learning. (4th ed.). U.S.A.: Person Prentice Hall. Orosco, M.J., Schonewise, E.A., Onis, C., Kligner, K.K. and Hover, J.J. (2008). Why do English language learners struggle with reading? (Kligner, K.K., Hover, J.J. & Baca, L.M., Ed.). U.S.A: Corwin Press. Otaiba, S. A. & Rivera, M. O. (2006). Individualizing guided oral reading fluency instruction for students with emotional and behavioral disorders. *Intervention in school and Clinic*, 41(3), 144-149. Oxford, R., (1990). Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know. New York: Newbury House/Harper & Row. Perfetti, C. (1977). Language comprehension and fast decoding: Some psycholinguistic prerequisites for skilled reading comprehension. In J.T. Guthrie(Ed), *Cognition, curriculum, and comprehension* (pp.20-41). Newark, DE: International Reading Association. Perfetti, C.A., (1984). Reading ability. New York: Oxford University Press. Poulsen, R., Hastings, P. & Allbritton, D. (2007). Tutoring bilingual students with an automated reading tutor that LISTENS. *J. Educational Computing Research*, 36(2), 191-221. Prins, D. & Ingham, J. (2009). Evidence-based treatment and stuttering-historical perspective. *Journal of speech, language, and hearing research*, 52, 254-263. Rasinski, V.T. & Zutell, J.B.(1996). Is fluency yet a goal of the reading curriculum? In E. Sturtevant & W. Linek (Eds.) *Growing Literacy: Yearbook of the College Reading Association* (99.237-246). Harrisonburg, VA: College Reading Association. Ramirez, R. & Shapiro, E.S. (2007). Cross-language relationship between Spanish and English oral reading fluency among Spanish-speaking English language learners in bilingual education classrooms. *Psychology in the schools*, 44(8), 795-806. Reis, S. M., Eckert, R.D. McCoach, D.B., Jacobs, J.K. & Coyne, M. (2008). Using enrichment reading practices to increase reading fluency, comprehension, and attitudes. *Journal of Educational Research*, 101, 299-314. Rasinski, V. T. (2000). Speed does matter in reading. *The Reading Teacher*, 54(2), 146-151. Rasinski, V. T. (2004). A focus on fluency: how one teacher incorporated fluency with her reading curriculum. *International Reading Association*, 58(2), 126-137. Rasinski, V.T. (2003). The fluent reader. U.S.A: Scholastic Professional Books. Riccomini J. & Stecker M. (2005). Effect of technology- enhanced practice on scoring accuracy of oral reading fluency. *Journal of special education technology*, 20, 5-12. Richards, J.C., & Schmidt, R. (2003). Longman dictionary of language teaching

and applied linguistics. Longman. Rost, M. (2006). Teaching and researching listening. UK: Longman. Samuels, S.J. (1979). The method of repeated reading. *The Reading Teacher*, 32, 403-408. Samuels, S.J. (1997). The method of repeated readings. *The Reading Teacher*, 50(5), 376-381. Schreiber, P.A. (1980). On the acquisition of reading fluency. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 12, 177-186. Schwienhorst, K. (2008). Learner autonomy and CALL environment. New York: Routledge Seyfer, J., (2006, Augst). How secure are Internet phone class? (I). San Jose Mercury News, pp. 34-35. Snow, C.E., Griffin, P., & Burns, S. (Eds.). (2005). Knowledge to support the teaching of reading: Preparing teachers for a changing world. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. Simmons, Fuchs, Fuchs, Mathes, PI, & Hodge, J.P. (1995). Effects of explicit teaching and peer tutoring on the reading achievement of learner-disabled and low-performing students in regular classrooms. *The Elementary School Journal*, 95, 387-408. Slavin, R.E., & Cheung, A. (2003). Effective reading programs for English language learners: A best – evidence synthesis. CRESPAR Tech. Rep. No66. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University, Center for Research on the Education of Students Placed at Risk. Retrieved May30, from [www.csos.jhu.edu/crespar/techReports/Report66.pdf](http://www.csos.jhu.edu/crespar/techReports/Report66.pdf). Taguchi E., Takayasu-Maaass M., & Gorsuch G.J. (2004). Developing reading fluency in EFL: how assisted repeated reading and extensive reading affect fluency development. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 16 (2), 70-96. Tijms J. (2007). The development of reading accuracy and reading rate during treatment of dyslexia. *Educational Psychology*, 27 (2), 273-294. Thaler V., Ebner E.M., Wimmer H., Landerl K. (2004) Training reading fluency in dysfluent readers with high reading accuracy: word specific effects but low transfer to untrained words. *The International Dyslexia Association*, 54 (1), 89-111. Therrien, W.J. (2004). Fluency and comprehension gains as a result of repeated reading: A meta-analysis. *Remedial and Special Education*, 25, 252-261. Thorndike, E.L., & Woodworth R.S. (1901). The influence of improvement in one mental function upon the efficiency of other functions. *Psychological Review*, 8, 247-261. Ukrainetz, T.A., Ross C. L. & Harm, H.M. (2009). An investigation of treatment scheduling for phonemic awareness with kindergartners who are at risk for reading difficulties. *Language, Speech and Hearing Services in Schools*. Vol. 40, 86-100. Vellution, F. R., Fletcher, J.M., Snowling, M.J. & Scanlon, D.M. (2004). Specific reading disability (dyslexia): what have we learned in the past four decades? *Journal of Child psychology and Psychiatry*, 45(1), 2-40. Vygotsky, L.S. (1978). Interaction between learning and development. In M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman (Eds.), *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological process* (pp.77-91). Cambridge, MA. Harvard University Press. Wolf, M. & Katzir-Cohen, T.K. (2001). Reading fluency and its intervention. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 5(3), 221-239. Wolf, M., Bowers, P. (1999). The “ Double-Deficit Hypothesis ” for the development dyslexias, *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91(3), 1-24. Wong Fillmore, L. (1979). Individual difference in second language acquisition. In Fillmore, C.J., D. K. & Wang, S.Y. (eds), *Individual differences in language ability and language behavior*. New York: Academic Press Wyatt, D.H. (1984), Computer-assisted teaching and testing of reading and listening. *Foreign language annals*, 17(4), 393-407. Young, A.R., Bowers, P.G. & MacKinnon, G.E. (1996). Effects of prosodic modeling and repeated on poor readers ’ fluency and comprehension. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 17, 59-84. CHINESE REFERENCES 1. 陳超明 (Chao-Min, Chen) (2007). 排名吊車尾台灣人為什麼蔡英文?。